

Turkish Journal of Medical Sciences

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/medical/

Letter to the Editor

Turk J Med Sci (2022) 52: 1408-1410 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.55730/1300-0144.5449

Erector spinae plane block in chronic pain: a retrospective study

Hanzade Aybüke ÜNAL ARTIK^{1,*}, Türkan ÖRNEK GÜLPINAR², Adem HALİS³, Nalan ÇELEBİ⁴

¹Department of Algology, Usak University Research and Training Hospital, Usak, Turkey

²Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Liv Hospital, Ankara, Turkey

³Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Marmara University Pendik Research and Training Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey ⁴Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Division of Algology, Faculty of Medicine, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey

Received: 18.02.2022 Accepted/Published Online: 05.04.2022 Final Version: 10.08.2022

Abstract: Patients with various aetiology of pain who underwent erector spinae plane block at different levels were evaluated at the tertiary Algology clinic. Visual analog scale (VAS) values were recorded before the block; 30 min, two weeks, and two months after the block. Medical records of fifteen patients have been obtained. The average VAS decreased from 7 ± 1 to 5 ± 3 in the second month when compared to the values before block (p < 0.01). ESP block can be an option for chronic pain in postsurgical pain syndrome and myofascial pain management.

Key words: Chronic pain, nerve block, pain management

Erector spinae plane block (ESP) is an interfacial plane block that is performed by the application of local anaesthetic between the erector spina muscle and transverse process. ESP block takes effect by diffusing local anesthetic into musculofacial part over craniocaudal multiple vertebra levels [1]. As it can be carried out from different levels, it is used for postoperative analgesic effects in various operations [2,3]. The efficiency in chronic pains is mostly based on case reports and case series [1,4,5]. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effect of ESP block on pain severity in chronic pain due to different etiologies.

Patients undergoing ESP block due to chronic pain between February 2019 and February 2021 were reviewed retrospectively. All reported research involving "Human beings" was conducted in accordance with the principles set forth in the Helsinki Declaration 2008 and local ethical approval was obtained (2021/12-05). Written informed consent was obtained from the patients for the performance of ESP block. The ESP blocks were performed by using 18 mL of bupivacaine 0.5% and 2 mL of 8 mg dexamethasone with a 22-gauge 100-mm spinal needle (Egemen, İzmir, Turkey). The intensity of pain was evaluated by using visual analog scala (VAS) before and after the block at the 30th min, 2nd week, and 2nd month.

The patients' demographics, ESP block levels, and VAS values are given in Table 1. The mean VAS level is 7 ± 1 before the intervention. After the ESP block, the means

are respectively determined as 2 ± 2 in the 30th min, 4 ± 3 in the 2^{nd} week, and 5 ± 3 in the 2^{nd} month. There was a statistically significant difference between baseline and post-block VAS score (p < 0.05). The patients who have myofascial pain consist of the ones showing no response to the trigger point injection. There has been a statistically significant decrease in myofascial pain intensity in the 2nd week and 2nd month compared to the before block pain level (p < 0.05) as shown in Table 2. Five of the six patients who have postsurgical chronic neuropathic pain went through gynecologic and thoracic malignancy operations. Although there has been a statistically significant decrease in pain level in the 2nd week compared to the before block pain level, there has not been a statistical decrease in pain level in the 2^{nd} month (p = 0.066) (Table 2). No major complications such as nerve damage, motor block, unceasing hemorrhage, and infection were observed after the intervention.

In this study, it is found that in chronic pain, which is with no response to the medical treatment, ESP block is able to decrease the pain level. Although it is ineffective for pain due to endometrium and over malignancy, ESP block has been determined as effective in postsurgical chronic pain and myofascial pain which most patients suffer from.

In a 7-patient case series, where the effctiveness of ESP blok in postthoracotomy pain was evaluded the analgesic effect losted 2-6 weeks in 4 patients, while it losted no more



^{*} Correspondence: hanzadeunal@windowslive.com 1408

| | | | | | VAS chang | ge | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------|-----|------------|-----------|--------|---------|----------|
| Etiology | | Gender | Age | ESPB level | Baseline | 30 min | 2 weeks | 2 months |
| Endometrium carcinoma | | F | 59 | T8* | 9 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| Over malignancy | | F | 78 | T9* | 8 | 3 | 8 | 8 |
| Myofascial pain syndrome | | М | 41 | T12 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| | | М | 44 | T12 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | | М | 43 | T6* | 5 | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| | | М | 51 | T6* | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | F | 54 | T4* | 6 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| | | F | 34 | Т8 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| | | F | 46 | T3* | 7 | 0 | 6 | 7 |
| Postsurgical pain syndrome | nephrectomy | F | 19 | T11 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
| | lobectomy | F | 52 | Т8 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| | lobectomy | М | 58 | T7 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| | hysterectomy | F | 56 | T8* | 10 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| | lobectomy | М | 35 | Т8 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 8 |
| | hysterectomy | F | 49 | T8* | 9 | 5 | 9 | 9 |

