

Improvement studies on mutton sheep for Marmara region conditions: I. fertility, lamb survival, and growth traits of lambs

Ayhan CEYHAN^{1*}, Tamer SEZENLER², İsmail ERDOĞAN², Osman TORUN³

¹Niğde University, Bor Vocational School, 51700 Bor, Niğde - TURKEY

²Marmara Livestock Research Institute, 10200 Bandırma, Balıkesir - TURKEY

³Çukurova University, Faculty of Agricultural, Department of Animal Science, 01330 Balcalı, Adana - TURKEY

Received: 07.10.2008

Abstract: The present study was conducted to compare the fertility of ewes, the survival rates, and the growth performance of lambs that were crossbred by mating German Black-Headed Mutton (GBM) rams with Kıvrıkcık ewes. The average lambing rates of the GBM × K(F₁), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotype, and Kıvrıkcık ewes were 80.00%, 75.73%, 76.78%, and 83.72%, respectively. The differences in lambing rates between the genotypes were significant (P < 0.01). The genotypes had a significant effect on litter size at birth, but not on fecundity. The differences in lambs' survival rates between the genotypes were significant (P < 0.05). The birth weight (BW), weaning weight (WW), and yearling live weight (YLW) of the lambs were 3.77 kg, 34.11 kg, and 43.71 kg for the GBM × K (F₁); 3.74 kg, 32.98 kg, and 44.91 kg for the Bandırma-I; 3.73 kg, 33.18 kg, and 45.71 kg for the Bandırma-II; and 3.45 kg, 30.92 kg, and 42.22 kg for the Kıvrıkcık lambs. The results of this study showed that crossbreeding between the GBM and Kıvrıkcık breed did not significantly increase lamb production. However, crossbred lambs did not have significant survival problems when compared to native Kıvrıkcık lambs and had higher growth performance than purebred Kıvrıkcık lambs.

Key words: Sheep, fertility, survival rate, growth traits

Marmara bölgesi şartlarına uygun etçi tip koyun geliştirme çalışmaları: I. dölverimi, kuzularda yaşama gücü ve büyüme performansı

Özet: Bu araştırma, Alman Siyah Başlı Et (ASB) ırkı koçlar ile Kıvrıkcık ırkı koyunların melezlerinde döl verimi, kuzuların yaşama gücü ve büyüme özelliklerini karşılaştırmak amacı ile yürütülmüştür. Çalışmada, ASB × K (F₁), Bandırma-I, Bandırma-II ve Kıvrıkcık koyunlarının doğum oranı sırasıyla % 80,00, % 75,73, % 76,78 ve % 83,72'dir. Doğum oranı bakımından genotipler arasındaki farklılıklar önemlidir (P < 0,01). Doğumda kuzu verimi üzerine genotiplerin etkisi önemli (P < 0,05) ancak, koçaltı koyuna göre kuzu verimi üzerine etkisi önemsizdir (P > 0,05). Sütten kesimde yaşama gücü oranı bakımından genotipler arasındaki farklılıklar önemlidir (P < 0,01). Kuzuların doğum ağırlığı, sütten kesim ağırlığı ve bir yaş canlı ağırlıkları ASB × K (F₁)'ler için sırasıyla; 3,77 kg, 34,11 kg ve 43,71 kg, Bandırma-I kuzuları için 3,74 kg, 32,98 kg ve 44,91 kg, Bandırma-II kuzuları için 3,73 kg, 33,18 kg ve 45,71 kg ve saf Kıvrıkcık kuzuları içinde kg 3,45 kg, 30,92 kg ve 42,22 kg'dır. Bu araştırmadan elde edilen bulgular, Kıvrıkcık koyunların ASB ırkı ile melezlenmesinin kuzu verimini artırmadığını, ancak elde edilen melez kuzuların yerli ırk Kıvrıkcıklar ile karşılaştırıldığında önemli yaşama gücü problemine sahip olmadığını ve Marmara Bölgesi koşullarında Kıvrıkcık ırkından daha yüksek büyüme performansına sahip olduğunu göstermiştir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Koyun, döl verimi, yaşama gücü, büyüme özellikleri

* E-mail: ceyhanayhan@hotmail.com

The ewe flock was kept indoors during the winter months and then taken to pasture as the weather conditions improved. Before the mating season, 400-600 g concentrate feed was given per animal. A similar program was also carried out to prepare the ewes for parturition. Sheep were shorn approximately 1 month before the mating season.

