

GEODESICS IN A TENSOR BUNDLE

Abdullah Kopuzlu & A. A. Salimov

Abstract

The main purpose of the present paper is to study geodesics in a tensor bundle $T_q^p(M_n)$ with respect to the horizontal lift ${}^H\nabla$ of an affine connection ∇ .

Key words and phrases: Tensor, Tensor Bundle, Connection, Horizontal Lift, Geodesic.*

1. Introduction

Let M_n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ and $T_q^p(Q)$ the vector space of tensors type (p, q) at a point Q of M_n that is, the set of all tensors of type (p, q) , of M_n at Q . Then the set

$$T_q^p(M_n) = \bigcup_{Q \in M_n} T_q^p(Q)$$

is, by definition, the tensor bundle over the manifold M_n . For any point \tilde{Q} of $T_q^p(M_n)$ such that $\tilde{Q} \in T_q^p(Q)$, the correspondence $\tilde{Q} \rightarrow Q$ determines the bundle projection $\pi : T_q^p(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$.

Let x^i be local coordinates in a neighborhood U of $Q \in M_n$. Then a tensor t of type (p, q) at Q which is an element of $T_q^p(M_n)$ is expressible in the form $(x^i, t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}) = (x^i, x^{\bar{i}})$ ($x^{\bar{i}} = t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}$, $\bar{i} = h + 1, \dots, h + n^{p+q}$), where $t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}$ are components of t with respect to the natural frame $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$. We may consider $(x^i, x^{\bar{i}})$ as local coordinates in a neighborhood $\pi^{-1}(U)$ of $T_q^p(M_n)$.

*AMS Subject classification number: Primary 53A45; secondary 53C55

To a transformation of local coordinates of M_n ; $x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$, there corresponds in $T_q^p(M_n)$ the coordinates transformation

$$x^{i'} = x^{i'}(x^1, \dots, x^n)$$

$$x^{\bar{i}'} = t_{i'_1 \dots i'_q}^{j'_1 \dots j'_p} = A_{j'_1}^{j'_1} \dots A_{j'_p}^{j'_p} A_{i'_1}^{i_1} \dots A_{i'_q}^{i_q} t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p} = A_{(j')}^{(j')} A_{(i')}^{(i)} x^{\bar{i}'} \quad (1)$$

where $A_{i'}^i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{i'}}$, $A_i^{i'} = \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^i}$, $A_{(j')}^{(j')} = A_{j'_1}^{j'_1} \dots A_{j'_p}^{j'_p}$, $A_{(i')}^{(i)} = A_{i'_1}^{i_1} \dots A_{i'_q}^{i_q}$.

The Jacobian of (1) is given by the matrix

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^{I'}}{\partial x^I} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} A_i^{i'} & 0 \\ t_{(k)}^{(j)} \partial_i (A_{(i')}^{(k)} A_{(j')}^{(j)}) & A_{(i')}^{(i)} A_{(j')}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where $I = (i, \bar{i})$, $I' = (i', \bar{i}')$, $t_{(k)}^{(j)} = t_{k_1 \dots k_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}$

2. Horizontal Lifts of Affine Connection

We denote by $\mathcal{T}_q^p(M_n)$ the set of all tensor fields of class C^∞ and of type (p, q) in M_n .

We now assume that M_n is a manifold with an affine connection ∇ . Let X^h and Γ_{ji}^h be components of $X \in \mathcal{T}_0^1$ and ∇ , respectively, with respect to the local coordinates (x^h) in M_n . Then the horizontal lift of X have components

$${}^H X = \begin{pmatrix} {}^H X^i \\ {}^H X^{\bar{i}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} X^i \\ \sum_{\mu=1}^q \Gamma_{hi_\mu}^m X^h t_{i_1 \dots m \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p} - \sum_{\lambda=1}^p \Gamma_{hm}^{j\lambda} X^h t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots m \dots j_p} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^i, x^{\bar{i}})$ in $T_q^p(M_n)$ (see [1]).