F: female, M: male, PSPS: postsurgical pain syndrome, ESPB: Erector spinae plane block, T: thoracic *bilateral block

| | Myofascial p syndrome | ain | Postsurgical pain syndrome | | |
|------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| | VAS ^a | P value ^b | VAS ^a | P-value ^b | |
| Baseline | 6 ± 1 | | 9 ± 1 | | |
| Thirty min | 1 ± 1 | 0.017 | 2 ± 2 | 0.027 | |
| Two weeks | 3 ± 2 | 0.018 | 3 ± 3 | 0.042 | |
| Two months | 4 ± 2 | 0.039 | 4 ± 4 | 0.066 | |

Table 2. VAS changes in myofascial pain and postsurgical pain syndromes.

a: mean \pm standard deviation ": mean \pm standard deviation Friedman test ": Wilcoxon signed rank test

than 2 days in 3 patients [4]. In our study, in 2 of the 3 patients with postthoracotomy pain, pain control has been provided for 2 months. We think that this situation is based upon the dexamethasone being used. Glucocorticoids inhibit prostaglandin synthesis and nociceptive impulse transmission in myelinated C fibers [6].

Piraccaniet al. have investigated the effectiveness of ESP block in patients with myofascial pain. They stated that ESP block is effective only in short term and it does not have a significant effect in long term [7]. Tulgar et al. Stated in their case report that they provided pain palliation respectively for 8 weeks and 3 months [8]. Besides, Yürük

et al. recorded that in a prospective randomized controlled study in which ESP block effectiveness is analyzed, there has been a distinct decrease in pain for 4 weeks with ESP block compared to trigger point injection therapy. Researchers linked this finding to the fact that ESP block influences and deep nociceptors [5]. In our study, there has been a statistically significant decrease in pain in our patients for 2 months.

ESP block is an inexpensive, easily applicable, safe, and effective technique in chronic pain. We believe that ESP block may be administered in patients and before the more complicating invasive procedures.

References

- Forero M, Rajarathinam M, Adhikary SD, Chin KJ. Erector spinae plane block for the management of chronic shoulder pain: a casereport. Canadian Journal of Anaesthesia 2018; 65 (3): 288-293. doi: 10.1007/s12630-017-1010-1
- Tulgar S, Ahiskalioglu A, De Cassai A, Gurkan Y. Efficacy of bilateral erector spinae plane block in the management of pain: current insights. Journal of Pain Research 2019; 12: 2597-2613. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S182128
- Hamed MA, Goda AS, Basiony MM, Fargaly OS, Abdelhady MA. Erector spinae plane block for postoperative analgesia in patients undergoing total abdominal hysterectomy: a randomized controlled study original study. Journal of Pain Research 2019; 12: 1393-1398. doi: 10.2147/JPR.S196501
- Forero M, Rajarathinam M, Adhikary S, Chin KJ. Erector spinae plane (ESP) block in the management of post thoracotomy pain syndrome: A case series. Scandinavian Journal of Ppain, 2017;17, 325–329. doi: 10.1016/j.sjpain.2017.08.013

- Yürük D, Akkaya ÖT, Polat ÖE, Alptekin HA. Ultrasoundguided erector spinae plane block and trapezius muscle injection for myofascial pain syndrome. Journal of Ultrasound in Medicine 2022; 41(1): 185-191. doi: 10.1002/jum.15694
- Moeen SM, Ramadan IK, Elkady HA. Dexamethasone and Dexmedetomidine as an Adjuvant to Intraarticular Bupivacaine for Postoperative Pain Relief in Knee Arthroscopic Surgery: A Randomized Trial. Pain Physician 2017; 20 (7): 671-680
- Piraccini E, Calli M, Taddei S, Byrne H, Rocchi M et al. Erector spinae plane block for myofascial pain syndrome: only a shortterm relief? Minerva Anestesiologica 2020; 86: 888-890. doi: 10.23736/S0375-9393.20.14523-1
- Tulgar S, Thomas DT, Suslu H. Ultrasound guided erector spinae plane block relieves lower cervical and interscapular myofascial pain, a new indication. Journal of Clinical Anesthesia 2019; 53: 74. doi: 10.1016/j.jclinane.2018.10.008