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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a generalized real Bott manifold to have a spin structure in terms of column vectors of the associated matrix. We also give an interpretation of this result to the associated acyclic $\omega$-weighted digraphs. Using this, we obtain a family of real Bott manifolds that does not admit spin structure.
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## 1. Introduction

A generalized real Bott tower of height $k$ is a sequence of real projective bundles

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{k} \longrightarrow B_{k-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow B_{1} \longrightarrow\{p t\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}$ is the projectivization of the Whitney sum of $n_{i}+1$ real line bundles over $B_{i-1}$. This notion is introduced by Choi et al. [3] as a generalization of the notion of a Bott tower given in [8]. The manifold $B_{k}$ is called a real Bott manifold when $n_{i}=1$ for each $i$ and a generalized real Bott manifold, otherwise. The manifold $B_{k}$ can be realized as a small cover over $\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ where $\Delta^{n_{i}}$ is the $n_{i}$-simplex [10, Corollary 4.6]. It is also known that every small cover over a product of simplices is a generalized real Bott manifold [3, Remark 6.5].

Let $P$ be a simple convex polytope of dimension $n$ with the facet set $\mathcal{F}(P)=\left\{F_{1}, \cdots, F_{m}\right\}$. For every small cover $M$ over $P$, there is an associated $(n \times(m-n))$ matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ with entries in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ which can be used to reconstruct $M$ (see Section 2). Moreover, the mod 2 cohomology ring structure of $M$ depends only on the face poset of $P$ and the matrix $A$. More precisely, let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[P]$ be the Stanley-Reisner ring of $P$, that is, the quotient of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}\right]$ with the ideal $I$ generated by the square free monomials $x_{i_{1}} \cdots x_{i_{r}}$ for which $F_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{r}}$ is empty. There is a graded ring isomorphism between $H^{*}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}[P] / J$ where $J$ is the homogeneous ideal generated by the monomials $x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m-n} a_{i j} x_{n+j}$, [4, Theorem 4.14]. Here the degree of $x_{i}$ is 1 . In [4, Corollary 6.8], Davis and Januskiewicz show that the total Stiefel-Whitney
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class of $P$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(M)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{m-n}\left(1+x_{n+i}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+\sum_{j=1}^{m-n} a_{i j} x_{n+j}\right)\right) \quad \bmod I \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the coefficient of $x_{i}$ in the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$ is one more than the sum of the entries of the $(i-n)$-th column of $A$ when $i>n$ and zero, otherwise. Hence, the small cover $M$ is orientable if and only if the sum of the entries of the $i$-th column of the matrix $A$ is congruent to 1 modulo 2 for each $i \geq 1$ [12, Theorem, 1.7]. Since $A$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the sum of the entries of the $j$-th column of $A$ is equivalent to the dot product of the column vector with itself. Therefore, the small cover $M$ is orientable if and only if $A_{j} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 1$ modulo 2 , where $A_{j}$ denote the $j$-th column vector of $A$.

In Section 2, we observe that a small cover $M$ has a spin structure when $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq m-n$ (Corollary 2.4). It turns out that when $P$ is a product of simplices of dimensions greater than 1 , the converse is also true (Corollay 3.2). In other words, when each $B_{i}$ is a projectivization of the Whitney sum of 3 or more line bundles, the generalized Bott manifold $B_{k}$ has a spin structure if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq m-n$. In Theorem 3.1, we give a criterion for an arbitrary generalized Bott manifold $B_{k}$ to have a spin structure. It is equivalent to the criterion given in [6].

In [7, Lemma 2.1], Gasior gives a formula for the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $M(A)$ in terms of the second Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M\left(A_{i j}\right)$, where $A_{i j}$ is an $n \times n$ matrix whose $k$-th column is $A_{k}$ if $k=i, j$ and 0 otherwise, called an elementary component. After reducing the problem to elementary components, the author gives a necessary and sufficient condition on existence of a spin structure on them in [7, Theorem 1.2] which can also be obtained as a corollary of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, Proposition 3.7 is a generalization of this result to the generalized real Bott manifolds.

It is well-known that real Bott manifolds can be classified by acyclic digraphs [3]. In [5, Theorem 4.5], Dsouza gives a necessary and sufficient condition on the associated digraph for a given real Bott manifold to have a spin structure. In [9], Güçlükan İlhan and Gürbüzer show that for every generalized Bott manifold $B_{k}$, there is an associated acyclic digraph $D_{B_{k}}$ on labeled vertices $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}$ where each edge from a vertex $v_{i}$ has a vector weight in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n_{i}}$. In Section 4, we generalize the condition given by Dsouza and Uma to a condition on $D_{B_{k}}$ for the associated generalized Bott tower $B_{k}$ to have a spin structure (Theorem 4.2).

The Wu formula implies that $w_{3}(M)=0$ whenever $w_{1}(M)$ and $w_{2}(M)$ are zero. Therefore, the result of Section 3 gives us sufficient conditions for $w_{3}(M)$ to be zero. In Section 5, we obtain a formula for $w_{3}(M)$ when $M$ is a small cover over a product of simplices of dimensions greater than or equal to 3 . As a corollary, we give necessary conditions for the vanishing of the third Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$. We obtain similar results for $w_{4}$ and we classify small covers over a product of simplices of dimensions greater than or equal to 4 whose first four Stiefel-Whitney classes are zero.

## 2. Small covers

Let $P$ be an $n$-dimensional simple convex polytope and $\mathcal{F}(P)=\left\{F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{m}\right\}$ be the set of facets of $P$. A small cover over $P$ is an $n$-dimensional smooth closed manifold M with a locally standard $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$-action whose orbit space is $P$. Two small covers $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ over $P$ are said to be Davis-Jansukiewicz equivalent
if there is a weakly $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$-equivariant homeomorphism between $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ covering the identity on $P$. The Davis-Januskiewicz classes of small covers over $P$ are given by the characteristic functions.