Let $A_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}$ be components of $A \in \mathcal{T}_q^p(M_n)$. We can easily verify by means of (2) that the \tilde{A}^J defined by

$$\tilde{A}^i = 0, \tilde{A}^{\bar{i}} = A_{i_1 \dots m \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p}$$

determine in $T_q^p(M_n)$ a vector field. This vector field is called the vertical lift of the tensor field $A \in \mathcal{T}_q^p(M_n)$ to $T_q^p(M_n)$ and denoted by ${}^V A$ (see [2]).

We shall now define the horizontal lift ${}^H \nabla$ of an affine connection ∇ in M_n to $T_q^p(M_n)$ by the conditions

$${}^H(\nabla_X Y) = {}^H \nabla_{HX} {}^H Y, {}^V(\nabla_X A) = {}^H \nabla_{HX} {}^V A, {}^H \nabla_{v_A} {}^H X = 0, {}^H \nabla_{v_A} {}^V B = 0$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_0^1(M_n)$, $A, B \in T_q^q(M_n)$, from which we have (see [3])

$$\begin{aligned}
 H\Gamma_{ms}^i &= \Gamma_{ms}^i \\
 H\bar{\Gamma}_{ms}^i &= \sum_{b=1}^q \Gamma_{sl_b}^{j_b} \delta_{l_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{l_{b-1}}^{j_{b-1}} \delta_{l_{b+1}}^{j_{b+1}} \dots \delta_{l_p}^{j_p} \delta_{i_1}^{m_1} \delta_{i_2}^{m_2} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{m_q} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{c=1}^q \Gamma_{si_c}^{m_c} \delta_{i_1}^{m_1} \dots \delta_{i_{c-1}}^{m_{c-1}} \delta_{i_{c+1}}^{m_{c+1}} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{m_q} \delta_{l_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{l_p}^{j_p}, \\
 H\bar{\Gamma}_{m\bar{s}}^i &= \sum_{b=1}^p \Gamma_{mk_b}^{j_b} \delta_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{k_{b-1}}^{j_{b-1}} \delta_{k_{b+1}}^{j_{b+1}} \dots \delta_{k_p}^{j_p} \delta_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{s_q} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{c=1}^q \Gamma_{mi_c}^{s_c} \delta_{i_1}^{s_1} \dots \delta_{i_{c-1}}^{s_{c-1}} \delta_{i_{c+1}}^{s_{c+1}} \dots \delta_{i_q}^{s_q} \delta_{k_1}^{j_1} \dots \delta_{k_p}^{j_p}, \tag{3} \\
 H\bar{\Gamma}_{ms}^i &= \sum_{b=1}^p (\partial_m \Gamma_{sq}^{jb} + \Gamma_{mr}^{jb} \Gamma_{sq}^r - \Gamma_{ms}^r \Gamma_{ra}^{jb}) t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_{b-1} a j_{b+1} \dots j_p} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{c=1}^q (-\partial_m \Gamma_{si_c}^a + \Gamma_{mi_c}^r \Gamma_{sr}^a + \Gamma_{ms}^r \Gamma_{ri_c}^a) t_{i_1 \dots i_{c-1} a i_{c+1} \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p} \\
 &\quad - \sum_{b=1}^p \sum_{c=1}^q t_{i_1 \dots i_{c-1} a i_{c+1} \dots i_p}^{j_1 \dots j_{b-1} r j_{b+1} \dots j_q} (\Gamma_{mr}^{jb} \Gamma_{si_c}^a + \Gamma_{mi_c}^a \Gamma_{sr}^{jb}) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^q \sum_{c=1}^q t_{i_1 \dots i_{b-1} r i_{b+1} \dots i_{c-1} l i_{c+1} i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_p} (\Gamma_{mi_c}^l \Gamma_{mi_b}^r + \Gamma_{mi_b}^r \Gamma_{si_c}^l) \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^p \sum_{c=1}^p t_{i_1 \dots i_q}^{j_1 \dots j_{b-1} r j_{b+1} \dots j_{c-1} l j_{c+1} \dots j_p} (\Gamma_{mr}^{jb} \Gamma_{sl}^{jc} + \Gamma_{ml}^{jc} \Gamma_{sr}^{jb}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where δ_j^i -Kronecker delta, $x^{\bar{m}} = t_{m_1 \dots m_q}^{l \dots l_p}$, $x^{\bar{s}} = t_{s_1 \dots s_q}^{k \dots k_p}$.

