

**Turkish Journal of Mathematics** 

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/math/

**Research Article** 

Turk J Math (2023) 47: 185 – 194 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.55730/1300-0098.3353

# Jackson-type theorem in the weak $L_1$ -space

Rashid ALIEV<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Eldost ISMAYILOV<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics, Baku State University, Baku Azerbaijan

<sup>2</sup>Department of Function Theory, Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Baku, Azerbaijan

<b>Received:</b> 10.09.2022	•	Accepted/Published Online: 15.11.2022	•	<b>Final Version:</b> 13.01.2023

Abstract: The weak  $L_1$ -space meets in many areas of mathematics. For example, the conjugate functions of Lebesgue integrable functions belong to the weak  $L_1$ -space. The difficulty of working with the weak  $L_1$ -space is that the weak  $L_1$ -space is not a normed space. Moreover, infinitely differentiable (even continuous) functions are not dense in this space. Due to this, the theory of approximation was not produced in this space. In the present paper, we introduced the concept of the modulus of continuity of the functions from the weak  $L_1$ -space, studied its properties, found a criterion for convergence to zero of the modulus of continuity of the function from the weak  $L_1$ -space, and proved in this space an analogue of the Jackson-type theorem.

Key words: Modulus of continuity, weak space, Jackson-type theorem, best approximation

# 1. Introduction

Let  $L_p(T)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ , the space of all measurable  $2\pi$ -periodic functions with finite  $L_p(T)$ -norm  $||f||_p = (\pi^{-1} \int_T |f(x)|^p dx)^{1/p}$ , and  $L_{\infty}(T) \equiv C(T)$  the space of all continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic functions with uniform norm  $||f||_{\infty} = \max_{x \in T} |f(x)|$ , where  $T = [-\pi, \pi]$ ; let  $E_n(f)_p$  be the best approximation of a function f in the metric  $L_p(T)$  by trigonometric polynomials of order at most  $n, n \in Z_+$ ; and let  $\omega(f, \delta)_p = \sup_{0 \le h \le \delta} ||f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)||_{L_p(T)}, \ \delta \ge 0$ .

It was proved by D. Jackson that (see, for example, [8]) if  $f \in L_p(T)$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , then

$$E_n(f)_p \le c \cdot \omega \left( f, \frac{\pi}{n+1} \right)_p, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where c is an absolute constant.

This central theorem gave impetus to the intensive development of approximation theory in the spaces  $L_p$ . Further, for the development of the theory of approximation in other function spaces, an analogue of Jackson's theorem in these spaces was obtained (see [1-7, 9-12, 14-20] and many references therein).

The space of all functions measurable on [a, b] with bounded quasi-norm

$$||f||_{WL_1([a,b])} = \sup_{\lambda > 0} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [a,b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\}$$
(1.1)

<sup>2010</sup> AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 42A10, 41A17



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: aliyevrashid@mail.ru

is called a weak  $L_1$ -space and is denoted by  $WL_1([a, b])$ , where m - is the Lebesgue measure.

Note that for any  $f, g \in WL_1([a, b])$  the inequality

$$\|f + g\|_{WL_1([a,b])} \le \left(\sqrt{\|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])}} + \sqrt{\|g\|_{WL_1([a,b])}}\right)^2 \le 2\left(\|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])} + \|g\|_{WL_1([a,b])}\right)$$
(1.2)

shows that (1.1) is indeed a quasi-norm.

The weak  $L_1$ -space meets in many areas of mathematics. For example, the conjugate functions of Lebesgue integrable functions belong to the weak  $L_1$ -space (see, for example, [13]). The difficulty of working with the weak  $L_1$ -space is that the weak  $L_1$ -space is not a normed space. Moreover, infinitely differentiable (even continuous) functions are not dense in this space. Due to this, the theory of approximation was not produced in this space. In the present paper, we introduced the concept of the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta)$ ,  $\delta > 0$  of the functions  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$ , studied its properties, found a criterion for convergence to zero of the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta)$  as  $\delta \to 0$ , and proved in this space an analogue of the Jackson-type theorem.