A characteristic function $\lambda: \mathcal{F}(P) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ over $P$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$-coloring function satisfying the following nonsingularity condition:

$$
F_{i_{1}} \cap \cdots \cap F_{i_{n}} \neq \emptyset \quad \Rightarrow\left\langle\lambda\left(F_{i_{1}}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(F_{i_{n}}\right)\right\rangle=\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}
$$

In [3], Davis and Janueskiewicz construct a small cover $M(\lambda)$ associated to a given characteristic function $\lambda$ as the quotient space of the space $\left(P \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}\right)$ and the equivalence relation defined by

$$
(p, g) \sim(q, h) \text { if } p=q \text { and } g^{-1} h \in\left\langle\lambda\left(F_{i_{1}}\right), \ldots, \lambda\left(F_{i_{k}}\right)\right\rangle
$$

where the intersection $\bigcap_{j=1}^{k} F_{i_{j}}$ is the minimal face containing $p$ in its relative interior.

Theorem 2.1 [3, Proposition 1.8] For every small cover $M$ over $P$, there is a characteristic function $\lambda$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$-homeomorphism $M(\lambda) \rightarrow M$ covering the identity on $P$.

The group $G L\left(n, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ acts freely on the set of characteristic functions over $P$ by composition. Moreover, the orbit space of this action is in one-to-one correspondence with the Davis-Januskiewicz equivalence classes of small covers over $P$. Fix a basis $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ for $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n}$ and reorder facets of $P$ in such a way that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} F_{i} \neq \emptyset$. By the above theorem, for a given small cover $M$ over $P$, there is an $(n \times(m-n))$-matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ such that $M$ and $M(\lambda)$ are Davis-Januszkiewicz equivalent where

$$
\lambda\left(F_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}e_{i}, & i \leq n \\ \sum_{j} a_{j i} e_{j} & i>n\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 2.2 (Theorem 4.14, [3]) The mod 2 cohomology ring of $M$ is $\mathbb{Z}[P] / J$, where $J$ is the homogeneous ideal generated by the monomials $x_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{m-n} a_{i j} x_{n+j}$.

Let $w_{i}(M)$ and $w(M)$ denote the $i$-th and the total Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$, respectively. By Corollary 6.8 in [3], the total-Stiefel Whitney class of a small cover over $M$ is given by the equation (1.2). Let $A_{j}$ denote the $j$-th column vector of $A$. Then the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$ is given by the following formula

$$
w_{1}(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-n}\left(1+\sum_{j} a_{j i}\right) \cdot x_{i+n}=\sum_{i=1}^{m-n}\left(1+A_{i} \cdot A_{i}\right) \cdot x_{i+n}
$$

since $a_{j i}^{2}=a_{j i}$. Hence, $M$ is orientable if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m-n$. By comparing the degree 2 -terms in each side of the equation (1.2), one obtains a similar formula for the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$.
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Proposition 2.3 The second Stiefel-Whitney class of $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{2}(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-n} \alpha_{i} \cdot x_{i+n}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq m-n} \beta_{i j} \cdot x_{i+n} \cdot x_{j+n} \quad(\bmod I) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{i}=\binom{1+A_{i} \cdot A_{i}}{2}$ and $\beta_{i j}=\left(1+A_{i} \cdot A_{i}\right)\left(1+A_{j} \cdot A_{j}\right)+A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$.
Proof The coefficient of $x_{i+n}^{2}$ in the equation (1.2) equals the coefficient of $y^{2}$ in $(1+y)\left(\prod_{j}\left(1+a_{j i} y\right)\right)$, which is the $\left(k_{i}+1\right)$-th power of $1+y$, where $k_{i}$ is the number of 1 s in $A_{i}$. Since the entries of $A_{i}$ are either 0 or 1 , the number of 1 's in $A_{i}$ is equal to $A_{i} \cdot A_{i}$. Hence, the coefficient of $x_{i+n}^{2}$ in (1.2) is $\binom{1+A_{i} \cdot A_{i}}{2}$.

To find $\beta_{i j}$, first note that $\left|\left\{t \mid a_{t i}=a_{t j}=1\right\}\right|=A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$. Therefore, $\beta_{i j}$ is equal to the coefficient of $y_{i} y_{j}$ in the product

$$
\left(1+y_{i}\right)^{\left(A_{i} \cdot A_{i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)}\left(1+y_{j}\right)^{\left(A_{j} \cdot A_{j}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)}\left(1+y_{i}+y_{j}\right)^{A_{i j}}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta_{i j} & =\left(A_{i} \cdot A_{i}-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)\left(A_{j} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)+A_{i j}\left(A_{j} \cdot A_{j}\right) \\
& =\left(1+A_{i} \cdot A_{i}\right)\left(1+A_{j} \cdot A_{j}\right)-A_{i} \cdot A_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since we work with $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ coefficients, the result follows.

Corollary 2.4 Let $M$ be a small cover over $P$ with an associated reduced matrix $A$. If $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all possible $i<j$ then $M$ has a spin structure.

## 3. Existence of spin structure

In this section, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of spin structure for generalized Bott manifolds. Let $B_{k}$ be a generalized real Bott manifold given in (1.1). One can realize $B_{k}$ as a small cover over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$, where $\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_{i}=n$. The facets of $P$ is given by the following set

$$
\mathcal{F}=\left\{F_{j}^{i}=\Delta^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{n_{i-1}} \times f_{j}^{i} \times \Delta^{n_{i+1}} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{n_{k}} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 0 \leq j \leq n_{i}\right\}
$$

where $\left\{f_{0}^{i}, \ldots, f_{n_{i}}^{i}\right\}$ is the set of facets of the simplex $\Delta^{n_{i}}$. Note that $P$ has $(n+k)$-facets and the intersection $\bigcap_{j \neq 0} F_{j}^{i}$ is nonempty. Hence, $B_{k}$ can be represented by a $(n \times k)$ matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ by choosing $F_{l}=F_{j}^{i}$ for $l=n_{1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}+j$ and $1 \leq j \leq n_{i}$ and $F_{l}=F_{0}^{i}$ for $l=n+i$. Following [2, 3], one can see $A$ as a $(k \times k)$ vector matrix $A=\left[\mathbf{v}_{i j}\right]$ where $\mathbf{v}_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n_{i}}$. Here $\mathbf{v}_{i j}$ is the column vector whose $l$-th entry is $a_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}+l, j}$.

