

## LOCALLY NILPOTENT $p$ -GROUPS WHOSE PROPER SUBGROUPS ARE $NC$ -GROUPS

*A.O. Asar & A. Yalıncağlıoğlu*

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group in which every proper subgroup is an  $NC$ -group. It is shown that  $G$  is itself an  $NC$ -group if either (i) the normal closure of every finite subgroup of  $G$  is a Chernikov extension of a  $CC$ -group or (ii) every proper normal subgroup of  $G$  is the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a group and  $P$  be a property of groups. If every proper subgroup of  $G$  satisfies the property  $P$  but  $G$  itself does not satisfy it, then  $G$  is called a **minimal non  $P$ -group**. For brevity, a group which is a Chernikov (finite) extension of a nilpotent group is called an  **$NC$ -group** ( **$NF$ -group**). A minimal non  $NC$ -group ( $NF$ -group) is called an  $\overline{NC}$ -group ( $\overline{NF}$ -group). Bruno in [4] studied locally graded  $\overline{NF}$ -groups. (A group is called **locally graded** if every nontrivial finitely generated subgroup of it has a proper subgroup of finite index.) Later, Otal and Peña in [9] extended the results of [4] to  $\overline{NC}$ -groups. The study of  $\overline{NC}$ -groups was continued in [1] and [2].

Of course, nonperfect  $\overline{NF} - p$ -groups exist. The Heineken-Mohamed group constructed in [6] is the first example of this type. However it is not known yet whether or not perfect  $\overline{NF} - p$ -groups or  $\overline{NC} - p$ -groups exist. Even under the imposition of the normalizer condition the problem still remains open (see [2]). Also, the existence problem of minimal non  $FC$ -groups and minimal non  $CC$ -groups still remain unsolved (see [3], [10] and [12]). The purpose of this work is to study a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group in which every proper subgroup is an  $NC$ -group under the additional condition that certain subgroups are  $CC$ -groups. It was shown in [3] that a locally finite minimal non  $CC$ -group cannot contain an element whose centralizer is an  $NC$ -group. Here it is shown that in an  $\overline{NC} - p$ -group normal closures of finite subgroups cannot be Chernikov extensions of  $CC$ -groups (Theorem 1). More generally, it is shown that in an  $\overline{NC} - p$ -group proper normal subgroups cannot be the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups (Theorem 2). A particular consequence of this work is that if an  $NC - p$ -group is a

$CC$ -group, then it is an  $NF$ -group which generalizes Theorem 2.3 of [5] (See Lemma 2.3).

The definitions of an  $FC$ -group,  $CC$ -group,  $FC$ -element and  $FC$ -center are given in [11]. Analogously, the terms  $CC$ -element and  $CC$ -center are defined. For a group  $G$  we denote the  $FC$ -center and the  $CC$ -center of  $G$  by  $FC(G)$  and  $CC(G)$ , respectively. It can be shown as in Lemma 4.31 of [11] that  $CC(G)$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $G$ . Finally for any  $i \geq 1$ ,  $Z_i(G)$  and  $K_i(G)$  denote the  $i$ th term of the upper central series and the lower central series of  $G$ , respectively.

We can now state the main results of this work.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group such that every proper subgroup of  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group. If for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ ,  $F^G$  is a Chernikov extension of a  $CC$ -group, then  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group.*

The group in Theorem 1 need not be an  $NF$ -group as the Heineken-Mohamed group of [6] shows. However the following holds.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1. If for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ ,  $F^G$  is a  $CC$ -group, then  $G$  is an  $NF$ -group. Here, if "CC-group" is replaced by "FC-group" then  $G$  is nilpotent.*

**Corollary 2.** ([1], **Theorem C**). *Let  $G$  be as in Theorem 1. If every proper normal subgroup of  $G$  is a Chernikov extension of its  $CC$ -center, then  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group.*

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on the following.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group such that every proper subgroup of  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group. If every proper normal subgroup of  $G$  is the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups, then  $G$  is an  $NF$ -group.*

In this theorem "proper" cannot be replaced by "nilpotent" as the following example shows.

