

Ayşegül YILMAZ<sup>1</sup> Atilla SOYKAN<sup>1</sup> Tuğba AYAZ<sup>1</sup> Elmas İNCE KALE<sup>1</sup> Günhan GÜRMAN<sup>2</sup> Hakan KUMBASAR<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Division of Consultation Liaison Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara University, Ankara - TURKEY

<sup>2</sup> Department of Hematology, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara University, Ankara - TURKEY

Received: February 19, 2009 Accepted: October 13, 2009

#### Correspondence

Ayşegül YILMAZ Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Ankara University, Mamak Caddesi, Cebeci Kampüsü, Ankara - TURKEY

aysgulyilmaz@yahoo.co.uk

## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

Turk J Med Sci 2009; 39 (6): 933-939 © TÜBİTAK E-mail: medsci@tubitak.gov.tr doi:10.3906/sag-0902-13

# Burnout at a bone marrow transplantation unit in Turkey: effects of interactive psychoeducational seminars

**Aim:** Health staff working at bone marrow transplantation units (BMTUs) is found to be at a higher risk for suffering from burnout. This paper reports a critical assessment of how burnout level of the health staff working at a bone marrow transplantation unit changes after psychoeducational seminars.

**Materials and methods:** The study was conducted by the Consultation Liaison Psychiatry Division at the BMTU of the Department of Hematology at Ankara University, School of Medicine. Sixty-one health staff members (67%) working at the BMTU filled out socio-demographic and occupational data form, and validated Turkish versions of Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), Hospital Anxiety-Depression Scale (HADS), and Quality of Life Scale-short form (SF-36). After the baseline data were collected, 29 members refused to attend the psychoeducational seminars and served as "control" group for the study. The remaining 32 (intervention group) attended 9 interactive seminars focusing on reducing burnout. After completion of the seminars, both intervention and control groups filled out MBI, HADS, and SF-36 scales for the comparisons of the impacts of educational seminars.

**Results:** The mean age, gender distribution, educational level, marital status, history of psychiatric illness, and MBI, HADS and SF-36 subscale scores of the subjects in the two groups were not statistically significantly different at baseline. However, after the seminars, there were significant differences in MBI subscale (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment) scores of the groups (P < 0.05). The burnout levels significantly reduced in the intervention group, but there were no significant differences in the HADS and SF-36 scores of the groups (P > 0.05).

**Conclusion:** The findings of this study indicate that burnout among health care professionals may be reduced with psychoeducational seminars. Future studies supporting our finding might lead to implementation of such cost effective seminars for BMTU staff.

Key words: Bone marrow transplantation unit, burnout, health staff, psychoeducation

# Türkiye'de bir kemik iliği transplantasyon ünitesinde tükenmişlik: İnteraktif psikoeğitim seminerlerinin etkisi

**Amaç:** Kemik iliği transplantasyon (KİT) ünitesinde çalışan sağlık personelinin tükenmişlik açısından yüksek risk altında olduğu bildirilmiştir. Bu çalışma bir KİT ünitesinde çalışan sağlık personelinin tükenmişlik düzeylerinin psikoeğitim seminerleri sonrası nasıl değiştiğini ortaya koymayı amaçlamaktadır.

**Yöntem ve gereç:** Çalışma, Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Konsültasyon Liyezon Psikiyatrisi Bilim Dalı tarafından, Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Hematoloji Bilim Dalı KİT ünitesinde gerçekleştirilmiştir. KİT ünitesinde çalışan 61 (% 67) sağlık personeli, sosyo demografik ve meslekleri ile ilgili veri formlarını ve Türkçe geçerliliği olan Maslach Tükenmişlik Ölçeği (MTÖ), Hastane Anksiyete-Depresyon Ölçeği (HADÖ) ve Yaşam Kalitesi Ölçeği-kısa form (KF-36)'unu doldurmuşlardır. İlk değerlendirme verileri toplandıktan sonra 29 sağlık personeli eğitim seminerlerine katılmayı kabul etmemiş ve çalışmanın "kontrol" grubu olarak kabul edilmişlerdir. Geri kalan 32 sağlık personeli (çalışma grubu) tükenmişliği azaltmayı hedef alan 9 interaktif seminere katılmışlardır. Seminerlerin tamamlanmasından sonra, hem "çalışma", hem de "kontrol" grubu eğitim seminerlerinin etkisini karşılaştırmak için MTÖ, HADÖ ve KF-36'yı tekrar doldurmuşladır.

Turk J Med Sci

**Bulgular:** İlk değerlendirme sırasında iki grup arasında ortalama yaş, cinsiyet dağılımı, eğitim seviyesi, medeni durum, ruhsal hastalık öyküsü, MTÖ, HADÖ ve KF-36 alt ölçekleri arasında istatistiksel olarak anlamlı fark bulunamamıştır. Bununla birlikte, seminerler sonrası, MTÖ alt ölçeklerinde (duygusal tükenme, duyarsızlaşma, kişisel başarı) iki grup arasında anlamlı fark saptanmıştır (P < 0.05). Çalışma grubunda tükenmişlik düzeyleri anlamlı olarak azalırken, HADÖ ve KF-36 ölçek değerlerinde anlamlı bir fark bulunmamıştır (P > 0.05).