Estrus detection was performed daily by using teaser rams and ewes were mated with selected rams (GBM and Bandırma-I, Bandırma-II and Kıvırcık rams). Hand mating was applied between 15 June and 15 August and continued for 45-60 days for 9 years: 1999 through 2007. All lambs were weighed and ear tagged within 12 h of birth. Lambs were kept alone with their mothers in stalls for 3 days after lambing. The lambs were allowed to suckle their mothers twice a day. When the lambs reached 15 days old they were fed ad libitum a creep-feed concentrate and good quality alfalfa hay for 3 months. The lambs were weaned at 90 days of age. Then weaning male and female lambs were reared separately by sex groups. After this period, the roughage was given ad libitum, but the concentrate feed was approximately 200 g per lamb per day.

Methods in order to determine the fertility characteristics of the ewes, lambing, infertility of the ewes, rate of single births, rate of prolific births, fecundity, and litter size traits were determined for each genotype groups. The survival rates of the lambs were evaluated up to 90 days of age and all the lambs in the study were taken into consideration.

The statistical analyses for fertility and survival rate traits were done using the chi-square test. The lambs BW, weaning weights at 90 days (WW), 180 day live weights (LW180), and yearling live weights (YLW) were taken using a scale sensitive to 50 g. The absolute WW (90 day live weights) of the lambs was calculated by the interpolation method. The effects of some environmental factors that affect lamb growth were determined using the least-squares means method and the significance between the groups was determined with Duncan's test using SPSS (9). The model below was used to determine the extent to which the factors affected different age of lambs.

$$Y_{ijklm} = \mu + a_i + b_j + c_k + d_l + z_m + e_{ijklm}$$

The symbols in this model are: Y_{ijklm} = live weight of lamb at the age examined, μ = average of the population for the characteristic examined, a_i : effect of genotypes (Kıvırcık, GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and, Bandırma-II), b_j : Effect of dam ages (2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and ≥ 8 ages), c_k : effect of birth types (single, twin, and triplet born), d_l = effect of sex (female and male), z_m : years (1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, and 2007), and e_{ijklm} : random error. In the model used, it was assumed that there were no significant interactions between the factors investigated and the sum of the effects of the subgroups of factor was assumed to be zero.

Results

Fertility: The average fertility results for the GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık ewes are given in Table 1. The average lambing rate in GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık ewes were 80.00%, 75.73%, 76.68%, and 83.72%, respectively. The average difference between the GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık ewes were significant ($P < 0.01$). The average infertility rates were 20.00%, 24.27%, 23.22%, and 16.28%, respectively. There was a significant ($P < 0.01$) effect of genotypes on infertility rate. High infertility rate can be affected by the mating method. It is known that for the Kıvırcık dam line the mating is best in October. However, the Marmara Livestock Institute applied hand mating in June and August in the Merino herd each year. The average fecundity of the GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık ewes were 1.06, 0.99, 1.00, and 1.02, respectively, and the average litter size at birth was 1.32, 1.31, 1.30, and 1.21, respectively. There were significant ($P < 0.05$) effects of genotypes on litter size at birth. According to these results, it is determined that crossbreeding groups produced slightly higher values for the fecundity and litter size traits than purebred Kıvırcık ewes (Table 1).

Survival of lambs: The survival rates of lambs at weaning age (90 days) are presented in Table 2. The average survival rates of the lambs of the GBM x K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes,

Table 1. Fertility of GBM × K(F₁), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II crossbreds, and purebred Kıvırcık.