Note that facets in $\mathcal{F} \backslash\left\{F_{j_{1}}^{1}, \cdots, F_{j_{k}}^{k}\right\}$ intersect at a vertex for every $0 \leq j_{i} \leq n_{i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. Moreover, a family of facets containing the set $\left\{F_{0}^{i}, \cdots, F_{n_{i}}^{i}\right\}$ has an empty intersection for any $1 \leq i \leq k$. Let $A_{l_{1} \cdots l_{k}}$ be a $(k \times k)$ matrix whose $j$-th row is the $l_{j}$-th row of $A$ for $1 \leq l_{i} \leq n_{i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. In [3], using these facts, it is shown that the characteristic function corresponding to $A$ satisfies the nonsingularity condition if
and only if every principal minor of $A_{l_{1} \cdots l_{k}}$ is 1 for all $1 \leq l_{i} \leq n_{i}$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$. This forces $\left(\mathbf{v}_{i i}\right)_{t}=1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq t \leq n_{i}$.

Note that the Stanley-Reisner ring of $P$ is

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[x_{10}, \cdots, x_{1 n_{1}}, \cdots, x_{k 0}, \cdots, x_{k n_{k}}\right] / I
$$

where $I$ is the homogeneous ideal generated by monomial products $x_{i 0} \cdots x_{i n_{i}}, 1 \leq i \leq k$. In this notation, $x_{i j}$ corresponds to $x_{n_{1}+\cdots+n_{i-1}+j}$ when $1 \leq j \leq n_{i}$ and to $x_{n+i}$ when $j=0$ in the equation (1.2). Therefore, the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $B_{k}$ is equal to

$$
w_{2}(M)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \cdot x_{i 0}^{2}+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq k} \beta_{i j} \cdot x_{i 0} \cdot x_{j 0}
$$

modulo $I$ where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i j}$ are as given in Proposition 2.3. From now on, we assume that $n_{i}=1$ for $1 \leq i \leq l$ and $n_{i}>1$, otherwise. This means that the only relations involving the monomials of degree 2 are

$$
x_{i 0}^{2}=\sum_{j \neq i} \mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot x_{i 0} \cdot x_{j 0}
$$

for $1 \leq i \leq l$ (here, the vector $\mathbf{v}_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is considered a scalar). Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{2}(M)= & \sum_{i=l+1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \cdot x_{i 0}^{2}+\sum_{l \leq i<j \leq k} \beta_{i j} \cdot x_{i 0} \cdot x_{j 0}  \tag{3.1}\\
& +\sum_{i<j \leq l}\left(\beta_{i j}+\mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot \alpha_{i}+\mathbf{v}_{j i} \cdot \alpha_{j}\right) \cdot x_{i 0} \cdot x_{j 0} \\
& +\sum_{i<l+1 \leq j \leq k}\left(\beta_{i j}+\mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot \alpha_{i}\right) \cdot x_{i 0} \cdot x_{j 0}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 3.1 The generalized real Bott manifold $B_{k}$ has a spin structure if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
i) $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ when $i \leq l$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$; otherwise,
ii) $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $l \leq i<j \leq k$,
iii) $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot\left(A_{i} \cdot A_{i}+1\right)+\mathbf{v}_{j i} \cdot\left(A_{j} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)}{2}$ have the same parity when $1 \leq i<j \leq l$.
iv) $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot\left(A_{i} \cdot A_{i}+1\right)}{2}$ have the same parity when $1 \leq i<l+1 \leq j \leq k$.

Proof The manifold $B_{k}$ has a spin structure if and only if it is orientable and $w_{2}$ vanishes. Recall that the manifold $B_{k}$ is orientable if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i}$ is congruent to 1 modulo 2 . In this case, $\beta_{i j} \equiv A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ modulo 2. Then the theorem follows from the equation (3.1) and the fact that $\binom{1+A_{j} \cdot A_{j}}{2}$ have the same parity with $\frac{A_{i} \cdot A_{j}+1}{2}$.

It is well-known that the vector matrix $A$ is equivalent to an upper triangular one in which the entries of the diagonal vectors are all 1 via conjugation by a permutation matrix [3, Lemma 5.1]. Under this assumption, the above theorem is equivalent to the [6, Theorem 4.7]. In [6, Theorem 4.7], the ordering in the product is chosen so that the last $k-l$ of the simplices have dimension 1 . Moreover, $T_{s}$ and $T_{r s}$ in [6, Theorem 4.7] are equivalent to $\binom{A_{s} \cdot A_{s}}{2}$ and $A_{r} \cdot A_{s}$, respectively and the orientability condition is equivalent to $A_{s} \cdot A_{s} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. By Theorem 3.1, it follows that the converse of Corollary 2.4 is also true when $l=0$.

Corollary 3.2 The generalized real Bott manifold with $l=0$ has a spin structure if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv$ $3(\bmod 4)$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, where $A$ is the reduced matrix.

Example 3.3 Let $P=\Delta^{2} \times \Delta^{3} \times \Delta^{5}$ and $B$ be a 3-step generalized Bott manifold corresponding to the reduced matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $B$ has a spin structure by the above Corollary.
The following corollary also follows from the Proposition 5.1 of [13].