**Example.** Let  $A$  and  $U$  be two isomorphic copies of  $C_{p^\infty}$  and let  $H = AwrU$  be the restricted wreath product of  $A$  by  $U$ . Then every normal nilpotent subgroup of  $H$  is abelian but  $H$  is not an  $NF$ -group.

**Solution.** Let  $B$  be the base group of  $H$ . Then  $B$  is radicable abelian. It suffices to show that if  $K$  is a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $H$ , then  $K \leq B$ . If  $K$  is not contained in  $B$ , then choose  $x \in K \setminus B$ . Now  $x = bu$  for some  $b \in B$  and  $u \in U$ . Then  $x^B$  is nilpotent since  $x^B \leq K$  which implies that  $Bx^B = Bu^B = Bu$  is nilpotent. However this is impossible since  $Awr\langle u \rangle$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $B\langle u \rangle$  and the former group is not nilpotent by Corollary 3.3 of [7] since  $A$  has infinite exponent.

For the convenience of the reader we end this section by stating Theorem A of [2].

**Theorem A.** *Let  $G$  be a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group which does not have any proper subgroup of finite index. Suppose that every proper subgroup of  $G$  is an NC-group. Then the following hold.*

(i) *If  $G = G'$ , then  $G$  is an ascending union of proper normal nilpotent subgroups. Furthermore  $G$  has a normal nilpotent subgroup  $N$  such that for any normal nilpotent subgroup  $M$  containing  $N$ ,  $M/N$  has finite exponent. In particular every proper subgroup  $X$  of  $G$  has a normal nilpotent subgroup  $Y$  with the property that  $X/Y$  is Chernikov and  $YN/N$  has finite exponent.*

(ii) *If  $G \neq G'$ , then  $G$  is an NC-group.*

**2. Proof of Theorem 2.**

The following is a direct consequence of the proof of 3.10 Lemma of [4].

**Lemma 2.1 (Bruno).** *Let  $H$  be an FC-group and  $K$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H/K$  is finite. Let  $F$  be a finite subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H = FK$ . If  $K$  has nilpotency class  $c$ , then  $H/Z_c(H)$  is finite.*

**Proof.** See the proof of 3.10 Lemma of [4]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $T$  be an NC –  $p$ -group and  $K$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $T$  such that  $T/K \cong C_p^{(n)}$ , for some  $n \geq 1$ . Suppose that for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $T$ ,  $F^K$  is a CC-group. Then  $T$  is nilpotent.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $T$  is not nilpotent. First assume that  $K$  is abelian. Let  $F$  be a finite subgroup of  $T$ . By hypothesis  $F^K$  is a CC-group. Therefore if we let

$$C = C_K(F^{F^K}),$$

then  $F^K/C \cap F^K$  is Chernikov. Put  $V = [F, K]$ . Then

$$F^K = FV \quad \text{and} \quad F^{F^K} = F^{FV} = F^V = F[F, V].$$

Hence

$$C = C_K(F[F, V]) = C_K(FK) = C_K(F),$$

since  $K$  is abelian. In particular,  $C$  is normal in  $T$  since  $FK$  is normal in  $T$ . Similarly  $V$  is normal in  $T$  since  $V = [FK, K]$ . Thus  $T$  induces an automorphism group on the Chernikov group  $V/V \cap C$ , since  $V \leq F^K$ . By Theorem 3.29.2 of [11] this automorphism group must be trivial since  $V \leq K$ ,  $K$  is abelian and  $T/K$  is radicable abelian. Hence it follows that

$$[V, T] \leq V \cap C,$$

which means that

$$[K, F, T] = [K, T, F] \leq V \cap C$$

by p.64 of Part II of [11], since  $T$  is metabelian. Consequently it follows that

$$[K, T, F, F] = 1$$

for all finite subgroups  $F$  of  $T$ . Now if  $F$  is kept fixed, then for any finite subgroup  $E$  of  $T$  containing  $F$  the last equality yields that

$$[K, T, E, F] = 1$$

which implies that

$$[K, T, T, F] = 1$$

by the choice of  $E$ . But it is easy to see that

$$[K, T, T] = [K, T]$$

since  $K/[K, T] \leq Z(T/[K, T])$  and  $T/K \cong C_p^{(n)}$ . Consequently it follows that

$$[K, T, F] = 1.$$

Again since  $F$  is any finite subgroup of  $T$ , it follows that

$$[K, T, T] = 1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad [K, T] = 1$$

which is a contradiction.