Years	Genotypes	Ewes exposed	Lambing rate	Infertility	Single lambing	Prolific lambing	Fecundity	Litter size
1999	GBM × K(F ₁)	85	67.06**	32.94**	87.72 ^{ns}	12.28 ^{ns}	0.71**	1.05 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	31	58.06**	41.94**	88.89 ^{ns}	11.11 ^{ns}	0.58**	1.00 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	303	83.83**	16.17**	86.22 ^{ns}	13.78 ^{ns}	0.95**	1.14 ^{ns}
2000	GBM × K(F ₁)	82	68.29 ^{ns}	31.71 ^{ns}	85.71 ^{ns}	14.29 ^{ns}	0.80**	1.78*
	Bandırma-I	13	61.54 ^{ns}	38.46 ^{ns}	87.50 ^{ns}	12.50 ^{ns}	0.69**	1.25*
	Kıvırcık	278	93.17 ^{ns}	6.83 ^{ns}	83.40 ^{ns}	16.60 ^{ns}	1.09**	1.66*
2001	GBM × K(F ₁)	164	70.12 ^{ns}	29.88 ^{ns}	77.39*	22.61*	0.87 ^{ns}	1.23 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	21	61.90 ^{ns}	38.10 ^{ns}	46.15*	53.85*	0.95 ^{ns}	1.54 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	3	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	50.00*	50.00*	1.00 ^{ns}	1.50 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	251	70.52 ^{ns}	29.48 ^{ns}	78.53*	21.47*	0.86 ^{ns}	1.21 ^{ns}
2002	GBM × K(F ₁)	150	87.33 ^{ns}	12.67 ^{ns}	70.23 ^{ns}	29.77 ^{ns}	1.14*	1.31 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	35	80.00 ^{ns}	20.00 ^{ns}	57.14 ^{ns}	42.86 ^{ns}	1.17*	1.46 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	9	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	0.89*	1.33 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	198	79.80 ^{ns}	20.20 ^{ns}	74.05 ^{ns}	25.95 ^{ns}	1.01*	1.26 ^{ns}
2003	GBM × K(F ₁)	137	85.40 ^{ns}	14.60 ^{ns}	54.70**	45.30**	1.25**	1.46**
	Bandırma-I	31	77.42 ^{ns}	22.58 ^{ns}	62.50**	37.50**	1.06**	1.38**
	Bandırma-II	18	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	66.67**	33.33**	0.89**	1.33**
	Kıvırcık	169	78.11 ^{ns}	21.89 ^{ns}	81.82**	18.18**	0.92**	1.18**
2004	GBM × K(F ₁)	100	91.00**	9.00**	62.64 ^{ns}	37.36 ^{ns}	1.27**	1.40 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	55	70.91**	29.09**	74.36 ^{ns}	25.64 ^{ns}	0.89**	1.26 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	36	61.11**	38.89**	77.27 ^{ns}	22.73 ^{ns}	0.75**	1.23 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	134	85.07**	14.93**	63.16 ^{ns}	36.84 ^{ns}	1.16**	1.37 ^{ns}
2005	GBM × K(F ₁)	82	78.05 ^{ns}	21.95 ^{ns}	62.50 ^{ns}	37.50 ^{ns}	1.07 ^{ns}	1.38 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	48	81.25 ^{ns}	18.75 ^{ns}	74.36 ^{ns}	25.64 ^{ns}	1.02 ^{ns}	1.26 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	45	86.67 ^{ns}	13.33 ^{ns}	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	1.16 ^{ns}	1.33 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	125	86.40 ^{ns}	13.60 ^{ns}	74.07 ^{ns}	25.93 ^{ns}	1.06 ^{ns}	1.22 ^{ns}
2006	GBM × K(F ₁)	75	92.00**	8.00**	56.52 ^{ns}	43.48 ^{ns}	1.32*	1.43 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	108	83.33**	16.67**	66.67 ^{ns}	33.33 ^{ns}	1.11*	1.33 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	100	81.00**	19.00**	71.60 ^{ns}	28.40 ^{ns}	1.04*	1.28 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	182	93.96**	6.04**	73.68 ^{ns}	26.32 ^{ns}	1.19*	1.26 ^{ns}
Overall	GBM × K(F ₁)	875	80.00**	20.00**	68.43**	31.57*	1.06 ^{ns}	1.32*
	Bandırma-I	342	75.73**	24.27**	68.73**	31.27*	0.99 ^{ns}	1.31*
	Bandırma-II	211	76.78**	23.22**	70.37**	29.63*	1.00 ^{ns}	1.30*
	Kıvırcık	1640	83.72**	16.28**	78.44**	21.56*	1.02 ^{ns}	1.21*