Corollary 3.4 If a generalized real Bott manifold over $P=\prod_{t=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{t}}$ with $l=0$ admits a spin structure, then $n_{j} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ for some $j$.

Proof Let $P=\prod_{t=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{t}}$ and $M$ be a small cover over $P$ with an associated vector matrix $A$. If $B$ is a vector matrix obtained by conjugating $A$ via permutation matrix $P_{\sigma}$ then $A_{i} \cdot A_{i}=B_{\sigma(i)} \cdot B_{\sigma(i)}$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}=B_{\sigma(i)} \cdot B_{\sigma(j)}$. Therefore, we can assume that $A$ is an upper triangular vector matrix in which the entries of the diagonal vectors are all 1 . Then we have $A_{1} \cdot A_{1}=n_{1}$. So if $M$ has a spin structure, $n_{1} \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$.

When $l=k$, we have the following result.
Corollary 3.5 The real Bott manifold $B_{k}$ has a spin structure if and only if
i) $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2), 1 \leq i \leq k$,
ii) $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{v}_{i j} \cdot\left(A_{i} \cdot A_{i}+1\right)+\mathbf{v}_{j i} \cdot\left(A_{j} \cdot A_{j}+1\right)}{2}$ have the same parity when $1 \leq i<j \leq l$.
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The above corollary is equivalent to Theorem 3.2 in [5] where $A$ is assumed to be upper-triangular. In particular, Theorem 1.2 in [7] directly follows from the corollary.

Example 3.6 Let $P=I \times \Delta^{2} \times \Delta^{2}$. Then a small cover $B_{3}$ over $P$ corresponds to a vector matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{c|c|c}
1 & a_{12} & a_{13} \\
\hline a_{21} & 1 & a_{23} \\
a_{31} & 1 & a_{33} \\
\hline a_{41} & a_{42} & 1 \\
a_{51} & a_{52} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $B_{3}$ has a spin structure, then $a_{12}+a_{42}+a_{52}=1$ and $a_{13}+a_{23}+a_{33}=1$ by part $i$ of Theorem 3.1 and $a_{12} a_{13}+a_{23}+a_{33}+a_{42}+a_{52} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ by part ii of Theorem 3.1. By substituting the first two equations to the last one, we get $a_{12}=a_{13}=0$ and hence $a_{42}+a_{52}=a_{23}+a_{33}=1$. On the other hand, at least one of the vectors $\binom{a_{42}}{a_{52}}$ and $\binom{a_{23}}{a_{33}}$ must be zero by the nonsingularity condition. Hence, there is no small cover over $I \times \Delta^{2} \times \Delta^{2}$ with a spin structure when $n \geq 2$.

It is well-known that when $n_{i}$ 's are all even, there is no orientable small cover over $P$ [1]. Hence, small covers over $P$ have no spin structures when all the $n_{i}$ 's are even. In the next section, we generalize the above example to have a nonexistence result for every small cover over $P=I \times \Delta^{2 n_{1}} \times \cdots \times \Delta^{2 n_{k}}$ for $k \geq 2$. When $k=1$, a small cover over $I \times \Delta^{4 t}$ does not have a spin structure since $A_{2} \cdot A_{2}$ is either $4 t$ or $4 t+1$. However, the small cover over $P=I \times \Delta^{4 t+2}$ corresponding to a characteristic function $\lambda$ which sends $F_{0}^{1}$ to $e_{1}$ and $F_{0}^{2}$ to $e_{1}+e_{2}+\cdots+e_{4 t+3}$ has a spin structure.

Given a dimension function $\omega:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, let $I_{\omega}$ be the identity vector matrix associated to $\omega$, i.e. the $(i, j)$-entry of $I_{\omega}$ is 1 when $\omega(1)+\cdots+\omega(j-1)+1 \leq i \leq \omega(1)+\cdots+\omega(j)$, and 0 , otherwise. To generalize Theorem 1.2 in [7] to our case, we denote the matrix $A-I_{\omega}$, where $\omega(i)=n_{i}$ by $B$.

Proposition 3.7 The generalized real Bott manifold with an associated matrix $B$ has a spin structure if and only if for all $1 \leq i<j \leq k$, the generalized Bott manifold corresponding to $B_{i j}$ has a spin structure, where $B_{i j}$ is the vector matrix whose $l-t h$ column is $B_{l}$ if $l=i, j$ and 0 , otherwise.

## 4. $\omega$-weighted digraph interpretation

In [2], Choi shows that there is a bijection between the set of real Bott manifolds and acyclic digraphs with $n$-labeled vertices which sends $B_{k}$ to a graph whose adjacency matrix is $A-I_{k}$. In [5, Theorem 4.5], Dsouza and Uma give an interpretation of existence of a spin structure for real Bott manifolds in terms of associated digraphs. In this section, we generalize [5, Theorem 4.5] to small covers over a product of simplices.

Definition 4.1 Given a dimension function $\omega: V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, a digraph with vertex set $V$ is called $\omega$-vector weighted if every edge $(u, v)$ is assigned a nonzero vector $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\omega(u)}$.

Let $G$ be a $\omega$-vector weighted digraph. For convenience, we take the weight of $(u, v)$ to be the zero vector in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\omega(u)}$ when there is no edge from $u$ to $v$. If $(u, v)$ is an edge of $G$, then $u$ is called an in-neighbor of
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$v$ and $v$ is called an out-neighbor of $u$. Let $N_{G}^{-}(v)$ and $N_{G}^{+}(v)$ denote the set of in-neighbors and out-neighbors of $v$ in $G$. We define in-degree $\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v)$ and out degree $\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v)$ of $v$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}^{-}(v) & =\sum_{u \in N_{G}^{-}(v)} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \\
\operatorname{deg}^{+}(v) & =\sum_{z \in N_{G}^{+}(v)} \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z}) \cdot \mathbf{w}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{z})
\end{aligned}
$$

We can consider a digraph as an $\omega$-weighted digraph with $\omega(i)=1$ for each $i$. In this case, the notion of in-degree and out-degree of a vertex of a $\omega$-weighted digraph agrees with those of digraphs. An adjacency matrix $A_{\omega}(G)$ of an $\omega$-weighted digraph $G$ with labeled vertices $v_{1}, \cdots, v_{n}$ is defined to be an $(n \times n) \omega$-vector matrix whose $(i, j)$-th entry is $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$. An $\omega$-vector weighted digraph is called acyclic if it does not contain any directed cycle.