Next, suppose that  $K$  is not abelian. Then  $T/K'$  is nilpotent by the first part of the proof, but also  $K$  is nilpotent by hypothesis which implies that  $T$  is nilpotent by Theorem 2.27, of [11] which is another contradiction.  $\square$

The following generalizes Theorem 2.3 of [5].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $H$  be an  $NC - p$ -group. Suppose that every proper normal subgroup of  $H$  is the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups. Then  $H$  is an  $NF$ -group.

**Proof.** Let  $K$  be a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $H$  such that  $H/K$  is Chernikov. Let  $T/K$  be the unique maximal radicable abelian subgroup of  $H/K$ . Then  $H/T$  is finite and  $T/K \cong C_p^{(n)}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Thus to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $T$  is nilpotent. If  $T = K$  then this obvious. So suppose that  $T \neq K$ . Let  $F$  be a finite subgroup of  $T$ . Since  $T/K$  is the union of an ascending chain of finite characteristic subgroups of  $H/K$  it follows that  $F^H K/K$  is finite and hence  $F^H K < T \leq H$ . Thus  $F^H K$  is the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups by hypothesis and

obviously some term of this chain contains  $F^K$  and thus makes it a  $CC$ -group. Clearly then  $T$  must be nilpotent by Lemma 2.2, which was to be shown.  $\square$

The group  $H$  in the above lemma need not be nilpotent as the infinite locally dihedral 2-group shows.

**Lemma 2.4.** An  $\overline{NC}$ - $p$ -group is countably infinite.

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an  $\overline{NC}$ - $p$ -group. By Theorem A of [2]  $G$  is perfect. Also  $G$  is infinite since it is not an  $NC$ -group. Now  $G$  is not solvable since  $G = G'$  but every proper subgroup of it, being an  $NC$ -group is solvable. Therefore for each  $n \geq 1$   $G$  contains a finite subgroup  $F_n$  such that the derived length of  $F_n$  is greater than  $n$ . Clearly then  $F = \langle F_n : n \geq 1 \rangle$  is a nonsolvable subgroup of  $G$  and thus  $F = G$ , since every proper subgroup of  $G$  is solvable. Also  $F$  is countable by its construction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** Assume that  $G$  is not an  $NF$ -group. If  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group then it is an  $NF$ -group by Lemma 2.3 which is a contradiction. Therefore  $G$  is an  $\overline{NC}$ - $p$ -group. Thus in particular  $G$  is countable and perfect by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem A of [2]. Also by the same theorem  $G$  can be expressed as

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} N_i, \tag{1}$$

where for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $N_i$  is a normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N_i \leq N_{i+1}$ . Moreover, by the same theorem  $G$  contains a normal nilpotent subgroup  $N$  such that  $N_i N/N$  has finite exponent for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since  $G/N$  satisfies the hypothesis of the theorem we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $N = 1$  and so each  $N_i$  has finite exponent.

Choose  $a \in G \setminus Z(G)$  and put  $C = C_G(a)$ . Since  $C \neq G$ , it contains a normal nilpotent subgroup  $Y$  such that  $C/Y$  is Chernikov. Let  $c$  be the nilpotency class of  $Y$ . Without loss of generality  $a \in N_1$ .

Next choose  $i \geq 1$  and put  $L = N_i$ . By hypothesis

$$L = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} L_j,$$

where for each  $j \geq 1$ ,  $L_j$  is a normal  $CC$ -subgroup of  $L$ . In fact each  $L_j$ , being nilpotent, is an  $FC$ -group by Theorem 2.3 of [5] (see also Lemma 3.2 of [1]). Let  $j \geq 1$ . Since  $a \in L$ ,  $[L_j : L_j \cap C]$  is finite. Also  $L_j \cap C/L_j \cap Y$  is Chernikov. But since  $L$  has finite exponent, the group  $L_j \cap C/L_j \cap Y$  and hence also the index  $[L_j : L_j \cap Y]$  is finite. Therefore  $L_j$  contains a normal nilpotent subgroup of finite index whose nilpotency class

is at most  $c$ . So now applying Lemma 2.1 yields that  $L_j/Z_c(L_j)$  is finite. This means that  $K_{c+1}(L_j)$  is finite for all  $j \geq 1$  by Corollary 2 of Theorem 4.21 of [11]. Consequently it follows that  $K_{c+1}(N_i) = K_{c+1}(L)$  is an  $FC$ -group since

$$K_{c+1}(L) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} K_{c+1}(L_j).$$