** (P < 0.01), * (P < 0.05): The differences between the means of genotype groups in the same columns are significant, ns: non-significant.

and purebred Kıvırcık at weaning (90 days) were 97.49%, 93.40%, 93.57%, and 94.00%, respectively. This result showed that the crossbreeding did not have any negative effects on the survival rate of the crossbred lambs. According to Table 2, Bandırma-I lambs had a higher survival rate than Bandırma-II and Kıvırcık lambs. The effect of genotype on

lambs' survival rate was significant ($P < 0.05$). The male lambs had a higher survival ability than the female lambs at weaning ages. The survival ability is slightly different between male and female lambs. It was found that the GBM \times K (F_1) lambs showed a higher survival rate than Kıvırcık, Bandırma-I, and Bandırma-II lambs (Table 2).

Table 2. Survival rates of lambs at weaning ages (90 days).

Years	Genotype	Number of lambs born		Survival rates		
		Male	Female	Male	Female	Average
1999	GBM \times K (F_1)	128	123	98.44 ^{ns}	98.37 ^{ns}	98.41 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	27	31	96.30 ^{ns}	100.0 ^{ns}	98.28 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	11	9	100.0 ^{ns}	88.89 ^{ns}	95.00 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	21	17	100.0 ^{ns}	94.12 ^{ns}	97.37 ^{ns}
2000	GBM \times K (F_1)	60	72	98.33 ^{ns}	93.06 ^{ns}	95.45 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	16	24	93.75 ^{ns}	83.33 ^{ns}	87.50 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	20	15	85.00 ^{ns}	100.00 ^{ns}	91.43 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	88	82	97.73 ^{ns}	96.34 ^{ns}	97.06 ^{ns}
2001	GBM \times K (F_1)	6	9	100.00 ^{ns}	100.00 ^{ns}	100.00 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-I	51	49	90.20 ^{ns}	87.76 ^{ns}	89.00 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	26	39	88.46 ^{ns}	87.18 ^{ns}	87.69 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	104	96	96.15 ^{ns}	93.75 ^{ns}	95.00 ^{ns}
2002	Bandırma-I	64	58	98.44 ^{ns}	100.00 ^{ns}	99.18 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	44	54	100.00 ^{ns}	92.59 ^{ns}	95.92 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	98	101	96.94 ^{ns}	97.03 ^{ns}	96.98 ^{ns}
2003	Bandırma-I	37	30	94.59 ^{ns}	93.33 ^{ns}	94.03 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	81	72	96.30 ^{ns}	98.61 ^{ns}	97.39 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	83	73	95.18 ^{ns}	95.89 ^{ns}	95.51 ^{ns}
2004	Bandırma-I	53	50	98.11 ^{ns}	96.00 ^{ns}	97.09 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	57	43	92.98 ^{ns}	88.37 ^{ns}	91.00 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	77	79	88.31 ^{ns}	89.87 ^{ns}	89.10 ^{ns}
2005	Bandırma-I	46	53	97.83 ^{ns}	96.23 ^{ns}	96.97 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	45	45	97.78 ^{ns}	95.56 ^{ns}	96.67 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	55	77	96.36 ^{ns}	94.81 ^{ns}	95.45 ^{ns}
2006	Bandırma-I	70	99	91.43 ^{ns}	83.84 [*]	86.98 ^{ns}
	Bandırma-II	86	68	86.05 ^{ns}	97.06 [*]	90.91 ^{ns}
	Kıvırcık	108	108	91.67 ^{ns}	86.11 [*]	88.89 ^{ns}
Overall	GBM \times K (F_1)	194	204	98.45 [*]	96.57 ^{ns}	97.49 [*]
	Bandırma-I	364	394	95.05 [*]	91.88 ^{ns}	93.40 [*]
	Bandırma-II	370	345	92.97 [*]	94.20 ^{ns}	93.57 [*]
	Kıvırcık	634	633	94.79 [*]	93.21 ^{ns}	94.00 [*]

*: The differences between the means of genotype groups in the same columns are significant ($P < 0.05$), ns: non-significant.