**Sonuç:** Bu çalışmanın sonuçları sağlık personelinde tükenmişliğin psikoeğitim seminerleri ile azaltılabildiğini göstermektedir. Sonuçlarımızı destekleyen ileride yapılacak çalışmalar KİT personelinde maliyet etkinliği de olan seminerlerin geliştirilmesine öncülük edecektir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Kemik iliği transplantasyon ünitesi, tükenmişlik, sağlık personeli, psikoeğitim

#### Introduction

Burnout is a type of prolonged response to chronic job-related stressors, where staff experiences both psychological-emotional and physical stress (1). The main characteristics of burnout have been described by Chapman (2) and Maslach (3) as emotional exhaustion as a result of overwork, feeling of depersonalization towards patients, lack of competence, and a sense of failure that primarily affects people who are somehow dealing with other people in their work. Staff exhibiting signs of burnout become less effective in their work and less able to cope with the demands and changes in their environment (4).

Health care professionals, including bone marrow transplantation unit (BMTU) staff, working with the patients with a life-threatening disease are found to be at higher risk for suffering from burnout. Studies report prevalence rates ranging from 25% to 60% (4-14). Despite these high prevalence rates, literature reveals only a limited number of studies dealing with the prevention and measures to reduce the impacts of burnout in medical professionals (4,7,15-18).

The aim of this prospective study was to examine the effects of psychoeducational seminars that were hypothesized to reduce the level of burnout for BMTU.

#### Materials and methods

The present study is a part of a project dealing with various psychosocial problems encountered in the BMTU of the Department of Hematology at Ankara University, School of Medicine, Ankara-Turkey. The study was conducted at BMTU in collaboration with the Consultation Liaison Psychiatry Division of the

934

same University. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ankara University, School of Medicine in December 2006. Data collection and the administration of the psychoeducational seminars were carried out between January 2007 and July 2007.

All the health staff (n = 91) working at BMTU were invited to participate in the study, and a total of 61 (67%) volunteered. All the participants filled out socio-demographic and occupational data form, and validated Turkish versions of Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) (19,20), Hospital Anxiety-Depression Scale (HADS) (21,22), and Quality of Life Scale-Short Form (SF-36) (23,24) at baseline. After the collection of the baseline data, 29 participants refused to attend the psychoeducational seminars mainly due to time constrains, and they served as "control" group for the study. The remaining 32 participants (intervention group) attended 9 interactive seminars focusing on reducing the burnout level. After the intervention group completed the psychoeducational seminars, both intervention and control groups filled out MBI, HADS, and SF-36 scales for the comparisons of the impacts of educational seminars. In addition, presence of some practical difficulties, such as refusing participation due to time constrains and inability to fill out scales due to any mental and physical condition (e.g. blindness), were the exclusion criteria.

The main outcome measure of this study, Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), was administered to assess pre-and-post education burnout levels. MBI is a 22item questionnaire that measures 3 dimensions of burnout: emotional exhaustion resulting from work (emotional exhaustion subscale- 9 items); feelings of distance between a patient and a practitioner (depersonalization subscale- 5 items), and feelings of success and achievement in one's work (personal accomplishment subscale- 8 items). Higher scores on emotional exhaustion and depersonalization subscale indicate higher burnout levels; in contrast, lower scores on personal accomplishment indicate higher burnout levels (19,20).

States of anxiety and depression were measured with the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale, a well-established 14-item scale containing 2 subscales: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-A (anxiety, 7 items; range = 0-21) and Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-D (depression, 7 items; range = 0-21). Higher scores indicate more anxiety and/or depression (21,22).

One of the most straightforward ways of measuring quality of life (QOL) is through the use of health status measures where patients are asked to rate different aspects of their life. Perhaps the most commonly used measure in QOL research is the Short- Form 36 or SF-36, a generic measure developed and validated in the Medical Outcomes Study to assess important QOL domains relevant to patients suffering from a wide range of medical conditions. The SF-36 consists of eight QOL domains that comprise 2 summary measures – the physical component summary and the mental component summary. Also validity and reliability of scale has previously been done and used often among Turkish individuals (23,24).

The 4-week psychoeducational seminars consisted of 9 separate, 1-h interactive presentations, and same topics were held by the same trained 1 psychiatrist, 3 psychologists, and 3 social workers. The topics and the order of the presentations were:

(1) General communication skills,

(2) Stress at work and coping strategies,

(3) Anger management strategies,

(4) Understanding patients' and their relatives' feelings,

(5) Psychosocial aspects of chronic diseases,

(6) Crisis intervention,

(7) Telling the bad news,

(8) Differences between a team and a group,

(9) Burnout and coping strategies.