# 2. Modulus of continuity of functions from a weak $L_1$ -space and its properties

For each function  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$  we put

where in the second case f is assumed to be extended by periodicity with period b-a. The quantities  $\omega_{weak}(f;\delta)$  and  $\omega_{weak}^*(f;\delta)$  are called moduli of continuity of the function  $f \in WL_1([a,b])$  ( $\omega_{weak}^*(f;\delta)$ ) is the periodic modulus of continuity).

We note some properties of the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta)$ .

**Property 1.** For any  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$ , the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta)$  is a nondecreasing function. **Property 2.** For any  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$  and  $0 < \delta \le b - a$ 

$$\omega_{weak}(f;\delta) \le 4||f||_{WL_1([a,b])}.$$

**Property 3.** For any  $f, g \in WL_1([a, b])$  and  $0 < \delta \le b - a$ 

$$\omega_{weak}(f+g;\delta) \le \left(\sqrt{\omega_{weak}(f;\delta)} + \sqrt{\omega_{weak}(g;\delta)}\right)^2 \le 2(\omega_{weak}(f;\delta) + \omega_{weak}(g;\delta)).$$

**Property 4.** If  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$ , then for every  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0, \ \delta_1 + \delta_2 \leq b - a$ 

$$\omega_{weak}(f;\delta_1+\delta_2) \le \left(\sqrt{\omega_{weak}(f;\delta_1)} + \sqrt{\omega_{weak}(f;\delta_2)}\right)^2 \le 2(\omega_{weak}(f;\delta_1) + \omega_{weak}(f;\delta_2))$$

**Property 5.** If  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$ , then for any  $k \in N$  and  $0 < \delta \le \frac{b-a}{n}$ 

$$\omega_{weak}(f;k\delta) \le k^2 \omega_{weak}(f;\delta),$$

where N is the set of natural numbers.

Property 1 is obvious, properties 2, 3, and 4 follow from (1.2), and property 5 follows from property 4. Indeed, for k = 1, property 5 is obvious. If property 5 holds for  $k \in N$ , then it follows from property 4 that

$$\omega_{weak}(f;(k+1)\delta) \le (\sqrt{\omega_{weak}(f;k\delta)} + \sqrt{\omega_{weak}(f;\delta)})^2 \le (k+1)^2 \omega_{weak}(f;\delta)$$

which means that property 5 holds for k + 1. It follows from mathematical induction that property 5 holds for any  $k \in N$ .

But the equality

$$\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} \omega_{weak}(f;\delta) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

is generally not satisfied. For example, the function  $f(x) = \frac{1}{x}$  belongs to the class  $WL_1([0,1])$ , but for any  $\delta > 0$  we have  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta) = 1$ , and, therefore, equality (2.1) is not satisfied for this function.

**Theorem 2.1** The modulus of continuity of the function  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$  satisfies equality (2.1) iff

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [a, b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\} = 0.$$
(2.2)

**Proof** Necessity. Let the equality (2.1) holds. Let us prove that the equality (2.2) holds. Assume that the (2.2) does not hold. Then

$$\limsup_{\lambda \to +\infty} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [a, b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\} = \alpha_0 > 0.$$

It follows that there is a sequence of numbers  $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ , such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$  and for any  $n \in N$ 

$$m\{x \in [a,b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n\} > \frac{\alpha_0}{2\lambda_n}.$$
(2.3)

Denote  $\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\alpha_0}{16} > 0$ . It follows from (2.1) that there exists a number  $0 < \delta_0 < \frac{b-a}{2}$  such that for any  $0 < h \le \delta_0$  and  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\lambda \cdot m\{x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x+h) - f(x)| \ge \lambda\} \le \varepsilon_0.$$
(2.4)

Denote

$$\Phi_n = \{(x,h): \ 0 < h \le \delta_0, \ x \in [a,b-h], \ |f(x+h) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2\}.$$

It follows from (2.4) that for any  $0 < h \leq \delta_0$ 

$$m\{x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x+h) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2\} \le \frac{2\varepsilon_0}{\lambda_n}.$$

This implies the estimate

$$m(\Phi_n) \le \frac{2\varepsilon_0}{\lambda_n} \cdot \delta_0 = \frac{\alpha_0}{8\lambda_n} \cdot \delta_0, \tag{2.5}$$

where  $m(\Phi_n)$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\Phi_n$ .