Table 3. Least-square means (LSM) and standard errors (SE) of the live weights of lambs at different ages (kg).

Investigated factors	BW		WW		LW180		YLW		ADG	
	n	LSM ± SE	n	LSM ± SE	n	LSM ± SE	n	LSM ± SE	n	LSM ± SE
Genotype		**		**		**		**		**
GBM × K(F)	398	3.77 ± 0.07 ^a	376	34.11 ± 0.67 ^a	110	38.38 ± 0.89 ^b	159	43.71 ± 0.94 ^a	376	0.33 ± 0.01 ^a
Bandırma-I	758	3.74 ± 0.05 ^a	649	32.98 ± 0.55 ^b	401	39.01 ± 0.61 ^a	427	44.91 ± 0.62 ^b	649	0.32 ± 0.01 ^b
Bandırma-II	715	3.73 ± 0.05 ^a	543	33.18 ± 0.55 ^a	401	38.51 ± 0.58 ^b	414	45.71 ± 0.58 ^b	543	0.32 ± 0.01 ^b
Kivircik	1267	3.45 ± 0.05 ^b	1081	30.92 ± 0.54 ^c	871	33.90 ± 0.56 ^c	778	42.22 ± 0.58 ^c	1081	0.29 ± 0.01 ^c
Age		**		**		**		**		**
2	90	3.05 ± 0.10 ^c	21	40.44 ± 1.40 ^a	14	33.31 ± 1.76 ^c	24	33.26 ± 1.60 ^c	21	0.38 ± 0.02 ^a
3	513	3.26 ± 0.06 ^c	398	31.45 ± 0.57 ^c	227	35.86 ± 0.65 ^b	261	42.53 ± 0.65 ^c	398	0.29 ± 0.01 ^c
4	707	3.60 ± 0.05 ^b	610	31.62 ± 0.53 ^b	387	37.59 ± 0.58 ^a	392	46.28 ± 0.59 ^b	610	0.30 ± 0.01 ^b
5	609	3.89 ± 0.05 ^a	528	31.76 ± 0.52 ^b	328	38.86 ± 0.58 ^a	368	47.21 ± 0.59 ^a	528	0.31 ± 0.01 ^b
6	556	3.91 ± 0.06 ^a	482	32.14 ± 0.53 ^b	328	38.77 ± 0.59 ^a	321	46.95 ± 0.60 ^a	482	0.31 ± 0.01 ^b
7	371	3.93 ± 0.06 ^a	338	32.13 ± 0.57 ^b	276	39.39 ± 0.62 ^a	200	46.72 ± 0.70 ^a	338	0.31 ± 0.01 ^b
≥8	292	4.07 ± 0.06 ^a	272	30.03 ± 0.58 ^c	223	38.35 ± 0.63 ^a	212	46.00 ± 0.67 ^b	272	0.29 ± 0.01 ^c
Year		**		**		**		**		**
2000	367	3.88 ± 0.07 ^a	311	31.39 ± 0.66 ^b	-	-	143	47.57 ± 0.80 ^b	311	0.30 ± 0.01 ^c
2001	377	3.78 ± 0.06 ^b	352	38.91 ± 0.59 ^a	286	38.18 ± 0.64 ^c	242	46.76 ± 0.68 ^b	352	0.38 ± 0.01 ^a
2002	380	3.55 ± 0.06 ^d	335	33.65 ± 0.61 ^c	159	39.14 ± 0.76 ^c	259	46.25 ± 0.72 ^b	335	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{cd}
2003	419	3.63 ± 0.06 ^d	405	31.35 ± 0.58 ^d	361	44.05 ± 0.63 ^a	329	50.42 ± 0.69 ^a	405	0.29 ± 0.01 ^c
2004	375	3.74 ± 0.06 ^c	266	29.51 ± 0.63 ^e	156	37.27 ± 0.76 ^d	243	41.55 ± 0.74 ^c	266	0.27 ± 0.01 ^f
2005	360	3.72 ± 0.06 ^d	305	33.28 ± 0.62 ^c	265	32.83 ± 0.66 ^e	252	39.50 ± 0.71 ^d	305	0.32 ± 0.01 ^{cd}
2006	321	3.58 ± 0.06 ^c	309	34.86 ± 0.61 ^b	275	40.44 ± 0.66 ^b	103	43.01 ± 0.87 ^c	309	0.33 ± 0.01 ^b
2007	539	3.50 ± 0.06 ^c	366	29.42 ± 0.59 ^e	281	30.22 ± 0.65 ^f	207	38.03 ± 0.75 ^c	366	0.30 ± 0.01 ^d
Birth type		**		**		**		**		**
Single	1839	4.42 ± 0.02 ^a	1577	34.01 ± 0.24 ^a	1031	40.55 ± 0.33 ^a	1054	44.17 ± 0.32 ^b	1577	0.34 ± 0.00 ^a
Twin	1266	3.71 ± 0.03 ^b	1054	32.50 ± 0.28 ^b	732	37.69 ± 0.37 ^{ab}	705	41.71 ± 0.36 ^c	1054	0.31 ± 0.00 ^b
Triplet	33	2.88 ± 0.14 ^c	18	31.88 ± 1.41 ^b	20	34.11 ± 1.42 ^b	19	46.54 ± 1.51 ^a	18	0.29 ± 0.02 ^b
Sex		**		**		**		**		**
Female	1553	3.53 ± 0.02	1333	31.32 ± 0.53	933	34.39 ± 0.57	940	41.29 ± 0.59	1333	0.30 ± 0.01
Male	1585	3.82 ± 0.03	1316	34.28 ± 0.52	850	40.51 ± 0.57	838	46.99 ± 0.58	1316	0.33 ± 0.01
Overall	3138	3.67 ± 0.05	2649	32.80 ± 0.51	1783	37.45 ± 0.55	1778	44.14 ± 0.57	2649	0.32 ± 0.01