3. Geodesic (paths) In A Tensor Bundle of The Horizontal Lift ${}^H\nabla$

Let $\tilde{C} : [0, 1] \rightarrow T_q^p(M_n)$ be a curve in $T_q^p(M_n)$ and suppose that \tilde{C} is expressed locally by $x^A = x^A(t)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} x^h &= x^h(t) \\ x^{\bar{h}} &= x^{\bar{h}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the induced coordinates $(x^h, x^{\bar{h}})$ in $T_q^p(M_n)$, t being a parameter. Then the curve $C = \pi \circ \tilde{C}$ in M_n is called the projection of the curve \tilde{C} and denoted by $\pi\tilde{C}$ which is expressed locally by $x^h = x^h(t)$.

A curve \tilde{C} in $T_q^p(M_n)$ is a geodesic with respect to ${}^H\nabla$ (a path of ${}^H\nabla$), when it satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2 x^I}{dt^2} + {}^H \Gamma_{MS}^I \frac{dx^M}{dt} \frac{dx^S}{dt} = 0 \quad (4).$$

Consider the case where $p = 1, q = 2$, for example. By means of (3), (4) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^2 x^i}{dt^2} + \Gamma_{ms}^i \frac{dx^m}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} = 0 \\ &\frac{d^2 x^{\bar{i}}}{dt^2} + {}^H \Gamma_{ms}^{\bar{i}} \frac{dx^m}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} + {}^H \Gamma_{\bar{m}s}^{\bar{i}} \frac{dx^{\bar{m}}}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} \\ &\quad + {}^H \Gamma_{m\bar{s}}^{\bar{i}} \frac{dx^m}{dt} \frac{dx^{\bar{s}}}{dt} = \frac{d^2 t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1}}{dt^2} \\ &\quad + [(\partial_m \Gamma_{sa}^{j_1} + \Gamma_{mr}^{j_1} \Gamma_{sa}^r - \Gamma_{ms}^2 \Gamma_{ra}^{j_1}) t_{i_1 i_2}^a \\ &\quad + \sum_{c=1}^2 (-\partial_m \Gamma_{si_c}^a + \Gamma_{mi_c}^2 \Gamma_{sr}^a + \Gamma_{ms}^2 \Gamma_{ri_c}^a) t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{c=1}^2 t_{i_1 i_2}^r (\Gamma_{mr}^{j_1} \Gamma_{si_c}^a + \Gamma_{mi_c}^a \Gamma_{sr}^{j_1}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{b=1}^2 \sum_{c=1, c \neq b}^2 t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1} (\Gamma_{mi_c}^l \Gamma_{si_b}^r + \Gamma_{mi_b}^r \Gamma_{si_c}^l)] \frac{dx^m}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + [\Gamma_{sl_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{m_1} \delta_{i_2}^{m_2} - \sum_{c=1}^2 \Gamma_{s_i c}^{m_c} \delta_{i_{c-1}}^{m_{c-1}} \delta_{i_{c+1}}^{m_{c+1}} \delta_{l_1}^{j_1}] \frac{dt_{m_1 m_2}^{l_1}}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt} \\
 & + ([\Gamma_{mk_1}^{j_1} \delta_{i_1}^{s_1} \delta_{i_2}^{s_2} - \sum_{c=1}^2 \Gamma_{mi_c}^{s_c} \delta_{i_{c-1}}^{s_{c-1}} \delta_{i_{c+1}}^{s_{c+1}} \delta_{k_1}^{j_1}] \frac{dx^m}{dt} \frac{dt_{s_1 s_2}^{k_1}}{dt} = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{\bar{i}} = t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1}$.