As shown in [9], there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of small covers over the product $P=\Delta^{n_{1}} \times \cdots \Delta^{n_{k}}$ and the set of acylic $\omega$-weighted digraphs where $\omega:\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is defined by $\omega\left(v_{i}\right)=n_{i}$. The correspondence is obtained by sending a small cover with an associated matrix $A$ to a $\omega$ weighted digraph whose adjacency matrix is $A-I_{\omega}$. For a given small cover $B$ over $P$, we denote the associated acyclic $\omega$-weighted digraph by $D_{B}$. Recall that the dot product of a vector $\mathbf{v}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ with itself is equal to the number of nonzero coordinates of $\mathbf{v}$. Therefore, $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ is equal to $A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i} \cdot A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i}+\omega(i)$ when $i=j$ and $A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i} \cdot\left(A_{\omega} D_{B}\right)_{j}+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$, otherwise. Moreover, $A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i} \cdot A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i}$ is equal to $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)$. Let $M_{i j}$ be the sum of $\omega\left(u, v_{i}\right) \cdot \omega\left(u, v_{j}\right)$ where $u$ runs in the set of in-neighbor of both $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. Then $A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{i} \cdot A_{\omega}\left(D_{B}\right)_{j}=M_{i j}$.

Theorem 4.2 The generalized real Bott manifold $B$ with associated $w$-weighted digraph $D_{B}$ has a spin structure if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:
i) Indegree of a vertex $v$ of $D_{B}$ is even if $\omega(v)=1$ and is congruent to $-\omega(v)+3$ modulo 4 , otherwise,
ii) $M_{i j}$ is even if $v_{i}$ is neither in-neighbor nor out-neighbor $v_{j}$ with $i \neq j$,
iii) $M_{i j}$ and $\frac{\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)}{2}$ have the same parity when $v_{i}$ is an in-neighbor of $v_{j}$ with $\omega\left(v_{i}\right)=1$,
iv) $M_{i j}$ and $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ have the same parity when $v_{i}$ is an in-neighbor of $v_{j}$ with $\omega\left(v_{i}\right)>1$.

Proof If $v_{i}$ is neither in-neighbor nor out-neighbor $v_{j}$, conditions iii and iv of Theorem 3.1 is equivalent to the statement that $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$ is even for $i \neq j$. In this case, we also have $M_{i j}=A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$. Otherwise, either $v_{i}$ or $v_{j}$ is an in-neighbor of the other one. Since $M_{i j}=M_{j i}$, without loss of generality, we can assume that $v_{i}$ is. Then $A_{i} \cdot A_{j}=M_{i j}+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$. Therefore, when $\omega\left(v_{i}\right)=1$, combining conditions iii and iv of Theorem 3.1, one obtains condition iii above. When $\omega\left(v_{i}\right)>1$, iv can be obtained by combining parts ii and iv of Theorem 3.1.

Example 4.3 Let $P=\Delta^{2} \times \Delta^{3} \times \Delta^{3} \times \Delta^{3}$, and $B$ be a 4-step generalized Bott manifold corresponding to the reduced matrix

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then $\omega:\{1,2,3,4\} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ with $\omega(1)=2, \omega(2)=\omega(3)=\omega(4)=3$ and an $\omega$-weighted digraph corresponding to $B$ is as given below. Since $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{3}\right)=2, B$ has no spin structure by part $i$ of the above theorem.


Corollary 4.4 $A$ small cover over $P=I \times \Delta^{2 n_{1}} \cdots \times \Delta^{2 n_{k}}$ does not have a spin structure when $k \geq 2$.
Proof Let $M$ be a small cover over $P$, and $G$ be the associated acyclic $\omega$-weighted digraph. Assume for a contradiction that $M$ has a spin structure. The underlying digraph of $G$ has a source, say $v_{i}$. Since indegree of $v_{i}$ is zero, the weight of $v_{i}$ must be 1 . Let $v_{j}$ be a source of the digraph obtained by removing $v_{i}$ from the underlying digraph and, $v_{k}$ be a source of the digraph obtained by removing $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$. Then the in-degrees of vertices $v_{j}$ and $v_{k}$ are $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$, respectively. By part i of the above theorem, both of them must be odd. In particular $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)=1$ and, $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ and $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ have different parities. On the other hand, $M_{j k}=\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ as a dot product of $j$-th and $k$-th column of the adjacency matrix. Since $M_{j k}$ and $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ have different parities, $v_{j}$ cannot be an in-neighbor of $v_{k}$, by part iv of the above theorem. This means that $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)$ is the zero vector. Hence, by part ii, $M_{j k}$ must be even and hence $\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{k}}\right)=0$. Contradiction.

## 5. Higher Stiefel-Whitney classes

It is well-known that the Stiefel-Whitney classes $w_{i}$ of a smooth manifold satisfy the Wu formula [11]

$$
S q^{i}\left(w_{j}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{i}\binom{j+t-i-1}{t} w_{i-t} w_{j+t}
$$

where $S q^{i}$ denotes the Steenrod squares. Therefore, for any $i \leq j$ with $i+j=m$, one has

$$
\binom{j-1}{i} w_{m}=S q^{i}\left(w_{m}\right)+\sum_{t=0}^{i-1}\binom{j+t-i-1}{t} w_{i-t} w_{j+t}
$$

Substituting $m=3$ and $i=1$ gives $w_{3}=S q^{1}\left(w_{2}\right)+w_{1} w_{2}$. This means that whenever $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ are both zero, so is $w_{3}$. Therefore, the following result directly follows from Corollary 3.2.