a,b,c,d,e: The differences between the means of groups marked by various letters in the same column are significant **: P < 0.01

Growth of lambs: The least square means and standard errors of the live weights of the lambs at BW, WW, LW180, YLW, and ADG90 are shown in Table 3.

The live weights of lambs in the GBM × K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık were 3.77, 3.74, 3.73, and 3.45 kg for BW; 34.11, 32.98, 33.18, and 30.29 kg for WW; 38.38, 39.01, 38.51, and 33.90 kg for LW180; 43.71, 44.91, 45.71, and 42.22 kg for YLW; and 0.33, 0.32, 0.32, and 0.29 kg for ADG, respectively.

The live weights of the lambs in crossbred genotypes at all ages were higher than those in purebred Kıvırcık lambs. The effects of genotypes, sex, age of dams, birth years, and type of birth on BW, WW, LW180, YLW, and ADG90 were statistically significant ($P < 0.01$). Furthermore, the birth and the weights at different periods until yearling age of the female lambs were lower than the males, and prolific born lambs were lower than single born lambs (Table 3). According to growth traits results, it is clear that the crossbreeding groups showed higher results for lamb weaning weight that is suitable for starting fattening live weight than purebred Kıvırcık lambs. The average daily weight gains of the GBM × K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotypes, and purebred Kıvırcık lambs were 0.32, 0.32, 0.33, and 0.29 kg, respectively. With regard to the ADG the male lambs were heavier than the females, and single born lambs were heavier than the twin and triplet lambs. The effects of genotypes, sex, age of dams, birth years, and type of birth on average daily weight gains were significant ($P < 0.01$).