#### Statistical analysis

All the statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 13). The T-test for independent-samples was used to compare the means between grouping variables. Chi-square test was used to compare categorical variables. Confidence intervals were 95% (2-sided) for all the analyses.

#### Results

Sixty-one subjects participated in the study. The control group consisted of 12 male and 17 female participants (n; 29) with a mean age of  $36.48 \pm 6.91$ years. The intervention group consisted of 9 male and 23 female participants (n = 32) with a mean age of  $36.28 \pm 8.99$  years. The control and intervention groups did not significantly differ for mean age, gender distribution, educational level, marital status, and history of psychiatric illness (Table 1). Additionally, there were no significant differences between the control and intervention groups in terms of burnout levels, depression and anxiety levels, and functioning as indicated by baseline MBI, HADS, and SF-36 subscale scores, respectively. Among all the parameters, occupation was the only parameter that reached a significant level (P < 0.05) mainly due to disproportional attendance of nurses (12 out of 13) to the educational seminars. Comparisons of demographic variables between intervention and control groups are presented in Table 1.

In Table 2, correlations between Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI), Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS), and SF-36 are presented; however, there is no correlation between SF-36 and MBI and HADS subscales. HADS-D were found to be positively correlated with MBI-emotional exhaustion subscale and negatively correlated with MBI-personal accomplishment subscale. No correlation was found for HADS-A with any other scales.

As the goal of this study was to assess the impacts of psychoeducational seminars on the burnout levels, MBI subscale scores of the control and intervention group were the primary outcome measures (Table 3). The results of this study indicate that in comparison to the control group, the subscale scores of MBI (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment) of the intervention group

