Turk J Phys 27 (2003) , 201 – 203. © TÜBİTAK

Clebsch-Gordan Equalities that imply the Vanishing of Particular 6j Symbols

Harry A. MAVROMATIS

Physics Department, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran 31261-SAUDI ARABIA e-mail: harrym@kfupm.edu.sa

Received 08.01.2003

Abstract

Three Clebsch-Gordan equalities and three individual Clebsh-Gordan relations are obtained by studying the non-accidental vanishing of certain 6j symbols.

Key Words: Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, 6j symbols, Regge symmetries, angular momenta.

1. Introduction

In two interesting papers [1, 2] involving nuclear shell model calculations, Robinson and Zamick show that certain 6j and 9j symbols vanish and that this vanishing is not accidental. In particular, they point out that

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} j & j & 2j-3\\ j & 3j-4 & 2j-1 \end{array}\right\} = 0,$$

for both integer and half integer values of j. By using Regge [3] symmetries they also show this particular 6j is isomorphic with other 6j symbols which are therefore also zero.

2. Formalism

In the present note we examine what the result

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} j & j & 2j-3\\ j & 3j-4 & 2j-1 \end{array}\right\} = 0$$

implies at the level of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and show that unexpected equalities, involving these coefficients, arise.

The 6j coefficient

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} j & j & 2j-3 \\ j & 3j-4 & 2j-1 \end{array}\right\}$$

is related to the sum over four Clebsch-Gordan coefficients as follows:

$$\begin{cases} j & j & 2j-3 \\ j & 3j-4 & 2j-1 \end{cases} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(4j-5)(4j-1)}} \\ \times & \sum_{m_a,m_b} (j \ j \ m_a \ m_b \mid 2j-3 \ m_a+m_b) \ (2j-3 \ j \ m_a+m_b \ M-m_a-m_b \mid 3j-4 \ M) \\ \times & (j \ j \ m_b \ M-m_a-m_b \mid 2j-1 \ M-m_a) \ (j \ 2j-1 \ m_a \ M-m_a \mid 3j-4 \ M), \end{cases}$$
(1)

201

MAVROMATIS

where $-|3j-4| \leq M \leq 3j-4$. Since this expression is independent of M, in what follows we choose M = 3j-4. To understand why the double sum expression (1) is zero, at first seems a daunting task. For an arbitrary j, $(2j+1)^2$ terms are involved. Thus, for j = 19/2 this amounts to 400 terms.

A careful analysis however shows that, independent of j, only <u>six</u> non-zero terms arise in expression (1). The Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $(2j-3 \ j \ m_a+m_b \ 3j-4-m_a-m_b \ | \ 3j-4 \ 3j-4)$ requires that $m_a + m_b$ must equal 2j-3 or 2j-4. Otherwise it is zero. This is only possible if $m_a = j$, $m_b = j-3$; $m_a = j-1$, $m_b = j-2$; $m_a = j-2$, $m_b = j-1$; $m_a = j-3$, $m_b = j$; or $m_a = j$, $m_b = j-4$; $m_a = j-1$, $m_b = j-3$; $m_a = j-2$, $m_b = j-2$; $m_a = j-3$, $m_b = j-1$; and $m_a = j-4$, $m_b = j$.

Thus, there are only nine combinations that in principle can contribute to expression (1). Of these, two are accidentally zero, namely $m_a = j - 2$, $m_b = j - 2$, since $(j \ j \ j - 2 \ j - 2 \ | \ 2j - 3 \ 2j - 4)$ is zero, and $m_a = j - 2$, $m_b = j - 1$ since $(j \ j \ j - 1 \ j - 1 \ | \ 2j - 1 \ 2j - 2)$ is zero, and the combination $m_a = j - 4$, $m_b = j$, is zero since $(j \ j \ j \ j \ | \ 2j - 1 \ 2j)$ involves a projection 2j for an angular momentum 2j - 1. Thus, for any j, only six pairs (m_a, m_b) contribute, namely: $(j, \ j - 3)$, $(j, \ j - 4)$, $(j - 1, \ j - 2)$, $(j - 1, \ j - 3)$, $(j - 3, \ j)$, $(j - 3, \ j - 1)$.

An analysis of the first two pairs (j, j - 3), (j, j - 4) in the sum of Eqn. (1) leads to the following expression:

$$\begin{bmatrix} (j j j j -3 | 2j - 3 2j - 3) (2j - 3 j 2j - 3 j - 1 | 3j - 4 3j - 4) (j j j - 3 j - 1 | 2j - 1 2j - 4) \\ + (j j j j - 4 | 2j - 3 2j - 4) (2j - 3 j 2j - 4 j | 3j - 4 3j - 4) (j j j - 4 j | 2j - 1 2j - 4) \end{bmatrix} \times (j 2j - 1 j 2j - 4 | 3j - 4 3j - 4) .$$

$$(2)$$

But it can be shown that the product

$$(j \ j \ j \ j - 3 \ | \ 2j - 3 \ 2j - 3) (2j - 3 \ j \ 2j - 3 \ j - 1 \ | \ 3j - 4 \ 3j - 4) (j \ j \ j - 3 \ j - 1 \ | \ 2j - 1 \ 2j - 4)$$

$$= -\frac{j}{(4j - 3)} \sqrt{\frac{2j - 3}{6(j - 1)}}$$

$$= -(j \ j \ j \ j - 4 \ | \ 2j - 3 \ 2j - 4) (2j - 3 \ j \ 2j - 4 \ j \ | \ 3j - 4 \ 3j - 4) (j \ j \ j - 4 \ j \ | \ 2j - 1 \ 2j - 4).$$
(3)

Hence the sum of the contributions of this pair vanishes.