Discussion

In terms of the fertility characteristics of crossbred genotypes and purebred Kıvırcık ewes, lambing and infertility rates were found between 75.73% and 83.72%, and 16.28% and 24.27%, respectively. In crossbred (GBM × K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II) ewes the number of prolific births was higher than in the Kıvırcık ewes. The fertility rate was lower than that of crossbred and purebred ewes in some other studies (8,10,11,13), but was higher than what was reported for crossbreeding studies (3,12), and was similar to the result of 2-way and

3-way crossbreeding with German Black-Headed Mutton, Kıvırcık, and Chios sheep breeds (19). The litter sizes were 1.32 to 1.30 in the crossbreeding groups and were similar to the results reported in some crossbreeding studies (3,10,19), and were lower than the results for crossbred and purebred genotypes (3,4,7,8,10-13), and were higher than those of different sheep genotypes (5,6,14). One of the important characteristics of crossbred lambs is a high survival rate at the age of weaning. In this study, the survival rates of the GBM × K (97.00%) and Kıvırcık (94.00%) lambs were higher than for the crossbred Bandırma-I (93.40%) and Bandırma-II (93.57%) lambs. The survival rates until weaning age of the crossbred lambs in the present study were similar to those of Kıvırcık × (Chios × Red Karaman) F_1 and Chios × (Kıvırcık × Red Karaman) F_1 crossbred lambs (16) and were lower than crossbreeding Turkish Merino × Chios and Turkish Merino × Kıvırcık lambs (4) and German Black-Headed Mutton × Kıvırcık and Chios lambs (19), but were higher than those of the crossbred lambs in some other studies (3,8,10,12-15). In the present study, the Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II crossbred lambs had better results than the purebred Kıvırcık lambs in terms of BW, WW, LW180, and YLW. The Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II crossbred lambs showed similar growth performance with GBM × K (F_1) lambs. The BW of the GBM × K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II crossbred lambs were higher than what was reported for purebred Kıvırcık lambs (19). The BW of the GBM × K (F_1), Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II crossbred lambs were similar to that of crossbred lambs in other studies (3,14,19), but was higher than that of crossbreeds lambs in some other studies (3,6,7,10,12,16,18,20). The WW for the Bandırma-I and II crossbreeds lambs were lower than that of different crossbred lambs in some other studies (3,5-7,10,12,13,15,16,18,19); however, our findings for the LW180 and YLW were similar to the results of East Friesian × Awassi crossbred (F_1) lambs (18). The LW180 and YLW of the crossbred lambs in the present study were lower than those of Sakız × Akkaraman F_1 and Kıvırcık × Akkaraman F_1 lambs (10), and Bafra genotype (13,21), but were higher than those reported in different crossbreeding studies (12,17) of crossbred lambs.

Conclusion

The results of this study showed that crossbred lambs of Bandırma-I and Bandırma-II genotype, which carry the GBM genotype, had better growth performance than purebred Kıvırcık lambs and had similar survival rates in the Marmara region. However, the crossbreeding did not increase lamb production.