It follows from inclusions

$$\{ x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x+h)| < \lambda_n/2 \} \subset \{ x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x+h) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2 \},$$
  
$$\{ x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x+h)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x)| < \lambda_n/2 \} \subset \{ x \in [a, b-h] : |f(x+h) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2 \},$$

that

$$2m(\Phi_n) \ge m\{(x,h): \ 0 < h \le \delta_0, \ x \in [a,b-h], \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x+h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ +m\{(x,h): \ 0 < h \le \delta_0, \ x \in [a,b-h], \ |f(x+h)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ =m\{(x,h): \ 0 < h \le \delta_0, \ x \in [a,b-h], \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x+h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ +m\{(x,h): \ 0 < h \le \delta_0, \ x \in [a+h,b], \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x-h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ =m\{(x,h): x \in [a,b], \ 0 < h \le \min\{\delta_0, b-x\}, \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x+h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ +m\{(x,h): x \in [a,b], \ 0 < h \le \min\{\delta_0, x-a\}, \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x-h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ +m\{(x,h): x \in [a,b], \ 0 < h \le \min\{\delta_0, x-a\}, \ |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n \land |f(x-h)| < \lambda_n/2\}$$

Considering that for any  $x \in [a, b]$ 

$$\begin{split} m\{h: 0 < h \leq \min\{\delta_0, b - x\}, \ |f(x+h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ + m\{h: 0 < h \leq \min\{\delta_0, x - a\}, \ |f(x-h)| < \lambda_n/2\} \\ &= \min\{\delta_0, b - x\} - m\{h: 0 < h \leq \min\{\delta_0, b - x\}, \ |f(x+h)| \geq \lambda_n/2\} \\ &+ \min\{\delta_0, x - a\} - m\{h: 0 < h \leq \min\{\delta_0, x - a\}, \ |f(x+h)| \geq \lambda_n/2\} \\ &\geq \min\{\delta_0, b - x\} - \frac{2}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])} + \min\{\delta_0, x - a\} - \frac{2}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])} \\ &\geq \delta_0 - \frac{4}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])}, \end{split}$$

due to inequality (2.3) we get

$$m(\Phi_n) \ge \frac{\alpha_0}{4\lambda_n} \cdot \left(\delta_0 - \frac{4}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])}\right).$$
(2.6)

It follows from (2.5) and (2.6) that

$$\delta_0 - \frac{4}{\lambda_n} \|f\|_{WL_1([a,b])} \le \delta_0/2.$$

But this is impossible due to the condition  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda_n = +\infty$ . The resulting contradiction proves the validity of equality (2.2).

Sufficiency. Let the equality (2.2) holds. Let us prove that the equality (2.1) holds. Assume that the (2.1) is not held. Then there are the number  $\varepsilon_0$  and the sequences of positive numbers  $\{h_n\}$ ,  $\{\lambda_n\}$  such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n = 0$  and for any  $n \in N$ 

$$\lambda_n \cdot m\{x \in [a, b - h_n] : |f(x + h_n) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n\} > \varepsilon_0.$$

$$(2.7)$$

It follows from the inclusion

$$\{x \in [a, b - h_n] : |f(x + h_n) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n\}$$

$$\subset \{x \in [a, b - h_n] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2\} \cup \{x \in [a, b - h_n] : |f(x + h_n)| \ge \lambda_n/2\}$$

that

$$m\{x \in [a, b - h_n] : |f(x + h_n) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_n\} \le 2m\{x \in [a, b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2\}$$

From here and from (2.7) it follows that

$$m\{x \in [a,b] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda_n/2\} > \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2\lambda_n}.$$
(2.8)