Proposition 5.1 The first three Stiefel-Whitney classes of a small cover over a product of simplices of dimensions greater than or equal to 2 are zero if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0$ (mod 2) for all $i \neq j$ where $A$ is the associated reduced matrix.

Now we show that the conditions of the above proposition are not necessary for $w_{3}(M)$ to be zero. For this, let $k_{S}(A)$ denote the size of the set $\left\{t \mid a_{t s}=1\right.$ for all $\left.s \in S\right\}$ for any $S \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$. We write $k_{S}$ instead of $k_{S}(A)$ when it is clear from the context. Note that $k_{\{i\}}=A_{i} \cdot A_{i}$ and $k_{\{i, j\}}=A_{i} \cdot A_{j}$.

Theorem 5.2 The third Stiefel-Whitney class of a small cover $M$ over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ modulo $I$ is equal to

$$
w_{3}(M)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq k}\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{3} x_{i 0}^{3}+\sum_{i \neq j} P(i, j) x_{i 0}^{2} x_{j 0}+\sum_{i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}} Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) x_{i_{1} 0} x_{i_{2} 0} x_{i_{3} 0}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P(i, j) & =\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{2} \cdot\left(k_{\{j\}}+1\right)-k_{\{i\}} \cdot k_{\{i, j\}}  \tag{5.1}\\
Q\left(i_{2}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) & =\left(\prod_{p=1}^{3}\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}\right\}}+1\right)\right)+\sum_{p=1}^{3}\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}\right\}}+1\right) \cdot k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}-\left\{i_{p}\right\}} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof One can easily find the coefficient of $x_{i 0}^{3}$ as in the Stiefel-Whitney classes of smaller dimensions. The coefficient of $x_{i 0}^{2} x_{j 0}$ is equal to the coefficient of $y_{1}^{2} y_{2}$ in the polynomial

$$
\left(1+y_{1}\right)^{k_{\{i\}}-k_{\{i, j\}}+1}\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{k_{\{j\}}-k_{\{i, j\}}+1}\left(1+y_{1}+y_{2}\right)^{k_{\{i, j\}}}
$$

as before. We can pick $y_{2}$ either from the factor $\left(1+y_{2}\right)^{k_{\{j\}}-k_{\{i, j\}}+1}$ or from the factor $\left(1+y_{1}+y_{2}\right)^{k_{\{i, j\}}}$. If we chose it from the second one, we have to choose $y_{1}^{2}$ from $\left(1+y_{1}\right)^{k_{\{i\}}-k_{\{i, j\}}+1}\left(1+y_{1}+y_{2}\right)^{k_{\{i, j\}}-1}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P(i, j) & =\left(k_{\{j\}}-k_{\{i, j\}}+1\right)\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{2}+k_{\{i, j\}}\binom{k_{\{i\}}}{2} \\
& =\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{2}\left(k_{\{j\}}+1\right)-k_{\{i, j\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The coefficient of the monomial $x_{i_{1} 0} x_{i_{2} 0} x_{i_{3} 0}$ in $w_{3}(M)$ is equal to the coefficient of $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$ in the product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\prod_{j=1}^{3}\left(1+y_{j}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{j}\right\}}-\sum_{p \neq j} k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{j}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+1}\right) \cdot\left(\prod_{p \neq q}\left(1+y_{p}+y_{q}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{q}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}\right) \cdot\left(1+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}( \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we can choose $y_{3}$ from either of the factors $\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}-\sum_{p \neq 3} k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+1},\left(1+y_{1}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}$, $\left(1+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}$ or $\left(1+y_{1}+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)= & \left(k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+1-\sum_{p \neq 3} k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{3}\right\}}\right) \cdot\left(\left(1+k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}\right)\left(1+k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}\right)+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{p=1}^{2}\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}\right) \cdot\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}\right\}}\left(1+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}-\left\{i_{p}\right\}}\right)+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}\right)\right) \\
& +k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}} \cdot\left(k_{i_{1}} k_{i_{2}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By algebraically manipulating terms, one can easily obtain the desired formula for $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$.
Note that the above theorem is also true for small covers over an arbitrary simple convex polytope when the cohomology classes are represented appropriately. Moreover, one can easily find a formula for the third Stiefel-Whitney class of a small cover over a product of simplices as in the equation (3.1) by taking the relations coming from $I$ into account. Here, we focus on the case where the dimension of simplies are all greater than equal to 3 in which $I$ does not contain any relation of dimension 3 to obtain a simple formula.

Corollary 5.3 Let $M$ be a small cover over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 3$. Then $w_{3}(M)=0$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
i) $k_{\{i\}} \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$,
ii) If $k_{\{i\}}$ or $k_{\{j\}}$ is odd then $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if and only if either $k_{\{i\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\{j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod$ 4) or vice a versa,
iii) If $k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}} \equiv k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}} \equiv k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ for $i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}$ then $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$.

Proof Since $I$ does not contain a monomial of degree less than or equal to 3 when $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 3, w_{3}(M)$ is zero if and only if $\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{3} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $i, P(i, j) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $i \neq j$ and $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $i_{1}<i_{2}<i_{3}$. Here the first condition is equivalent to condition $i$. If neither $k_{\{i\}}$ nor $k_{\{j\}}$ is divisible by 4 then $P(i, j) \equiv P(j, i) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Let $k_{\{i\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then $P(i, j) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $j \neq i$. Moreover, $P(j, i) \equiv\left({ }^{k_{\{j\}}+1}\right)-k_{\{j\}} k_{\{i, j\}}$ is even if and only if either $k_{\{j\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ or $k_{\{j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, or $k_{\{j\}} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Therefore, when condition $i$ holds, $P(i, j) \equiv P(j, i) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $M$ satisfies condition $i i$.

