Turk J Phys 28 (2004) , 89 – 93. © TÜBİTAK

E2/M1 Multipole Mixing Ratios of Transitions in Erbium Isotopes

Harun Reşit YAZAR, İhsan ULUER

Department of Physics, Kırıkkale University, 71450, Yahşihan, Kırıkkale-TURKEY e-mail:yazar@kku.edu.tr

Received 21.10.2003

Abstract

Erbium isotopes (Z = 64) lie in the transitional region that occurs at the middle of the range of deformed nuclei. γ -ray E2/M1 mixing ratios for selected transitions in $^{166-168}$ Er are calculated in the proton-neutron interacting boson approximation(IBA-2). The results obtained for $^{166-168}$ Er are reasonably in good agreement with the previous experimental and teoretical values.

Key Words: IBA-2, Deformation parameter, Quadrupol moment, Mixing ratios.

1. Introduction

The neutron-proton version of the interacting boson model (IBA-2) which distinguishes between neutron (ν) and proton (π) bosons, is used in the present work; a full description of IBA-2 is found in [1–4]. The Hamiltonian operator in IBA-2 has three parts, one for proton bosons, one for neutron bosons and one that describes the interaction between unlike bosons:

$$H = H_{\pi} + H_{\nu} + H_{\pi\nu}.$$
 (1)

The Hamiltonian generally used in phenomenological calculations can be written as

$$H = \varepsilon_d (n_{d_\nu} + n_{d_\pi}) + \kappa (\mathbf{Q}_\nu \cdot \pi) + V_{\nu\nu} + V_{\pi\pi} + M_{\nu\pi}, \tag{2}$$

where the dot denotes a scalar product. The first term represents the single-boson energies for proton and neutron bosons, ε_d is the energy difference between s- and d-bosons and $n_{d\rho}$ is the number of d-bosons, where ρ corresponds to π (proton) or ν (neutron) bosons. The second term denotes the main part of the boson-boson interaction, i.e the quadrupole-quadrupole interaction between neutron and proton bosons with strength κ . The quadrupol operator is

$$Q_{\rho} = [d_{\rho}^{+} s_{\rho} + s_{\rho}^{+} \tilde{d}_{\rho}]^{(2)} + \chi_{\rho} [d_{\rho}^{+} \tilde{d}_{\rho}]^{(2)}$$
(3)

89

where χ_{ρ} determines the structure of the quadrupol operator and is determined empirically. The square brackets in Eq. (3) denotes angular momentum coupling.

The terms $V_{\pi\pi}$ and $V_{\nu\nu}$, which correspond to interactions between like-bosons, are sometimes included in order to improve the fit to experimental energy spectra. They are of the form

$$V_{\rho\rho} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{L=0,2,4} C_L^{\rho} \left(\left[d_{\rho}^+ d_{\rho}^+ \right]^{(L)} \cdot \left[\tilde{d}_{\rho} \tilde{d}_{\rho} \right]^{(L)} \right).$$
(4)

However, their effects are usually considered minor and often neglected [5].

The Majorana term $M_{\nu\pi}$, which contains three parameters ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 may be written as

$$M_{\nu\pi} = \frac{1}{2}\xi_2 \left(\left[s_{\nu}^+ d_{\pi}^+ - d_{\nu}^+ s_{\pi}^+ \right]^{(2)} \cdot \left[s_{\nu} \tilde{d}_{\pi} - \tilde{d}_{\nu} s_{\pi} \right]^{|2|} \right) - \sum_{k=1,3} \xi_k \left(\left[d_{\nu}^+ d_{\pi}^+ \right]^{(k)} \cdot \left[\tilde{d}_{\nu} \tilde{d}_{\pi} \right]^{(k)} \right).$$
(5)

By this work we have aimed at two things: first, to give the Hamiltonian of IBA-2 in terms of the formalism; second is to study $^{166-168}$ Er by use of this Hamiltonian.

2. Theory and Method of calculation

The isotopes ${}^{166-168}$ Er have $N_{\pi} = 7$, and N_{ν} varies from 8 and 9, while the parameters κ , χ_{ρ} and ε_d , as well as the Majorana parameters ξ_k , with k = 1, 2, 3, were treated as free parameters and their values were estimated by fitting to the measured level energies. This procedure was made by sellecting the "traditional" values of the parameters and then allowing one parameter to vary while keeping the others are held constant until a best fit was obtained. This was carried out iteratively until an overall fit was achieved. The best fit values for the hamiltonian parameters are given in Table 1 and the calculated energy levels are compared with the experimental data and are shown in Table 2 and Table 3 for ${}^{166-168}$ Er.

Table 1. Hamiltonian Parameters.