Inequalities (2.2) and (2.8) show that the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is bounded. Therefore the sequence  $\{\lambda_n\}$  has a convergent subsequence  $\{\lambda_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ . Let

$$\lambda_0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{n_k}.$$

It follows from (2.7) that

$$\lambda_0 \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{b-a} > 0$$

Therefore there exists  $k_o \in N$  that for any  $k > k_0$ 

$$\lambda_0/2 < \lambda_{n_k} < 2\lambda_0.$$

Then from inequality (2.7) we obtain that for  $k > k_0$ 

$$m\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f(x + h_{n_k}) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_0/2\}$$

$$\geq m\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f(x + h_{n_k}) - f(x)| \geq \lambda_{n_k}\} > \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\lambda_{n_k}} > \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2\lambda_0}.$$
(2.9)

It follows from (2.2) that there exists  $M_0 > 0$  such that

$$m\{x \in [a,b] : |f(x)| \ge M_0\} < \frac{\varepsilon_0}{8\lambda_0}.$$
 (2.10)

Denote

$$f_1(x) = f(x), \text{ for } |f(x)| \le M_0; \quad f_1(x) = 0, \text{ for } |f(x)| > M_0$$
  
$$f_2(x) = 0, \text{ for } |f(x)| \le M_0; \quad f_2(x) = f(x), \text{ for } |f(x)| > M_0$$

Then for any  $x \in [a, b]$  we have  $f(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$ . It follows from the inclusion

$$\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f(x + h_{n_k}) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_0/2\}$$

$$\subset \{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f_1(x + h_{n_k}) - f_1(x)| \ge \lambda_0/4\} \cup \{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f_2(x + h_{n_k}) - f_2(x)| \ge \lambda_0/4\}$$

and from (2.10) that

$$m\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f(x + h_{n_k}) - f(x)| \ge \lambda_0/2\}$$
  
$$\le m\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f_1(x + h_{n_k}) - f_1(x)| \ge \lambda_0/4\} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4\lambda_0}.$$
 (2.11)

Then it follows from (2.9) and (2.11) that

$$m\{x \in [a, b - h_{n_k}] : |f_1(x + h_{n_k}) - f_1(x)| \ge \lambda_0/4\} \ge \frac{\varepsilon_0}{4\lambda_0}.$$
(2.12)

Since the function  $f_1$  is bounded, it is Lebesgue integrable on [a, b]. It follows from Lebesgue's theorem that

$$\lim_{h \to 0+} \int_{a}^{b-h} |f_1(x+h) - f_1(x)| dx = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{a}^{b-h_{n_k}} |f_1(x+h_{n_k}) - f_1(x)| dx = 0.$$
(2.13)

On the other hand, it follows from (2.12) that for any  $k \in N$ 

$$\int_{a}^{b-h_{n_{k}}} |f_{1}(x+h_{n_{k}}) - f_{1}(x)| dx \ge \frac{\lambda_{0}}{4} \cdot m\{x \in [a, b-h_{n_{k}}] : |f_{1}(x+h_{n_{k}}) - f_{1}(x)| \ge \lambda_{0}/4\} \ge \frac{\varepsilon_{0}}{16}.$$

But this is impossible due to (2.13). The resulting contradiction proves the validity of equality (2.1).

**Definition 2.2** Denote by WA([a, b]) the class of functions  $f \in WL_1([a, b])$  satisfying condition (2.2).

Theorem 2.1 shows that in the class of functions WA([a, b]) the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}(f; \delta)$  satisfies condition (2.1).

Note that properties 1-5 and theorem 2.1 also hold for the modulus of continuity  $\omega_{weak}^*(f;\delta)$ .

#### **3.** The best approximations and Jackson-type theorem in the weak $L_1$ -space

Let  $f \in WL_1([-\pi,\pi])$  be a  $2\pi$ -periodic function. For any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = N \cup 0$  we write

$$E_n(f)_{weak} = \inf ||f - T_n||_{WL_1([-\pi,\pi])}$$

for the best approximation in  $WL_1([-\pi,\pi])$  to f by trigonometric polynomials, where the infimum is taken over all trigonometric polynomials of order at most n.