Sex   Female Male   23 9   12 7   34 27   61 (100%) (100%)   No sign No sign     Education   Elementary   9   5   14 High School   3   6   9   61 (100%)   No sign No sign     Marital status   Married   18   16   34 No sign   No sign No sign     Job   Doctor   9   6   15 Health care   1   12   1   13 No sign     Job   Doctor   9   6   15 Health care   No sign No sign   No sign No sign     Managerial job   Yes   6   1   7   61 (100%)   No sign No sign     Physical morbidity   No   18   13   31   No sign No sign   No sign No sign     Physical morbidity   No   18   13   31   No sign No sign     Physical morbidity   Yes   6   8   14   61 (100%)   No sign No sign     Physical morbidity   Yes   6   8   14   61 (100%)   No sign No sign     Physical morbid			Intervention Group	Control Group		Total	Sign (p)
Male91727 $0111003$ No signEducationElementary9514910005No signMarital statusMarried181634961 (10096)No signMarital statusMarried18163461 (10096)No signJobDotor961561 (10096)No signJobDotor961561 (10096)No signJobNarse1211361 (10096)No signManagerial jobYes61761 (10096)No signManagerial jobYes6181331No signPhysical morbidityNo181331No signPhysical morbidityNo26214761 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes68147No signPopchatric morbidityYes7252449No signPopchatric morbidityYes751261 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes751261 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes751261 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes751261 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes751261 (10096)No signPopchatric morbidityYes75 <t< td=""><td>Sex</td><td>Female</td><td>23</td><td>12</td><td>34</td><td>(1 (1000/)</td><td>No sign</td></t<>	Sex	Female	23	12	34	(1 (1000/)	No sign
EducationElementary High School9 3 205 1814 38 $100\%$ No sign No signMarital statusMarried Single18 11 1216 17 101100\%No sign No signJobDator Widow/Divorced9 12 12 13 1011 12 13 1061 (100%)No sign No sign No signJobDator Health care Staff / Scurity staff9 106 15 1015 12 13 1061 (100%)No sign No sign No signManagerial jobYes No6 No18 2613 287 4161 (100%)No sign No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo Cardia clisease Other18 2 2 2 2 431 		Male	9	17	27	61 (100%)	No sign
High School 3 6 9 61 (100%) No sign   Marital status Married 18 16 34 61 (100%) No sign   Marital status Married 18 16 34 61 (100%) No sign   Job Doctor 9 6 15 No sign No sign   Health Care 1 12 13 61 (100%) No sign   Managerial Job Yes 6 1 7 61 (100%) No sign   Physical morbidity No 26 1 7 61 (100%) No sign   Physical morbidity No 26 18 13 31 No sign   Physical morbidity No 26 1 7 61 (100%) No sign   Physical morbidity No 26 1 3 61 (100%) No sign   Physical morbidity No 26 1 3 61 (100%) No sign   Phychiatric morbidity Yes 6 8 14 61 (100%) No sign   Psychopathology	Education	Elementary	9	5	14		No sign
University   20   18   38   No sign     Marital status   Married   18   16   34   7   10   No sign     Job   Doctor   9   6   15   7   10   No sign     Job   Doctor   9   6   15   7   10   No sign     Job   Nurse   12   1   13   61 (100%)   No sign     Managerial job   Yes   6   1   7   61 (100%)   No sign     Physical morbidity   No   18   13   31   7   61 (100%)   No sign     Physical morbidity   No   18   13   31   7   No sign     Physical morbidity   No   18   13   31   7   No sign     Physical morbidity   No   12   3   61 (100%)   No sign     No sign   1   2   3   61 (100%)   No sign     Psychoatric morbidity   Yes   6   8		High School	3	6	9	61 (100%)	No sign
Marital statusMarried Single18 11 11 12 13 12 12 12 12 13 12 13 1016 17 11 11 13 11 11 10 10No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signJobDoctor Nurse Health care Health care Staff / Security staff 109 12 12 13 106 15 12 13 10 2061 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signManagerial JobYes No No 266 261 287 40 1261 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign 12 22 22 22 246 41 4710 61 (100%)No sign <td></td> <td>University</td> <td>20</td> <td>18</td> <td>38</td> <td></td> <td>No sign</td>		University	20	18	38		No sign
Single1161761 (100%)No sign No signJobDoctor9615 $13$ $61 (100%)$ No signNurse12113 $61 (100%)$ No sign $No sign$ Managerial jobYes617 $61 (100%)$ No signManagerial jobYes617 $61 (100%)$ No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $No sign$ Physical morbidityNo181331 $No sign$ Physical morbidityNo181331 $No sign$ Physical morbidityNo181331 $No sign$ Physical morbidityNo181331 $No sign$ Physical morbidityNo2623 $61 (100%)$ No signPhysical morbidityNo262147 $61 (100%)$ No signPsychiatric morbidityYes6814 $7$ $No sign$ Psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 $3$ $14(%22.9)$ No signPsychotrop used (before)Yes7512 $49$ $61 (100%)$ No signPsychotrop used (before)Yes7512 $3$ $12(%19.6)$ No signPsychotropAnticepressant549 $12(%19.6)$ No signPsychotropAnticepressant549 $12(%19.6)$ No signNo sign	Marital status	Married	18	16	34		No sign
Widow/Divorced3710No signJobDoctor9615No sign $P < 0,05$ Nurse1211361 (100%) $P < 0,05$ No signManagerial JobYes61761 (100%)No signManagerial JobYes61761 (100%)No signManagerial JobYes61761 (100%)No signPhysical morbidityNo18133131DM12361 (100%)No signCardiac disease12361 (100%)No signDM12361 (100%)No signPhysical morbidityNo681461 (100%)No signPotchatric morbidityYes68147No signPsychiatric morbidityYes68147No signPsychotrop used (before)Depression347No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo2524961 (100%)No signPsychotropAntibepressant54912(%19.6)No signNo2524961 (100%)No signNo signNo2524961 (100%)No signNo2524961 (100%)No signNo25261310		Single	11	6	17	61 (100%)	No sign
JobDoctor961513 $a1 (100\%)$ No signNurse1211213 $a1 (100\%)$ $No sign$ Managerial jobYes6120 $a1 (100\%)$ No signNoYes6123 $a1 (100\%)$ No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $n_N o sign$ DM181331 $n_N o sign$ No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $n_N o sign$ Physical morbidityNo181331 $n_N o sign$ Physical morbidityNo26123Physical morbidityNo181331 $n_N o sign$ NoCardiac disease123 $n_1(100\%)$ No signPhychiatric morbidityYes6814 $a1 (100\%)$ No signPsychiatric morbidityYes6814 $a1 (100\%)$ No signPsychoathologyDepression347 $a1 (100\%)$ No sign(before)Yes7512 $a1 (100\%)$ No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes75 $a1 = 9$ $a1 = 9$ PsychotopAntidepressant5 $4 = 9$ $a1 = 10$ No signPsychotropAntidepressant5 $4 = 9$ $a1 = 100\%$ No signPsychotropYes73 $a1 = 100\%$ No signPsychotropX		Widow/Divorced	3	7	10		No sign
Nurse12113 $61 (100\%)$ $P < 0.05$ No sign No signManagerial jobYes611213 $61 (100\%)$ No sign No signManagerial jobYes617 $61 (100\%)$ No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $7$ $61 (100\%)$ No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $7$ $61 (100\%)$ No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 $7$ $61 (100\%)$ No sign No sign No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo213 $61 (100\%)$ No sign No sign No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo213 $61 (100\%)$ No sign No sign No sign No signPhychiatric morbidity (before)Yes6814 $61 (100\%)$ No sign No sign No sign No signPsychoathology (before)Depression347 $7$ $14(\%22.9)$ No sign No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 $24$ $49$ $61 (100\%)$ No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant54 $9$ $12(\%19.6)$ No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant54 $9$ $2(\%19.6)$ No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes73 $10$ $0$ $100\%$ No sig	Job	Doctor	9	6	15		No sign
Health care Staff / Security staff1121361 (100%)No sign No signManagerial jobYes No617 2661 (100%)No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 23 361 (100%)No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 23 361 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 23 361 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No signPhypertension Cancer213 361 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes No68 2614 261 (100%)No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression Anxiety disorders No34 2 27 314(%22.9)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes No7 255 2412 4961 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant Anxiotytic Antipsychotic5 24 3 39 212(%19.6)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant Antipsychotic5 24 3 310 3 310 3 3 310 3 3 3 3No sign No sign No sign		Nurse	12	1	13	(1 (1000/)	P < 0,05