From first equation in (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Gamma_{ma}^{j_1} t_{i_1 i_2}^a \frac{d^2 x^m}{dt^2} &= -\Gamma_{ma}^{j_1} t_{i_1 i_2}^a \Gamma_{rs}^m \frac{dx^2}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt}, \\
 -\Gamma_{mi_1}^a t_{ai_2}^{j_1} \frac{d^2 x^m}{dt^2} &= \Gamma_{mi_1}^a \Gamma_{rs}^m t_{ai_2}^{j_1} \frac{dx^2}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt}, \\
 -\Gamma_{mi_2}^a t_{i_1 a}^{j_1} \frac{d^2 x^m}{dt^2} &= \Gamma_{mi_2}^a \Gamma_{rs}^m t_{i_1 a}^{j_1} \frac{dx^2}{dt} \frac{dx^s}{dt}
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

. By means of (6), the second equation in (5) is reduced to

$$\frac{\delta^2 t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1}}{dt^2} = 0 \tag{7}$$

where the left-hand side is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\delta^2 t_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1}}{dt^2} &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dt_{i_1 i_2}^{j_1}}{dt} + \Gamma_{ma}^{j_1} \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_1 i_2}^a \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \Gamma_{mi_1}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ai_2}^{j_1} - \Gamma_{mi_2}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_1 a}^{j_1} \right) \\
 & \quad + \Gamma_{sr}^{j_1} \frac{dx^s}{dt} \left(\frac{dt_{i_1 i_2}^r}{dt} + \Gamma_{ma}^r \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_1 i_2}^a \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \Gamma_{mi_1}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ai_2}^r - \Gamma_{mi_2}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_1 a}^r \right) - \Gamma_{si_1}^r \frac{dx^s}{dt} \left(\frac{dt_{ri_2}^{j_1}}{dt} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \Gamma_{ma}^{j_1} \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ri_2}^a - \Gamma_{mr}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ai_2}^{j_1} \right) \\
 & \quad - \Gamma_{mi_2}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ra}^{j_1} - \Gamma_{si_2}^r \frac{dx^s}{dt} \left(\frac{dt_{i_1 r}^{j_1}}{dt} + \Gamma_{ma}^{j_1} \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_2}^a \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \Gamma_{mi_1}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{ai_2}^{j_1} - \Gamma_{ma_2}^a \frac{dx^m}{dt} t_{i_1 a}^{j_1} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By similar devices, we can prove the formula (7) for general case. Thus we have from (5) and (7).

Theorem. A curve $\tilde{C} : x^i = x^i(t)$, $x^{\bar{i}} = t_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{j_1 \dots j_q} = t_{i_1 \dots i_p}^{j_1 \dots j_q}(t) = x^{\bar{i}}(t)$, in $T_q^p(M_n)$ is a geodesic of the horizontal lift ${}^H\nabla$ of an affine connection ∇ given in M_n , if and only if the projection $\pi\tilde{C}$ is a geodesic of ∇ in M_n and the tensor field $t_{i_1, \dots, i_p}^{j_1, \dots, j_q}$ along $\pi\tilde{C}$ has vanishing second covariant derivative.

References

- [1] LMS Yano, K. and Leager, J., Almost Complex Structure On Tensor Bundle, Jour. Diff. Geom., 1, (1967)
- [2] ADS Mağden, A. and Salimov, A.A., Afin Konneksiyonun Tensör Demetine Lifti Hakkında, 9. Ulusal Matematik Sempozyumu, İ.T.Ü., İstanbul, 100-107, (1996).
- [3] PHD Salimov, A.A., A New Method of Tensor Fields to Tensor Bundle In The Theory Lifts, (in Russian), IZV. Vuzov. Mathematics, 3, 69-75, (1994)

Abdullah KOPUZLU & A. A. SALIMOV
Atatürk Üniversitesi
Fen-Edebiyat Fakültesi
Matematik Bölümü, Erzurum-TURKEY

Received 14.05.1998