**Theorem 3.1** For any function  $f \in WA([-\pi,\pi])$  the inequality

$$E_n(f)_{weak} \le c \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f; \frac{\pi}{n+1}), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$
(3.1)

holds, where c is an absolute constant.

**Proof** From the condition  $f \in WA([-\pi,\pi])$  it follows that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to +\infty} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [-\pi, \pi] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\} = 0.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $n \in N$  there exist  $\lambda_n(\varepsilon) > 1$  such that for any  $\lambda \ge \lambda_n(\varepsilon)$ 

$$\lambda \cdot m\{x \in [-\pi, \pi] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\} < \varepsilon \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f; \frac{\pi}{2n})$$
(3.2)

Denote

$$f_1(x) = f(x), \text{ for } |f(x)| \le \lambda_n(\varepsilon); \quad f_1(x) = 0, \text{ for } |f(x)| > \lambda_n(\varepsilon),$$
  
$$f_2(x) = 0, \text{ for } |f(x)| \le \lambda_n(\varepsilon); \quad f_2(x) = f(x), \text{ for } |f(x)| > \lambda_n(\varepsilon).$$

Then it follows from inequality (3.2) that

$$||f_2||_{WL_1([-\pi,\pi])} = \sup_{\lambda>0} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : |f_2(x)| \ge \lambda\}$$
$$= \sup_{\lambda>\lambda_n(\varepsilon)} \lambda \cdot m\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : |f(x)| \ge \lambda\} \le \varepsilon \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f;\frac{\pi}{2n}).$$
(3.3)

Denote

$$U_n(x) = \frac{3}{2\pi n(2n^2 + 1)} \cdot \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f_1(t) \cdot \left[\frac{\sin n \cdot \frac{t - x}{2}}{\sin \frac{t - x}{2}}\right]^4 dt, \quad x \in [-\pi, \pi], \quad n \in N.$$

It is well known, that (see, for example, [8])  $U_n(x)$  is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most 2n-2 and

$$U_n(x) - f_1(x) = \frac{3}{\pi n(2n^2 + 1)} \cdot \int_0^{\pi/2} [f_1(x + 2t) + f_1(x - 2t) - 2f_1(x)] \cdot \left[\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right]^4 dt$$

Then for any  $\lambda > 0$  we have

$$\begin{split} m\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : |U_n(x) - f_1(x)| \ge \lambda\} \\ &\leq m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2} |f_1(x+2t) + f_1(x-2t) - 2f_1(x)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{3}\right\} \\ &\leq m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2} |f_1(x+2t) - f_1(x)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{6}\right\} \\ &+ m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2} |f_1(x) - f_1(x-2t)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{6}\right\} \\ &\leq m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2n} |f_1(x+2t) - f_1(x)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{12}\right\} \\ &+ m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2n} |f_1(x+2t) - f_1(x)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{12}\right\} \\ &+ m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2n} |f_1(x) - f_1(x-2t)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{12}\right\} \\ &+ m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2n} |f_1(x) - f_1(x-2t)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{12}\right\} \\ &+ m\left\{x \in [-\pi,\pi] : \int_0^{\pi/2n} |f_1(x) - f_1(x-2t)| \cdot \left|\frac{\sin nt}{\sin t}\right|^4 dt \ge \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi n(2n^2+1)}{12}\right\} \\ &= J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4. \end{split}$$

It follows from the inequalities

$$|\sin nt| \le n |\sin t|, \quad 0 \le t \le \pi/2n;$$
  
 $|\sin nt| \le 1, \quad |\sin t| \ge \frac{2}{\pi}t, \quad 0 \le t \le \pi/2$ 

and from property 2.5 that

$$J_{1} \leq m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{0}^{\pi/2n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| dt \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi(2n^{2}+1)}{12n^{3}} \right\}$$

$$\leq m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{0}^{\pi/2n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| dt \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi}{6n} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{\lambda} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq \pi/n} \sup_{\mu > 0} \mu \cdot m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : |f_{1}(x+h) - f_{1}(x)| \geq \mu \right\} = \frac{3}{\lambda} \omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{1}; \frac{\pi}{n})$$

$$\leq \frac{12}{\lambda} \omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{1}; \frac{\pi}{2n}), \qquad (3.5)$$

$$J_{2} \leq m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi/2} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| \frac{dt}{t^{4}} \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{16}{\pi^{4}} \cdot \frac{\pi(2n^{2}+1)}{12n^{3}} \right\}$$

$$\leq m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{\pi/2n}^{\pi/n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| \frac{dt}{t^{4}} \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{8n^{3}}{3\pi^{3}} \right\}.$$