On the other hand

$$K_{c+1}(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{c+1}(N_i). \tag{2}$$

by (1) and also  $G = K_{c+1}(G)$  since  $G$  is perfect. So substituting this in (2) and letting  $V_i = K_{c+1}(N_i)$  for all  $i \geq 1$ , we get

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i,$$

where now for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $V_i$  is a normal  $FC$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $V_i \leq V_{i+1}$ . Also, each  $V_i$  has finite exponent since  $V_i \leq N_i$ . Therefore we can apply to  $G$  and  $C$  the same argument which was applied to  $L$  and  $C \cap L$  above. This yields as before that

$$G = K_{c+1}(G) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} K_{c+1}(V_i),$$

where for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $K_{c+1}(V_i)$  is a finite normal subgroup of  $G$ . This is a contradiction since  $G = G'$  and  $G \neq 1$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $H$  be a locally nilpotent  $p$ -group such that every finite subgroup of  $H$  is subnormal. Let  $X$  be a subgroup of finite exponent of  $H$  such that  $X^H/K$  is Chernikov for some normal  $CC$ -subgroup  $K$  of  $X^H$ . Then  $K \leq CC(X^H)$ .

**Proof.** Put  $L = X^H$  and let  $T/K$  be the unique maximal radicable abelian subgroup of  $L/K$ . Then  $L = ET$  for some finite subgroup  $E$  of  $L$ . Now  $T/K \leq Z(L/K)$  by hypothesis and by Lemma 3.13 of [11]. Let  $m$  be the order of  $E$  and put

$$D/K = \langle aK : (aK)^m = 1 \rangle.$$

Then  $D/K$  is a finite normal subgroup of  $L/K$  since  $T/K$  is Chernikov and contained in  $Z(L/K)$ . Also  $\frac{L/K}{D/K}$  is radicable abelian and generated by elements of bounded order by definition of  $L$  which is possible only if

$$\frac{L/K}{D/K} = 1 \quad \text{and hence} \quad L/K = D/K,$$

that is,  $L/K$  is finite.

Let  $a \in K$  and put  $R = C_K(a^K)$ . Then  $K/R$  is Chernikov by hypothesis. Next let  $S$  be a complete set of right coset representatives for  $K$  in  $L$ . Then  $S$  is finite by the preceding paragraph. Also,

$$M = \bigcap_{x \in L} R^x = \bigcap_{s \in S} R^s,$$

since  $R$  is normal in  $K$ .

Clearly  $K/M$  is Chernikov since  $S$  is finite which implies that  $L/M$  is Chernikov since  $L/K$  is finite. Consequently, it follows that  $L/C_L(a^L)$  is Chernikov since  $M \leq C_L(a^L)$  and hence  $a \in CC(L)$ . Since  $a$  is any element of  $K$  it follows that  $K \leq CC(L)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $G$  is not an  $NC$ -group. Then  $G$  is an  $\overline{NC} - p$ -group. Thus  $G$  is countable, perfect and every finite subgroup of  $G$  is subnormal in  $G$  by Lemma 2.4 and Theorem A of [2]. In particular, for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ ,  $F^G$  is a Chernikov extension of a  $CC$ -group.