Staff / Security staff101020No signManagerial jobYes61761 (100%)No signNo26285461 (100%)No signPhysical morbidityNo18133131No signPhysical morbidityNo18133131No signDM12361 (100%)No signNo signDM12361 (100%)No signCardica disease12361 (100%)No signDM12361 (100%)No signCarder21361 (100%)No signCarder224761 (100%)No signPsychiatric morbidityYes681461 (100%)No signPsychoathologyDepression347No signNo signPsychotrop used (before)Yes751261 (100%)No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo signPsychotropAnxiety disorder54912(%19.6)No signPsychotropAnxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signNo25265161 (100%)No signNo signNo25265161 (100%)No signNo signNo25265161 (100%)No signNo25 <td></td> <td>Health care</td> <td>1</td> <td>12</td> <td>13</td> <td>61 (100%)</td> <td>No sign</td>		Health care	1	12	13	61 (100%)	No sign
Managerial jobYes No6 261 287 5461 (100%)No sign No signPhysical morbidityNo181331 23 61 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign Other1331 23 61 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes No6 268 2114 4761 (100%) No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression Anxiety disorders 13 24 3 261 (100%) No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes AnxietyLic AnxietyChicc7 2 55 4 49 3 312(%19.6) No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes No7 253 2610 361 (100%) 3No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign		Staff / Security staff	10	10	20		No sign
No26285461 (100%)No signPhysical morbidityNo181331No signPhysical morbidityNo181331No signDM12361 (100%)No signDM12361 (100%)No signDM12361 (100%)No signCancer224No signOther8917No signPsychiatric morbidityYes6814(before)No262147PsychopathologyDepression347(before)Anxiety disorders123Others112No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo25244961 (100%)No signPsychotropAntidepressant549No signNo2524312(%19.6)No signPollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign	Managerial job	Yes	6	1	7	(1 (1000/)	No sign
Physical morbidityNo181331No sign No sign No sign DMNo sign DMNo sign No sign No sign OtherNo sign No sign No sign No sign No sign OtherNo sign No sign No sign No sign No sign Psychiatric morbidity (before)NoNo sign Peses Anxiety disordersNo <td>No</td> <td>26</td> <td>28</td> <td>54</td> <td>61 (100%)</td> <td>No sign</td>		No	26	28	54	61 (100%)	No sign
1 $2$ $3$ $No sign$ DM123 $61 (100%)$ No signPypertension213 $No sign$ Cancer224No signOther8917No signPsychiatric morbidityYes6814 $61 (100%)$ No sign(before)No262147 $61 (100%)$ No signPsychopathologyDepression347No sign(before)Depression347No signNo261123 $14(%22.9)$ No signNo signOthers1123 $14(%22.9)$ No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 $61 (100%)$ No signNo252449 $61 (100%)$ No signNo signPsychotropAntidepressant549 $12(%19.6)$ No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310 $61 (100%)$ No signNo252651 $61 (100%)$ No sign	Physical morbidity	No	18	13	31		No sign
DM12361 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign OtherPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes681461 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes681461 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes68147No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression3477No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347100%)No sign No signAnxiety disorders112314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 2461 (100%)No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant549 312(%19.6)No sign No sign No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310 2561 (100%)No sign No sign		Cardiac disease	1	2	3		No sign
Hypertension213No sign No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes681461 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes681461 (100%)No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347 2314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347 2314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAnxiety disorders112314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 2461 (100%)No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant549 312(%19.6)No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310 2561 (100%)No sign No sign No sign		DM	1	2	3	61 (100%)	No sign
Ancer Other224No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes681461 (100%)No sign No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes68147No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAnxiety disorders112314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 2461 (100%)No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant549 312(%19.6)No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310 2561 (100%)No sign No sign No sign		Hypertension	2	1	3		No sign
Other8917No signPsychiatric morbidity (before)Yes6814 2061 (100%)No signNo26214761 (100%)No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347 23 314(%22.9)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signAnxiety disorders1123 214(%22.9)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512 2461 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant549 312(%19.6)No sign No sign No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes7 No3 2510 2661 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign		Cancer	2	2	4		No sign
Psychiatric morbidity (before)Yes No6 268 2114 4761 (100%)No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes No7 255 2412 4961 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant Anxiolytic Antipsychotic5 2 44 9 3 49 4 2 512 461 (100%)No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes No7 253 2610 561 (100%)No sign No sign No sign		Other	8	9	17		No sign
(before)No262147 $61 (100\%)$ No signPsychopathology (before)Depression347 23 314(%22.9)No sign No sign No signPsychopathology (before)Depression123 214(%22.9)No sign No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes No7512 2461 (100%)No sign No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes No7512 2461 (100%)No sign No signPsychotrop (before)Antidepressant Anxiolytic Antipsychotic549 012(%19.6)No sign No signFollow-up suggestedYes No7310 2561 (100%)No sign No sign	Psychiatric morbidity (before)	Yes	6	8	14	(1 (1000/)	No sign
Psychopathology (before)Depression347No sign No sign No sign 		No	26	21	47	61 (100%)	No sign
Image: horizontal constraintsImage: horizontal constraintsImage: horizontal constraintsImage: horizontal constraintsImage: horizontal constraintsNo(before)Sexual disorders112314(%22.9)No signOthers11212No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512100%)No signNo25244961 (100%)No signNo signPsychotropAntidepressant54912(%19.6)No sign(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign	Psychopathology	Depression	3	4	7		No sign
Sexual disorders112 $14(\%22.9)$ No signNo signOthers112No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512No25244961 (100%)No signPsychotropAntidepressant549(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign	(before)	Anxiety disorders	1	2	3	1.4(0/22.0)	No sign
Others112No signAny psychotrop used (before)Yes7512No signNo25244961 (100%)No signPsychotropAntidepressant549No sign(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign		, Sexual disorders	1	1	2	14(%22.9)	No sign
Any psychotrop used (before)Yes7512An volumeNo signNo25244961 (100%)No signPsychotropAntidepressant549No sign(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signFollow-up suggestedYes731061 (100%)No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign		Others	1	1	2		No sign
No252449 $61 (100\%)$ No signPsychotropAntidepressant549No sign(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signAntipsychotic0000No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo252651 $61 (100\%)$ No sign	Any psychotrop used (before)	Yes	7	5	12		No sign
Psychotrop (before)Antidepressant549No sign No sign No sign 		No	25	24	49	61 (100%)	No sign
(before)Anxiolytic21312(%19.6)No signAntipsychotic0000No signFollow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign	Psychotrop	Antidepressant	5	4	9		No sign
Follow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo25265161 (100%)No sign	(before)	Anxiolytic	2	1	3	12(%19.6)	No sign
Follow-up suggestedYes7310No signNo252651 $61(100\%)$ No sign	· · ·	Antipsychotic	0	0	0	× ···/	No sign
No $25$ $26$ $51$ $61 (100\%)$ No sign	Follow-up suggested	Yes	7	3	10		No sign
10 20 20 01 NO SI	1 00 "	No	25	26	51	61 (100%)	No sign