Now suppose that conditions $i$ and $i i$ hold. If $k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}, k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}$ and $k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}$ are all divisible by 4 , then we have

$$
Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv 1+k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

and hence, $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $i i i$ holds for the triple $\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$. Now suppose that at least one of them is not divisible by 4 . WLOG, assume that $k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Then $\left(1+k_{\{p\}}\right) k_{\left\{i_{1}, p\right\}} \equiv 1(\bmod$
2) if and only if $k_{\{p\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Therefore, we have

$$
Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv\left(1+k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}\right) k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}+\left(1+k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}\right) k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 2)
$$

This proves the theorem.
Since $k_{i}=\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)+\omega(i)$ and $k_{i j}=M_{i j}+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$, we have the following.

Corollary 5.4 Let $D_{M}$ be an $\omega$-weighted acyclic digraph associated to a small cover $M$ over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 3$. Then $w_{3}(M)=0$ if and only if the following conditions hold for vertices of $D_{M}$ :
i) $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)+\omega(i) \not \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$,
ii) If $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)+\omega(i)$ or $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{j}\right)+\omega(j)$ is odd then $M_{i j}+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}\right)+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{j}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \equiv 1$ ( $\bmod 2$ ) if and only if either $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i}\right)+\omega(i) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{j}\right)+\omega(j) \equiv 1$ ( $\left.\bmod 4\right)$ or vice versa,
iii) If $\operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i_{1}}\right)+\omega\left(i_{1}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i_{2}}\right)+\omega\left(i_{2}\right) \equiv \operatorname{deg}^{-}\left(v_{i_{3}}\right)+\omega\left(i_{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, then

$$
\sum_{p \neq q}\left(M_{i_{p} i_{q}}+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{q}}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{q}}}\right)+\mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{q}}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{w}\left(\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{q}}}, \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{p}}}\right)\right) \equiv 1(\bmod 2)
$$

As shown above, when $M$ is a generalized Bott manifold, the Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$ of dimensions less than or equal to 3 can be written in terms of the dot products of columns of the associated reduced vector matrix $A$. It is natural to ask whether this is true for all dimensions. The following theorem gives an affirmative answer to this question.

Theorem 5.5 The fourth Stiefel-Whitney class of a small cover $M$ over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ modulo $I$ is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{4}(M)= & \sum\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{4} x_{i 0}^{4}+\sum P_{1}(i, j) x_{i 0}^{3} x_{j 0}+\sum P_{2}(i, j) x_{i 0}^{2} x_{j 0}^{2} \\
& \left.+\sum Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)\right) x_{i_{1} 0}^{2} x_{i_{2} 0} x_{i_{3} 0}+\sum R\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right) x_{i_{1} 0} x_{i_{2} 0} x_{i_{3} 0} x_{i_{4} 0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{1}(i, j)= & \binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{3} \cdot\left(k_{\{j\}}+1\right)-\binom{k_{\{i\}}}{2} \cdot k_{\{i, j\}}, \\
P_{2}(i, j)= & \binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{2} \cdot\binom{k_{\{j\}}+1}{2}-k_{\{i\}} k_{\{j\}} k_{\{i, j\}}+\binom{k_{\{i, j\}}}{2}, \\
Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)= & \binom{k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}+1}{2}\left(\left(k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}+1\right)\left(k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}+1\right)-k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}\right)-k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}\left(\sum_{p \neq 1} k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{p}\right\}}\left(k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}-\left\{i_{p}\right\}}+1\right)\right) \\
& +k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}, \\
R\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right)= & \left(\prod_{p=1}^{4}\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}\right\}}+1\right)\right)-\sum_{p \neq q}\left(\left(k_{\left\{i_{p}\right\}}+1\right)\left(k_{\left\{i_{q}\right\}}+1\right)-\frac{k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{q}\right\}}}{2}\right) \cdot k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}-\left\{i_{p}, i_{q}\right\}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof Since the rest can be found similarly, we only provide a proof for the formula for $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$. Here $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ is equal to the coefficient of $y_{1} y_{2} y_{3}$ in (5.3). One can choose $y_{3}$ from either of the factors $\left(1+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}-\sum_{p \neq 3} k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+1},\left(1+y_{1}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}},\left(1+y_{2}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}$, or $\left(1+y_{1}+\right.$ $\left.y_{2}+y_{3}\right)^{k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)= & \left(k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}+1-\sum_{p \neq 3} k_{\left\{i_{p}, i_{3}\right\}}\right) \cdot\left[\binom{k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}+1}{2} \cdot\left(k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}+1\right)-k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}} k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}\right] \\
& +\left(k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}\right) \cdot\left[\binom{k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}}{2} \cdot\left(k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}+1\right)-\left(k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}-1\right) k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}\right] \\
& +\left(k_{\left\{i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}\right) \cdot\left[\binom{k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}+1}{2} \cdot k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}-k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}} k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}\right] \\
& +k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}} \cdot\left[\binom{k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}}{2} \cdot k_{\left\{i_{2}\right\}}-\left(k_{\left\{i_{1}\right\}}-1\right)\left(k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}-1\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the sum of the first factors of each term in the RHS of the equation is $k_{\left\{i_{3}\right\}}+1$, the result easily follows.