Isotope	ε_d	κ	$\chi_{ u}$	χ_{π}	$\xi_{1,2}$	ξ_3
$^{166}\mathrm{Er}$	0.23	-0.04	-0.49	-0.59	0.15	0.12
$^{168}\mathrm{Er}$	0.20	-0.02	-0.61	-0.71	0.18	0.18

Spin Parity Energy Levels Energy Levels Energy Levels [26] \mathbf{K}^{π} I^{π} This Work (MeV) Experimental (MeV) 0^{+} Ground state 0.000 0.000 2^{+} Band 0.0790.080 4^{+} $K^{\pi} = 0^{+}$ 0.2660.264 6^{+} 0.5360.545Gamma 2^{+} 0.8670.785 3^{+} Vibrational 0.6310.859 4^{+} Band 1.0550.956 5^{+} $K^{\pi} = 2^{+}$ 1.0011.075

Table 2. Calculated and experimental energy levels of ¹⁶⁶Er.

Energy Levels	Spin Parity	Energy Levels	Energy Levels [26]
Κ ^π	Ιπ	This Work (MeV)	Experimental (MeV)
Ground state	0^{+}	0.000	0.000
Band	2^{+}	0.091	0.078
$K^{\pi}=0^+$	4^{+}	0.272	0.264
	6^{+}	0.549	0.548
Gamma	2^{+}	0.644	0.821
Vibrational	3^{+}	0.589	0.895
Band	4^{+}	0.983	0.994
$K^{\pi}=2^+$	5^{+}	1.465	1.117

Table 3. Calculated and experimental energy levels of 168 Er.

Arima and Iachello in their original interacting boson approximation (IBA-1) gave the M1 operator in the restricted case of U(5) dynamic symmetry [2] and as well as the general case [6]. However, even when starting with the general operator, they derived the E2/M1 mixing ratio by neglecting the term which break the SU(3) symmetry [7]. It follows that the reduced mixing ratio is given by the same simple formula for both U(5) and SU(3) symmetries. The formula contains only one parameter and the initial and final spins. Warner [8] has developed an IBA description of the E2/M1 mixing ratio whose point of departure is essentially the same as that of Scholten et al [9]. To present time, several systematic studies [10–12] have been performed within the framework of the IBA. The most spectacular difference is that IBA-2 predict collective M1 excitations [13] absent from IBA-1 and they have been observed in both deformed and spherical nuclei [14].

In IBA-2, the E2 transition operator is given by,

$$T^{(E2)} = e_{\pi}Q_{\pi} + e_{\nu}Q_{\nu}, \tag{6}$$

where $e_{\pi}(e_{\nu})$ is the effective charge of proton (neutron) bosons in units of eb. They may be obtained from the B(E2) values of $2^+ \rightarrow 0^+$ transitions [15]. The quadrupole operator Q_{ρ} has the same definition as in the Hamiltonian Equation (2). The M1 transition operator can be written as

$$T^{(M1)} = \left[\frac{3}{4\pi}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(g_{\pi}L_{\pi} + g_{\nu}L_{\nu}\right),\tag{7}$$

where g_{ρ} is the proton (neutron) g-factor in units of μ_N and L_{ρ} is the angular momentum operator for proton (neutron) and given by

$$L_{\rho} = \sqrt{10} \left[d_{\rho}^{+} \tilde{d}_{\rho} \right]^{(1)} \tag{8}$$

The values of the effective boson charges, as well as the g-factors for the proton and neutron, are taken from [15]. For the later, Sambataro and Dieperink [16] showed that the experimental g values of 2^+_1 levels have a simple linear relationship to g_{π} and g_{ν} , and they were deduced from relavant experimental data.

The ratio $\Delta(E2/M1)$ is defined as the ratio of the reduced E2-matrix element to the reduced M1-matrix element. Rather than attempting to evaluate the E2 and M1 matrix elements for $^{166-168}$ Er essential in theoretical mixing ratio calculations, it is possible to obtain these ratios in an analytic form, as the matrix elements have a simple sturucture in the SU(5) and SU(3) limits. This quality is related to the usual δ -mixing ratio by [17]

$$\delta(E2/M1) = 0.835 E_{\gamma} \Delta(E2/M1) \tag{9}$$

where E_{γ} is in MeV and $\Delta(E2/M1)$ is eb/μ_N . The δ -mixing ratio were calculated for some selected transitions in ^{166–168}Er; Table 4 shows the comparisons of our calculations with the experimental results.