Table 1. Comparisons of demographic variables between intervention and control groups.

Table 2. Correlation between MBI,	HADS subscales, and SF-36.
-----------------------------------	----------------------------

	Maslach EE		Maslach Dep		Maslach PAc		HADS-A		HADS-D	
	Pear-cor	sign	Pear-cor	Sign	Pear-cor	sign	Pear-cor	Sign	Pear-cor	sign
Maslach EE	1		.215	.131	435**	.001	.220	.120	.277*	.049
Maslach Dep	.215	.131	1		043	.765	.098	.496	.034	.811
Maslach PAc	435**	.001	043	.765	1		216	.127	342	.014
HADS-A	.220	.120	.098	.496	216	.127	1		.630**	.000
HADS-D	.277*	.049	.034	.811	342*	.014	.630**	.000	1	
SF-36 total	251	.076	.004	.979	.125	.384	202	.156	223	.116

Maslach EE; Emotional exhaustion. Maslach Dep; Depersonalization. Maslach PAc; Personal accomplishment

HADS-A; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-anxiety subscale .

HADS-D; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-depression subscale

	Control Group* (n=29)		Intervention	n Group (n=32)		
	Baseline Mean ± SD	Follow-up Mean ±SD	Baseline Mean ± SD	Post education Mean ± SD	t	Р
MBI Subscales						
Emotional exhaustion	13.00±5.36	18.62±5.15	13.43±5.97	11.50±5.73	-5.084	.001
Depersonalization	4.20±3.26	$4.62 \pm 2.55$	$3.62 \pm 2.48$	$2.75 \pm 2.42$	-2.934	.005
Personal Accomplishment						
*	23.44±4.57	21.27±3.68	23.06±3.37	24.21±3.56	3.171	.002
HADS Subscales						
HADS-A	6.03±2.75	7.13±2.89	$7.40 \pm 4.02$	6.96±3.34	-1.98	0.63
HADS-D	5.24±3.13	$7.00 \pm 3.47$	$5.40 \pm 4.14$	5.12±3.95	-1.45	1.22

MBI; Maslach Burnout Inventory. HADS-A; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Anxiety.