Let  $E$  be any finite subgroup of  $G$  and put  $L = E^G$ . By hypothesis  $L$  contains a normal  $CC$ -subgroup  $K$  such that  $L/K$  is Chernikov. Now  $K \leq CC(L)$  by Lemma 3.1 which implies that  $L/CC(L)$  is Chernikov. Since  $CC(L)$  is characteristic in  $L$  and  $G$  is perfect, applying Theorem 3.29 of [11] yields that

$$[L, G] \leq CC(L),$$

that is,  $[L, G] = [E^G, G] = [E, G]$  is a  $CC$ -group. On the other hand, since  $G$  is countable,

$$G = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i,$$

where for each  $i \geq 1$ ,  $F_i$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$  such that  $F_i \leq F_{i+1}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} G = [G, G] &= \left[ \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} F_i^G, G \right] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [F_i^G, G] \\ &= \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} [F_i, G]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $G$  is the union of an ascending chain of normal  $CC$ -subgroups. But then  $G$  is an  $NF$ -group by Theorem 2, which is a contradiction.

**Proof of Corollary 1.** By Theorem 1  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group. Thus  $G$  contains a normal nilpotent subgroup  $K$  such that  $G/K$  is Chernikov. Let  $T/K$  be the unique maximal radicable abelian subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $G = ET$  for some finite subgroup  $E$  of  $G$ . Thus to complete the proof it suffices to show that  $T$  is nilpotent. But since  $F^T$  is a  $CC$ -group for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $T$  by hypothesis, it follows that  $T$  is nilpotent by Lemma 2.2.

Next suppose that  $F^G$  is an  $FC$ -group for every finite subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ . Then since  $E^G$  is a normal  $FC$ -subgroup of  $G$  it is easy to see that  $E$  is subnormal in  $G$  and, hence,  $G = ET$  is nilpotent by (1) Lemma of [8].

**Proof of Corollary 2.** Assume that  $G$  is an  $\overline{NC}$ - $p$ -group. By Theorem A of [2], for each finite subgroup  $F$  of  $G$ ,  $F^G < G$  and hence  $F^G/CC(F^G)$  is Chernikov by hypothesis. But then  $G$  is an  $NC$ -group by Theorem 1, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

### References

- [1] A. Arikan and A.O. Asar, On periodic groups in which every proper subgroup is an  $NC$ -group, *Turkish J. Math.*, **18** (1994), 255-262.
- [2] A.O. Asar, On nonnilpotent  $p$ -groups and the normalizer condition, *Turkish J. Math.*, **18** (1994), 114-129.
- [3] A.O. Asar and A. Arikan, On minimal non  $CC$ -groups, *Revista Matemática de La Univ., Complutense de Madrid* **10** (1997), 31-37.
- [4] B. Bruno, On groups with nilpotent-by-finite proper subgroups, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, (**7**) 3-A (1989), 45-51.
- [5] S. Franciosi, F.D. Giovanni and M.J. Tomkinson, Groups with Chernikov conjugacy classes, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, (Series A) **50** (1991), 1-14.
- [6] H. Heineken and I.J. Mohamed, A group with trivial center satisfying the normalizer condition, *J. Algebra*, **10**, (1968), 338-376.
- [7] H. Liebeck, Concerning nilpotent wreath products, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, **58** (1962), 442-451.
- [8] W. Möhres, Torsionsgruppen deren Untergruppen alle Subnormal sind, *Geom. Dedicat.*, **3** (1989), 237-244.
- [9] J. Otal and J.M. Peña, Groups in which every proper subgroup is Chernikov-by-nilpotent or nilpotent-by-Chernikov, *Arch. Math.*, **51** (1988), 193-197.
- [10] J. Otal and J.M. Peña, Minimal non  $CC$ -groups, *Communications in Algebra*, **16** (6) (1988), 1231-1242.
- [11] D.J.S. Robinson, *Finiteness Conditions and Generalized Soluble Groups I, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1972.
- [12] M.J. Tomkinson, *FC-Groups: Recent Progress, Infinite Groups 94*, Eds.: de Giovanni/Newell, Walter de Gruyter & Co. Berlin, New York 1995.



ASAR & YALINCAKLIOĞLU

Ali Osman ASAR  
Gazi University,  
Department of Mathematical Education  
Teknikokullar, 06500  
Ankara-TURKEY

Received 11.06.1997

Aynur YALINCAKLIOĞLU  
Gazi University,  
Department of Mathematics  
Teknikokullar, 06500  
Ankara-TURKEY