Isotope	Spin Parity	Transition Energy	Mixing Ratios $\delta (E2/M1)$		
	$\mathbf{I}_i \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_f$	$({ m MeV})$	This work	Experimental	Previous work
¹⁶⁶ Er	$2^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2^+_g$	0.7053	17.61	$16.01 \ (+5:13)^a$	16.84^{e}
	$3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2^+_g$	0.7788	19.11	19.0 $(+19:9)^a$	18.41^{f}
	$3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_q$	0.5943	8.97	$8.0 \ (+5:3)^b$	17.61^{e}
	$4^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_q$	0.6912	9.32	$7.5 \ (+\infty:-1.5)^c$	9.06^{f}
	$5^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_q$	0.8119	1.4	$1.46~(\pm~0.1)^d$	0.23^{e}
	$5^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 6^+_{q}$	0.5298	5.38	$5.0 \ (\pm \ 2.5)^c$	5.4^{c}
$^{168}\mathrm{Er}$	$2^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2^+_q$	0.7413	16.14	$16 \; (+12:5 \;)^g$	16.39^{f}
	$3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 2^+_q$	0.817	1.21	$1.42 \ (\pm \ 0.4 \)^a$	1.76^{h}
	$3^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_q$	0.6317	3.5	$9.3~(\pm~0.6~)^a$	6.6^e
	$4^+_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^+_{q}$	0.7306	11.94	$5.7 \ (\pm \ 5.7 \)^g$	8.42^{f}
	$5^{+}_{\gamma} \rightarrow 4^{g}_{a}$	0.8535	2.43	$3.64(+1.8:-0.9)^h$	10.13^{f}
	$5^+_{-}\rightarrow 6^+_{-}$	0.5695	4.95	$25 (\pm 3)^{c}$	5.66^{f}

Table 4. Experimental and theoretical $\delta(E2/M1)$ multipole mixing ratios of erbium isotopes.

(a) Lange et al [18], (b) Krane et al [19], (c) Baker et al [20], (d) Binarh et al [21]

(e) Lipas et al [22], (f) Warner [23], (g) Domingos et al [24], (h) Schreckenbach et al [25].

3. Results and Discussion

Our calculated values of the mixing ratios of 166 Er have reasonable agreements with the experimental data. The mixing ratio found for the 0.7788 MeV transition is 19.11 and this value is in agreement with the experimental values of 19.0 (+190:--9) of Lange et al [18] and 18:41 of Warner [23]. The obtained results for the transition energies of 0.5943 MeV and 0.1190 MeV are 8.97 and 1.40 are also in good agreement with the experimental values.

The mixing ratio of ¹⁶⁸Er found for the 0.7413 MeV, 0.0747 Mev and 0.8535 MeV transitions are 16.14, 1.21 and 2.43 respectively. These values are in good agreement with the experimental values of 16 (+12:5) of Dominges et al [24], 1.42 (\pm 0.4) of Lange et al [18] and 3.64 (+1.8:--0.9) of Schreckenbach et al [25].

References

- [1] F. Iachello and A. Arima: (1987) The Interacting Boson Model (Camb. Un. Press)
- [2] Arima A and Iachello F: Ann. Phys. NY, 99, (1976), 253.
- [3] Arima A and Iachello F: Ann. Phys. NY, 111, (1978), 201.
- [4] Arima A and Iachello F: Ann. Phys. NY, 123, (1979), 468.
- [5] D.S. Mosbah, J.A. Evans and W.D. Hamilton: J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys., 20, (1994), 787-794.
- [6] O. Scholten: PVI Report, 63, (1990).
- [7] A. Arima and F. Iachello: Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci., 31, (1981), 75.

- [8] D.D. Warner: Phys. Rev. Lett., 47, (1981), 1819.
- [9] O. Scholten, F. Iachello and A. Arima : Ann. Phys., 155, (1978), 325.
- [10] R.F. Casten, A. Wolf: Phys. Rev., C35, (1987), 1156.
- [11] S. Raman, C.W. Nestor and K. H. Bhatt: Phys. Rev., C37, (1988), 325.
- [12] A. Wolf, O. Scholten, R.F. Casten: Phys. Rev., C43 (1991), 2279.
- [13] F. Iachello: Phys. Rev. Lett., 53, (1984), 1427.
- [14] W.D. Hamilton, A. Irback and J.P. Elliott: Phys. Rev. Lett. , 53, (1984), 2469.
- [15] W.D. Hamilton: J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys., 16, (1990), 745.
- [16] M. Sambataro and A.E.L. Dieperink: Phys. Lett., 107B, (1981), 19.
- [17] D.S. Mosbah, J.A. Evans and W.D. Hamilton: J. Phys. G. Nucl. Part. Phys., 20, (1994), 787–794.
- [18] J. Lange, K. Kumar, J.H. Hamilton: Rev. Mod. Phys., 54, (1982), 119.
- [19] K.S. Krane, and J.D. Moses: Phys. Rev., C24, (1981), 654.
- [20] K.R. Baker, J.H. Hamilton, J. Lange, A.V. Ramayya, L. Varnell, V. Maruhn-Rezwani, J.J. Pinajjian and J.A. Maruhn: Phys. Lett., B57, (1975), 441.
- [21] H.S. Binarh, S.S. Ghumman and H.S. Sahota: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., 59, (1990), 2359.
- [22] P.O. Lipas P. Toivonen and E. Hammeren: Nucl. Phys., A469, (1987), 348.
- [23] D. D. Warner: Phys. Rev. Lett., 47, (1981), 1819.
- [24] J.M. Domingos, G.D. Symons and A.C. Douglas: Nucl. Phys. A180, (1972),600
- [25] K. Schreckenbach and W. Gellety: Phys. Lett., B94, (1980), 298.
- [26] R.B. Firestone, Table of Isotopes, Version 1.0, March 1996.