HADS-D; Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression . SD; Standart Deviation. P < 0.05 (significant)

\*Control group did not attend psychoeducational seminars.

significantly improved (P < 0.05) after the educational seminars. However, baseline and post-education HADS (t = -1.95, P > 0.5) and SF-36 scale (t = -0.210, P > 0.05) scores of the control and intervention groups did not change significantly.

# Discussion

This study reports a critical assessment of how burnout levels of health care professionals and staff working at bone marrow transplantation units change after psychoeducational seminars.

Burnout is a specifically work-related syndrome involving emotional exhaustion, treating patients in

an unfeeling, impersonal way (depersonalization), and a sense of low personal accomplishment (18). Burnout among health care professionals working at emergency, intensive care and oncology-hematology units has recently been brought to the attention of researchers. It is thought that the highly stressful environment, unpredictable nature of the work, and dealing with dying patients puts professionals at risk of burnout. Health care professionals are at high risk for burnout, role conflict, and job dissatisfaction, and probably because of this, a growing interest in the psychosocial work environment has been detected in the last few decades (1,25). Though, recent research studies provide useful information regarding the negative effects of burnout in health care professionals, such as reduced performance and thus reduced professional satisfaction, the consequences of burnout and the possible prevention strategies for burnout has not been studied sufficiently (25).

It is of concern that few physician practices have instituted burnout assessment or prevention programs. Some researchers recommend periodically surveying physicians and organizations for stress, satisfaction, and burnout, as well as for key mediators of work control and work-home interference and background variables, such as work hours. A focus on these remediable factors may improve work life, diminish turnover, and improve the quality of care (26) or, as reported by Freeborn (27), describe valuable preventive measures, including increasing physician participation in decision making, monitoring workload, promoting teamwork, increasing work fulfillment through goal setting and feedback, and orienting health care professionals so they establish reasonable job expectations. Previous results suggest that an organizational intervention is called for work redesign, time management, selfmanagement, and workload management. For example, time management training, multi-faceted "burnout" workshops and interpersonal skills training can be successfully used to reduce burnout (27).

So what can health organizations do about their personnel's (e.g., physicians, nurses, care providers) burnout problem? The model suggests that first work control needs to be explicitly addressed: do they feel that they have a word in how their work days are organized? Can they modulate the pace of their work, or minimize hassles, interruptions, and paperwork? Can role conflicts be reduced and build physician loyalty to the organization as a part of team work. Furthermore, if education regarding ways to improve communication skills with patients, with their colleagues and directors is provided or if they are taught how to tell bad news and express and manage their feelings, anger, stress and burnout, will their exhaustion reduce?

To best of our knowledge, the only study focusing on prevention and reduction of burnout at the BMTU was performed in a University Hospital in Basel. In that study, the authors describe the impacts of a 4-year continuous psychosomatic liaison activity and report that interventions, such as weekly psychosocial round-ups with the whole team, regular participation to prevent burnout effectively, reduce the burnout level of staff (15). Similar to our findings, interpersonal skills training, time and workload management training as well as monitoring workload, promoting teamwork, increasing work fulfillment through goal setting and feedback appear to be valuable interventions to reduce occupational stress and burnout level in various medical settings (28-31). The results of the study highlighted that all 3

in ward rounds at BMTU, and conducting workshops

The results of the study highlighted that all 3 dimensions of burnout (emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and personal accomplishment) might be reduced with psychoeducational seminars. The positive impacts of educational seminars on burnout levels did not appear to be due to any reduction in anxiety and depression or improved social functioning as indicated by HADS and SF-36 scores, respectively. Furthermore, the relation between depression and burnout has been investigated previously and it was suggested that burnout and depression are related but distinct concepts (32).

Finally, sources of stress such as these are not unique to the field of cancer medicine, but they are thought to occur more frequently compared to many other specialties, and must be dealt with in the context of generic difficulties arising from overload, managerial responsibilities and conflicts, and pressure on resources that often characterize the provision of health care (1).

# Conclusion

In conclusion, it can be said that burnout among health care professionals at BMTU may be reduced with interactive psychoeducational seminars focusing on various sources of stress and burnout. Future studies supporting our finding might lead to implementation of such cost effective approaches for BMTU staff.

# Acknowledgement

The researchers appreciate the contribution of Bone Marrow Transplantation Unit, Hematology Department of Ankara University, Turkey, in this study. The study would not have been possible without the data provided by the participants. This research was not supported financially.