Let  $n = 2^m + b$ , where  $0 \le b < 2^m$ . Then, we have

$$J_{2} \leq m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \sum_{k=0}^{m} \int_{2^{k} \cdot \pi/2n}^{2^{k+1} \cdot \pi/2n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| \frac{dt}{t^{4}} \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{8n^{3}}{3\pi^{3}} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{2^{k} \cdot \pi/2n}^{2^{k+1} \cdot \pi/2n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| \frac{dt}{t^{4}} \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{8n^{3}}{3\pi^{3}(k+1)(k+2)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : \int_{2^{k} \cdot \pi/2n}^{2^{k+1} \cdot \pi/2n} |f_{1}(x+2t) - f_{1}(x)| dt \geq \lambda \cdot \frac{\pi \cdot 2^{4k}}{6n(k+1)(k+2)} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{3(k+1)(k+2)}{\lambda \cdot 2^{3k}} \sup_{0 \leq h \leq 2^{k} \cdot \pi/2n} \sup \mu \cdot m \left\{ x \in [-\pi, \pi] : |f_{1}(x+h) - f_{1}(x)| \geq \mu \right\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{3(k+1)(k+2)}{\lambda \cdot 2^{3k}} \cdot \omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{1}; \frac{\pi}{2n} \cdot 2^{k})$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(k+1)(k+2)}{2^{k}} \cdot \omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{1}; \frac{\pi}{2n})$$

$$\leq \frac{48}{\lambda} \cdot \omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{1}; \frac{\pi}{2n}). \qquad (3.6)$$

Similarly,

$$J_3 \le \frac{12}{\lambda} \omega_{weak}^*(f_1; \frac{\pi}{2n}), \tag{3.7}$$

$$J_4 \le \frac{48}{\lambda} \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f_1; \frac{\pi}{2n}). \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) that the inequality

$$m\{x \in [-\pi,\pi]: |U_n(x) - f_1(x)| \ge \lambda\} \le \frac{120}{\lambda} \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f_1; \frac{\pi}{2n})$$

holds for any  $\lambda > 0$ . This shows that

$$||U_n - f_1||_{WL_1([-\pi,\pi])} \le 120 \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f_1; \frac{\pi}{2n}).$$
(3.9)

It follows from (3.3), (3.9) and from properties 2.2, 2.3 that

$$||U_{n} - f_{1}||_{WL_{1}([-\pi,\pi])} \leq 120 \cdot \left(\sqrt{\omega_{weak}^{*}(f;\frac{\pi}{2n})} + \sqrt{\omega_{weak}^{*}(f_{2};\frac{\pi}{2n})}\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq 120(1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon})^{2} \cdot \omega_{weak}^{*}(f;\frac{\pi}{2n}).$$
(3.10)

From (1.2), (3.3), and (3.10) we have

$$||U_{n} - f||_{WL_{1}([-\pi,\pi])} \leq \left(\sqrt{||U_{n} - f_{1}||_{WL_{1}([-\pi,\pi])}} + \sqrt{||f_{2}||_{WL_{1}([-\pi,\pi])}}\right)^{2}$$
$$\leq \left(\sqrt{120}(1 + 2\sqrt{\varepsilon}) + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\right)^{2} \cdot \omega_{weak}^{*}(f;\frac{\pi}{2n}).$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, it follows that

$$||U_n - f||_{WL_1([-\pi,\pi])} \le 120 \cdot \omega_{weak}^*(f; \frac{\pi}{2n}).$$
(3.11)

Since  $U_n$  is a trigonometric polynomial of order at most 2n-2, the inequality (3.1) follows from (3.11).

## Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referees for many useful comments and suggestions that improved the original manuscript.