Similarly, the sum of the pairs (j-1, j-2) and (j-1, j-3) vanishes because of the identity

$$(j \ j \ j-1 \ j-2 \ | \ 2j-3 \ 2j-3) (2j-3 \ j \ 2j-3 \ j-1 \ | \ 3j-4 \ 3j-4) (j \ j \ j-2 \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-3) = \frac{\sqrt{j(2j-3)}}{2(4j-3)} = -(j \ j \ j-1 \ j-3 \ | \ 2j-3 \ 2j-4) (2j-3 \ j \ 2j-4 \ j \ | \ 3j-4 \ 3j-4) (j \ j \ j-3 \ j \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-3);$$
(4)

and the sum of the pairs (j-3, j) and (j-3, j-1) vanishes because of the identity:

$$(j \ j \ j-3 \ j \ | \ 2j-3 \ 2j-3) (2j-3 \ j \ 2j-3 \ j-1 \ | \ 3j-4 \ 3j-4) (j \ j \ j \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-1) = -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{j(2j-3)}{3(j-1)(4j-3)}} = -(j \ j \ j-3 \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-3 \ 2j-4) (2j-3 \ j \ 2j-4 \ j \ | \ 3j-4 \ 3j-4) (j \ j \ j-1 \ j \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-1).$$

$$(5)$$

General results, involving relations between single Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients, follow by combining expressions (3), (4), and (5). In particular, combining Eqns. (3) and (5), one obtains

MAVROMATIS

$$(j \ j \ j-3 \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-4) = -\sqrt{\frac{2j}{(4j-3)}} (j \ j \ j \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-1), \tag{6}$$

while combining Eqns. (4) and (5) yields

$$(j \ j \ j-3 \ j \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-3) = -\sqrt{\frac{3(j-1)}{(4j-3)}} (j \ j \ j \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-1).$$
(7)

Combining the results of Eqns. (6) and (7) one obtains

$$(j \ j \ j-3 \ j-1 \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-4) = \sqrt{\frac{2j}{3(j-1)}} (j \ j \ j-3 \ j \ | \ 2j-1 \ 2j-3).$$
(8)

It is of interest to compare these results with those obtained using the symmetries of Regge's elegant expression [4].

Written in terms of 3 - j's Eqn. (8) becomes:

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & j & 2j-1 \\ j-3 & j-1 & -2j+4 \end{pmatrix} = \sqrt{\frac{2j}{3(j-1)}} \begin{pmatrix} j & j & 2j-1 \\ j-3 & j & -2j+3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Regge's symmetries (aside from the standard relations one obtains if one interchanges rows, or changes the signs of the projections), one obtains additionally

$$\begin{pmatrix} j & j & 2j-1 \\ j-3 & j-1 & -2j+4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2j-2 & 2j-1 & 2 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} j & 2j-2 & j+1 \\ 1-j & 2j-3 & 2-j \end{pmatrix}.$$

These involve different angular momenta, whereas Eqns. (6)–(8) involve different projections for the same j's. The three relations, Eqns. (6)–(8), can be verified by construction, starting with the state $\psi_{2j}^{2j} = \phi_j^j \eta_j^j$, using lowering operators to obtain the state $\psi_{2j-1}^{2j} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\phi_j^j \eta_{j-1}^j + \phi_{j-1}^j \eta_j^j \right)$, constructing the orthogonal state ψ_{2j-1}^{2j-1} , and finally using lowering operators to obtain ψ_{2j-2}^{2j-1} , ψ_{2j-3}^{2j-1} , and ψ_{2j-4}^{2j-1} .

3. Conclusions

It has been shown that three unexpected Clebsch-Gordan equalities, namely Eqns. (3), (4), (5) lead to the non-accidental vanishing of the 6j symbol:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rrr} j & j & 2j-3\\ j & 3j-4 & 2j-1 \end{array}\right\}$$

that can generally be expressed as a sum of six terms. These three relations are also of some interest by themselves and lead to general relations between individual Clebsch-Gordan coefficients namely Eqns. (6), (7) and (8).

The author would like to thank KFUPM for its support in this research.

References

- S. J. Q. Robinson and L. Zamick, Degeneracies when T=0 Two Body Interaction Matrix Elements are Set Equal to Zero and Regge's 6j Symmetry Relations, Physical Review C, 63, (2001), 64316.
- [2] S. J. Q. Robinson and L. Zamick, Degeneracies when T=0 Two Body Interaction Matrix Elements are Set Equal to Zero: Talmi's method of calculating coefficients of fractional parentage to states forbidden by the Pauli Principle, Physical Review C, 64, (2001), 57302.
- [3] T. Regge, Il Nuovo Cimento, Vol. XI, N. 1, (1959), 298–299.
- [4] T. Regge, Il Nuovo Cimento, Vol. X, N. 3, (1959), 296–297.