Corollary 5.6 Let $M$ be a small cover over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 4$. Then $w_{4}(M)=0$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
i) $k_{\{i\}} \equiv 0,1,2$ or $7(\bmod 8)$,
ii) $k_{\{i, j\}}$ must satisfy the following table:
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| $k_{\{i\}}(\bmod 8)$ | $k_{\{j\}}(\bmod 8)$ | $k_{\{i, j\}}(\bmod 4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 or 1 |
| 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 |
| - | 7 | 0 |

iii) $k_{\{i, j, l\}}$ must satisfy the following table

| $k_{\{i\}}(\bmod 8)$ | $k_{\{j\}}(\bmod 8)$ | $k_{\{l\}}(\bmod 8)$ | $k_{\{i, j, l\}}(\bmod 2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | $k_{\{0,1\}}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | $k_{\{0,1\}}$ |
| 0 | 1 | 2 | $k_{\{0,1\}}$ |
| 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |

Proof Note that $\binom{k_{\{i\}}+1}{4} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $k_{\{i\}}$ satisfies the condition $i$. Here $k_{\{i, j\}}$ and $k_{\{i, j, l\}}$ depend on the values of $k_{\{i\}}, k_{\{j\}}$ up to modulo 8 , and $k_{\{i\}}, k_{\{j\}}$ and $k_{\{l\}}$ up to modulo 8 , respectively. Let $\theta_{i}$ denote the integer between 0 and 7 that is congruent to $k_{\{i\}}$ modulo 8 .

Suppose that $w_{4}(M)=0$. Therefore, $P_{1}(i, j), P_{2}(i, j), Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right)$ and $R\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right)$ are zero modulo 2 for all possible combinations. When $\theta_{i}=7, P_{1}(i, j) \equiv k_{\{i, j\}}$ and $P_{2}(i, j) \equiv k_{\{i, j\}}+\binom{k_{\{i, j\}}}{2}$. This gives that $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ when $\theta_{i}=7$. When $\theta_{i}=2, P_{1}(i, j) \equiv k_{\{i\}}+1+k_{\{i, j\}}(\bmod 2)$ and hence we have $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ when $\theta_{j}=1,7$ and $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ when $\theta_{j}=0,2$. Since when $\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=(2,2)$, $P_{2}(i, j) \equiv 1+\binom{k_{\{i, j\}}}{2}(\bmod 2), k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. When $\theta_{i}=0, P_{2}(i, j) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ yields $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 0$ or 1 $(\bmod 4)$. In particular, we have $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ when $\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=(0,2)$. Similarly, when $\theta_{i}=1, P_{2}(i, j) \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 2)$ gives $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 1$ or $2(\bmod 4)$ and hence we have $k_{\{i, j\}} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ when $\left(\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right)=(1,2)$.

When $\theta_{i}=0$ for all $i \in\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}, R\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right) \equiv 1+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}}(\bmod 2)$ and hence it is zero modulo 2 if and only if $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Since $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \equiv 0 \operatorname{or} 1(\bmod 4)$ whenever $\theta_{i}=0$, we have $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \equiv 1(\bmod$ $4)$ in this case. Similarly, when $\theta_{i}=1$ for all $i \in\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right\}, R\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}, i_{4}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ yields $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}\right\}} \equiv 2$ $(\bmod 4)$ since it is either 1 or 2 modulo 4.

Under these assumptions, when $\theta_{i}=7$ for one of the $i_{1}, i_{2}$ or $i_{3}, Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. When $\left(\theta_{i_{1}}, \theta_{i_{2}}, \theta_{i_{3}}\right)=(2,0,0), Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv 1+k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Similarly, $Q\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for $\left(\theta_{i_{1}}, \theta_{i_{2}}, \theta_{i_{3}}\right)=\left(2, p_{1}, p_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\theta_{i_{1}}, \theta_{i_{2}}, \theta_{i_{3}}\right)=\left(0, q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ where $0 \leq p_{t} \leq 2$ and $0 \leq q_{t} \leq 1$ give the all the remaining restrictions on $k_{\left\{i_{1}, i_{2}, i_{3}\right\}}$ and proves the only if part of the theorem. One can easily check that under these restrictions, $w_{4}(M)=0$.

Whenever $m$ is not a power of 2 , the Wu formula can be used to express $w_{m}$ in terms of lower Stiefel-

Whitney classes and their Steenrod squares. Hence, one can conclude that whenever the lower dimensional Stiefel-Whitney classes are zero then so is $w_{m}$ for $m \neq 2^{p}$ for any $p$. Hence, we have the following result.

Corollary 5.7 Let $M$ be a small cover over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 4$ with an associated matrix $A$. Then the first seven Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$ are zero if and only if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 8), A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\{i, j, l\}}=\left|\left\{t \mid a_{i t}=a_{j t}=a_{l t}=1\right\}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $i<j<l$.

Proof By Proposition 5.1 and the above argument, it suffices to show that if $A_{i} \cdot A_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 8), A_{i} \cdot A_{j} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $k_{\{i, j, l\}}=\left|\left\{t \mid a_{i t}=a_{j t}=a_{l t}=1\right\}\right| \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ for all $i<j<l$ then $w_{4}(M)=0$. This directly follows from Theorem 5.5.

When $m$ is a power of 2 , for all $i+j=m,\binom{j-1}{i}$ is always even and hence one can not use the Wu formula to find $w_{m}$. Considering the results of the paper, we believe that for each $m=2^{t}, k_{\{S\}}$ 's where $S$ is a subset of size $t$ of $\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ will appear as a coefficient of $w_{m}(M)$ and we conjecture the following.

Conjecture 5.8 Let $M$ be a small cover over $P=\prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta^{n_{i}}$ with $n_{i} \geq 2^{t}$ with an associated matrix $A$. Then the first $2^{t+1}-1$ Stiefel-Whitney classes of $M$ are zero if and only if for any $S \subseteq\{1,2, \cdots, k\}$ of size less than or equal to $t+1, k_{S}=\mid\left\{i \mid a_{s i}=1\right.$ for any $\left.s \in S\right\} \mid$ is congruent to -1 modulo $2^{t+1}$ when $|S|=1$ and is congruent to 0 modulo $2^{t+1-|S|}$, otherwise.
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