### References

- Piko BF. Burnout, role conflict, job satisfaction and psychosocial health among Hungarian health care staff: A questionnaire survey. Int J Nurs Stud 2006; 43(3): 311-8.
- 2. Chapman D. Burnout in emergency medicine, what are we doing to ourselves? Acad Emerg Med 1997; 4(4): 245-7.
- 3. Maslach C. Burnout: a multidimensional perspective. In: Professional burnout: recent developments in theory and research. Washington: Taylor and Frances, 1993.
- 4. Potter C. To what extent do nurses and physicians working within the emergency department experience burnout: A review of the literature. Austral Emerg Nurs 2006; 9(2): 57-64
- Laposa JM, Alden LE, Fullerton LM. Work stress and posttraumatic stress disorder in ED nurses/personnel. J Emerg Nurs 2002; 29(1): 23-8
- Gillespie M, Melby V. Burnout among nursing staff in accident and emergency and acute medicine: a comparative study. J Clin Nurs 2003; 12(6): 842
- Liakopoulou M, Pnaretaki I, Papadakis V, Katsika A, Sarafidou J, Laskari H et al. Burnout, staff support, and coping in pediatric oncology. Support Care Cancer 2008; 16(2): 143-50.
- Bennett S, Plint A, Clifford TJ. Burnout, psychological morbidity, job satisfaction, and stress: a survey of Canadian hospital-based child protection professionals. Arch Dis Child 2005; 90(11): 1112-6.
- 9. Bruce SM, Conaglen HM, Conaglen JV. Burnout in physicians: a case for peer-support. Intern Med J 2005; 35(5): 272-8.
- Campbell DA Jr, Sonnad SS, Eckhauser FE, Campbell KK, Greenfield LJ. Burnout among American surgeons. Surgery 2001; 130(4): 696-705.
- 11. Chopra SS, Sotile WM, Sotile MO. Physician burnout. JAMA 2004; 291(5):633-5.
- 12. Felton JS. Burnout as a clinical entity. Its importance in health care workers. Occup Med 1998; 48(4): 237-50.
- Freudenberger H. Staff burnout. J Soc Issues 1974; 50(1): 159– 65.
- Grunfeld E, Whelan TJ, Zitzelsberger L, Willan AR, Montesanto B, Evans KW. Cancer care workers in Ontario: prevalence of burnout, job stress and job satisfaction. Can Med Assoc J 2000; 163(2): 166-70.
- 15. Kiss A. Support of the transplant team. Support Care Cancer 1994; 2(1): 56-60.
- Armstrong J, Holland J. Surviving the stresses of clinical oncology by improving communication. Oncology 2004; 18(3): 363-8.

- Borill C, West M, Shapiro D, Rees A. Team working and effectiveness in the NHS. Br J Health Care Manag 2000; 6: 364-71.
- Graham J, Ramirez A. Improving the working lives of cancer clinicians. Eur J Cancer Care 2002; 11(3): 188-92.
- 19. Maslach C, Jackson SE. The measurement of experienced burnout. J Occ Beh 1981; 2: 99-113.
- 20. Ergin C. Turkish health staffs' norms of Maslach Burnout Inventory. 3P Journal 1996; 4(11): 28-33.
- 21. Abiodun OA. A validity study of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale in general hospital units and a community sample in Nigeria. Br J Psychiatr 1994; 165(5): 669-72.
- 22. Aydemir Ö. Validity and reliability of Turkish form of Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale. Turk Psikiyatri Derg 1997; 8: 280-7.
- 23. Christina CW, Roger BD, Hamel MB. Comparing the SF-12 and SF-36 health status questionnaires in patients with and without obesity. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes 2008; 6: 11.
- 24. Koçyiğit H, Aydemir Ö, Fişek G, Ölmez N, Memiş A. Validity and reliability of Turkish form of Short Form-36 (SF-36). Drug and Treatment Journal 1999; 2(2): 102-6.
- Leiter M, Harvie P, Frizzell C. The correspondence of patient satisfaction and nurse burnout. Soc Sci Med 1998; 47(10); 1611-7.
- Sekeres MA, Chernoff M, Lynch TJ Jr, Kasendorf EI, Lasser DH, Greenberg DB. The Impact of a Physician Awareness Group and the First Year of Training on Haematology-Oncology Fellows. J Clin Oncol 2003; 21: 3676-82.
- Freeborn D. Satisfaction, commitment and well-being among HMO physicians. Permanente J 1998; 2: 22-30.
- 28. Association of Professors of Medicine. Predicting and Preventing Physician Burnout: Results from the United States and the Netherlands. Am j Med 2001; 111: 170-5.
- Schmoldt RA, Freeborn DK, Klevit HD. Physician burnout: recommendations for HMO managers. HMO Pract 1994; 8: 58-63.
- Panagopoulou E, Montgomery A, Benos A. Burnout in internal medicine physicians: Differences between residents and specialists. Eur J Intern Med 2006; 17: 195-200.
- 31. Araújo MMT, Silva MJP, Francisco MCPB. Nursing the dying: essential elements in the care of terminally ill patients. Int Nurs Rev 2004; 5(3): 149-58.
- 32. Bakker AB, Schaufeli WB, Demerouti E, Janssen PPM, Van der Hulst R, Brouwer J. Using equity theory to examine the difference between burnout and depression. Anxiety Stress Coping 2000; 13: 247-267.