## References

 Abdullayev F, Chaichenko S, Imashkyzy M, Shidlich A. Direct and inverse approximation theorems in the weighted Orlicz-type spaces with a variable exponent. Turkish Journal of Mathematics 2020; 44 (1): 284-299. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1911-3

#### ALIEV and ISMAYILOV/Turk J Math

- [2] Abdullayev F, Chaichenko S, Imashkyzy M, Shidlich A. Jackson-type inequalities and widths of functional classes in the Musielak–Orlicz type spaces. Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics 2021; 51 (4): 1143-1155. https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj.2021.51.1143
- [3] Akgun R, Yildirim Y. Convolution and Jackson inequalities in Musielak–Orlicz spaces. Turkish Journal of Mathematics 2018; 42 (5): 2166-2185. https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1801-62
- [4] Akgun R. Jackson type inequalities for differentiable functions in weighted Orlicz spaces. Algebra i Analiz 2022; 34 (1): 1-34.
- [5] Chaichenko S, Shidlich A, Abdullayev F. Direct and inverse approximation theorems of functions in the Orlicz type spaces  $\delta_M$ . Mathematica Slovaca 2019; 69 (6): 1367-1380. https://doi.org/10.1515/ms-2017-0314
- [6] Dai F, Ditzian Z. Jackson theorem in L<sub>p</sub>, 0 2010; 162: 382-391. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2009.06.003
- [7] Danelia N, Kokilashvili V. Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in the framework of grand variable exponent Lebesgue space. Georgian Mathematical Journal 2016; 23 (1): 43-53. https://doi.org/10.1515/gmj-2015-0059
- [8] DeVore RA, Lorentz GG. Constructive Approximation. Springer-Verlag, 1993.
- [9] Garidi W. On approximation by polynomials in Orlicz spaces. Approximation Theory and its Applications 1991; 7 (3): 97-110. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02836460
- [10] Garidi W. The Jackson theorem in  $B_a$  spaces. Approximation Theory and its Applications 1996; 12 (2): 60-69.
- [11] Israfilov D, Gursel E. Direct and inverse theorems in variable exponent Smirnov classes. Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan 2021; 47 (1): 55-66. https://doi.org/10.30546/2409-4994.47.1.55
- [12] Jafarov S. Converse theorem of the approximation theory of functions in Morrey-Smirnov classes related to the derivatives of functions. Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan 2021; 47 (1): 205-214. https://doi.org/10.30546/2409-4994.47.2.205
- [13] Koosis P. Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces. Cambridge University Press, 2nd edition, 2008.
- [14] Kumar V, Ruzhansky M. A note on K-functional, Modulus of smoothness, Jackson theorem and Bernstein– Nikolskii–Stechkin inequality on Damek–Ricci spaces. Journal of Approximation Theory 2021; 264: 105537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2020.105537
- [15] Lasuriya RA. Jackson-Type Inequalities in the Spaces  $S^{p,q}(\sigma^{m-1})$ . Mathematical Notes 2019; 105 (5): 707-719. https://doi.org/10.1134/S0001434619050079
- [16] Platonov SS. Jackson-type theorems for compact symmetric spaces of rank 1. Siberian Mathematical Journal 2001;
   42 (1): 119-130. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1004801812016
- [17] Platonov SS. Some problems of approximation theory of functions on the infinite dimensional torus: analogues of Jackson theorems. Sankt Petersburg Mathematical Journal 2015; 26 (6): 933-947. https://doi.org/10.1090/spmj/1368
- [18] Sharapudinov I. On direct and inverse theorems of approximation theory in variable Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces. Azerbaijan Journal of Mathematics 2014; 4 (1): 55-72.
- [19] Storozhenko E, Krotov V, Oswald P. Direct and converse theorems of Jackson type in  $L_p$  spaces, 0 ,Sbornik: Mathematics 1975; 27 (3): 355-374. https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1975v027n03ABEH002519
- [20] Szabados J. Jackson-Favard type problems for the weight  $\exp(-|x|)$  on the real line. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 2020; 485 (2): 123807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2019.123807