References

1. Kaymakçı, M.: İleri Koyun Yetiştiriciliği. Genişletilmiş İkinci Baskı. İzmir İli Damızlık Koyun-Keçi Yetiştiricileri Birliği Yayınları No:1. Bornova, İzmir. 2006.
2. Croston, D., Pollott, G.: Planned Sheep Production. Second Edition. Oxford Blackwell Scientific Publications. 1994.
3. Altinel, A., Evrim, M., Özcan, M., Başpınar, H., Deligözoğlu, F.: Studies on Possibility of Producing High Quality Lambs by Crossbreeding Among Sakız, Kıvırcık and German Black Headed Mutton Sheep. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 1998; 22: 257-265.
4. Özcan, M., Yılmaz, A., Akgündüz, M.: Investigations on improving the meat production of slaughter lambs by crossbreeding studies between Turkish Merino, Chios and Kıvırcık sheep breeds 1. fertility, lamb survival and growth of lambs. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2002; 26: 517-523.
5. Kaymakçı, M., Koşum, N., Taşkın, T., Akbaş, Y., Ataç, F.E.: A Study on Determining of Some Production Traits for Menemen Sheep Types. 4. National Animal Science Congress. 1-4 September 2004.
6. Kaymakçı, S., Sönmez, R., Kızılay, E., Taşkın, T., Ergül, N.: Investigation on developing sire lines for lamb production (first stage). Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 1999; 23: 255-259.
7. Özder, M., Kaymakçı, M., Taşkın, T., Köycü, E., Karaağaç, F., Sönmez, R.: Growth and milk yield traits of Türkgeldi sheep. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2004; 28: 195-200.
8. Demir, H., Ekiz, B., Yılmaz, Y., Elmaz, Ö.: The fertility of Kıvırcık and Sakız x Kıvırcık crossbred F_1 ewes and survival rates of their lambs. The Journal of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, İstanbul University. 2002; 28: 155-161.
9. Özdamar, K.: Statistical Data Analysis-1. Published by Kaan Book Store. 2004; Eskişehir- Turkey.
10. Akçapınar, H., Özbeyaz, C., Ünal, N., Avcı, M.: The possibilities of developing dam and sire lines using Akkaraman, Sakız and Kıvırcık sheep breeds for lamb production i. fertility in Akkaraman sheep, survival rate and growth characteristics of Sakız x Akkaraman F_1 and Kıvırcık x Akkaraman F_1 Lambs. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2000; 24: 71-79.
11. Esen, F., Özbey, O.: Fertility and milk yield characteristics in White Karaman and Chios x White Karaman (F_1) crossbred sheep. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2002; 26: 503-509.
12. Koyuncu, M., İpek, A., Tuncel, E., Akgündüz, V.: Some yield characteristics of genotype groups obtained by crossbreeding Kıvırcık with imported mutton sheep breeds (Hampshire Down, Lincoln and Blackhead German) Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 1999; 23: 423-427.
13. Ünal, Ü., Atasoy, F., Akçapınar, H., Erdoğan, M.: Fertility traits, survival rate and growth characteristics of Karayaka and Bafra (Chios x Karayaka B_1) genotypes. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2003; 27: 265-272.
14. Ateş, C. T., Arslan, M., Yılmaz, O.: The effects of some factors on birth weight, survival rate and litter size, pregnancy period in Redkaraman and Redkaraman x Dorset Down cross-breed lambs (F_1). Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2003; 27: 1311-1318.
15. Ekiz, B., Altinel, A.: The growth and survival characteristics of lambs produced by commercial crossbreeding Kıvırcık ewes with F_2 rams with the German Black-Headed mutton genotype. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2006; 30: 507-512.
16. Özbey, O., Esen, F., Aysöndü, M.H.: Production characteristics of Kıvırcık x (Chios x Red Karaman) F_1 and Chios x (Kıvırcık x Red Karaman) F_1 crossbred lambs. I. growth, survival ability and body measurements. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2000; 11: 27-33.
17. Esen, F., Yıldız, N.: Production characteristics of White Karaman, Chios x White Karaman (F_1) crossbred lambs. i. growth, survival ability and body measures. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2000; 24: 223-231.
18. Kul, S., Akcan, A.: Survival ability, growth and some body measurements in Awassi and East Friesian x Awassi crossbred (F_1) lambs. Uludag Univ. J. Fac. Vet. Med., 2002; 21: 109-114.
19. Özcan, M., Altinel, A., Yılmaz, A., Güneş, H.: Studies on the possibility of improving lamb production by two-way and three-way crossbreeding with German Black-Headed Mutton, Kıvırcık and Chios sheep breeds 1. fertility, lamb survival and growth of lambs. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2001; 25: 687-694.
20. Tekin, M.E., Gürkan, M., Karabulut, O., Düzgün, H.: Performance testing studies and the selection of Hasmer, Hasak, Hasiv and Linmer crossbreed sheep types: II. pre-weaning growth. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2005; 29: 59-65.
21. Atasoy, F., Ünal, Ü., Akçapınar, H., Mundan, D.: Fertility traits, survival rate and growth characteristics of Karayaka and Bafra (Chios x Karayaka B_1) genotypes. Turk. J. Vet. Anim. Sci., 2003; 27: 259-264.

Acknowledgement

This project was supported by Turkish Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Project No: TAGEM: 98/10/03/12