

**Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Sciences** 

http://journals.tubitak.gov.tr/veterinary/

**Research Article** 

Turk J Vet Anim Sci (2022) 46: 592-598 © TÜBİTAK doi:10.55730/1300-0128.4230

# Kid growth performance and reproductive characteristics of Hair goats raised under breeder conditions

Ayhan CEYHAN<sup>1</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Mahmut ÇINAR<sup>2</sup><sup>(b)</sup>, Uğur SERBESTER<sup>3,\*</sup><sup>(b)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Production and Technologies, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technologies, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Niğde, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Laboratory and Veterinary Health, Bor Vocational School, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir, Bor, Niğde, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey

Received: 30.03.2022	•	Accepted/Published Online: 18.07.2022	٠	Final Version: 03.08.2022	
----------------------	---	---------------------------------------	---	---------------------------	--

Abstract: In the study, fertility parameters of Hair goats and the growth performance of kids raised under farmer conditions were investigated. The data of birth weight of 28,768 kids, the body weight of 27,541 kids aged d 90, and reproductive parameters of 26,693 does were used between 2013 and 2017 years. The birth weights of Hair goat kids born in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 years were 2.36, 2.46, 2.56, 2.61, and 2.61 kg, whereas body weights detected on the d 90 were 15.2, 12.7, 12.8, 13.1, and 14.5 kg, respectively. Year, sex, birth type, and age of does affect significantly the birth and body weight of the kids on d 90 (p < 0.01). The survival rate detected on d 90 of kids was 100%, 98.7%, 94.5%, 93.9%, and 91.8% in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 years, respectively. The effect of year on the viability of kids was found to be statistically significant (p < 0.01). Twinning rates of does were 3.5%, 5.7%, 9.5%, 12.4%, and 6.5%; kid yields per birth was 1.00, 1.00, 1.09, 1.12, and 1.00 in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 years, respectively. The average birth rate was 91.9%, whereas the infertility rate was 8.1%. It was concluded that year, sex, and birth type influenced the kid growth performance of Hair goats due to variations in environmental factors such as management and feeding system. Therefore, if the management practices, nutrition, and health issues are improved, the growth performance of Hair goat kids and some reproductive parameters of Hair goats may be better.

Keywords: Hair goat, birth weight, growing performance, viability, the twinning rate

## 1. Introduction

Goat breeding plays an important role in the Turkish livestock sector due to utilising unfavourable lands in high capacity, adaptation, disease resistance, and also the increasing demand for goat products [1].

There are 12,341,514 goats in Turkey and about 97.7% of them are Hair goats [2]<sup>1</sup>. In 2021, approximately, 622,785 tons of milk were produced from goats, and almost of all them have been produced from Hair goats [2]. On the other hand, 94,555 tons of meat are produced from the goat population. In Turkey, goats are corresponding to 2.7% of milk and 4.8% of meat production<sup>1</sup>.

Loss of kids, one of the biggest problems of goat breeding, commonly occurs between partum and weaning [3]. Viability that is crucial for the profitability of goat farms describes the survival of kids until mature age [4]. It has been reported that factors such as birth weight, birth type

(single or twin), management, feeding, year and season of birth, breed, and sex of kids affect the survival rate of kids [5-7]. However, there have been other reports that genotype, sex, birth type, year, farm condition, and the age of the goat did not alter the survival rate of kids during the suckling period [8]. Oral and Altınel [9] reported that sex and the age of the dam are effective on the body weight of kids throughout 8 months of age, whereas the body weight of kids on 4<sup>th</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> months of age is only altered by birth type and birth weight of kids. In addition, conditions such as male kids, singleton pregnancy, and does older than 4 years may cause higher kids birth weight.

Registered Notification of Domestic Animal Breed and Lines<sup>2</sup> declares that birth weight of Hair goat kids is 3.37 kg; body weight on d 60-90 is 11.36-18.7 kg; survival rate 94.0%-100% and kid yields per birth are 1.10. It has been stated that only the birth weight of kids is capable to alter

<sup>1</sup> TURKSTAT (2021). Turkish Statistical Institute Statistics. [online]. Website http://www.turkstat.gov.tr/Start.do [Accessed 11 May, 2022]

592



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Communiqué on the registration of domestic animal breeds and lines, Official Gazette, Number: 25668, Date: 12.12.2004. Website https://www.resmigazete.gov.tr. [Accessed 15 Dec, 2019]

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: ugurserbester@yahoo.com

survival performance until 3 months old in goats housed in extensive conditions [9].

Fertility is one of the most indispensable conditions for maintainability and profitability in all animal production models. Therefore, it is essential to detect and monitor fertility parameters in flocks. In this study, it was investigated the birth weight, survival rate, and growth performance of kids, as well as some fertility parameters in Hair does housed under breeder conditions in a rural area.

## 2. Materials and methods

A total of 6288 Hair goats (5998 does and 290 bucks) housed on 30 farms located in 10 villages in Ulukışla county in Niğde province (Central Anatolia) was evaluated between 2013 and 2017 in this study. The data of birth weight of 28,768 kids, body weight of 27,541 kids aged at d 90, and some fertility parameters of 26,693 does were used.

Goats were mated first two weeks of September and November which is the mating season in the region. Bucks were separated for two months before the mating and they were fed by pasture feeding supplemented with 300 g/d barley grain, whereas does were fed by only pasture feeding. One buck was used per 25 does.

Kids were weighed by a digital scale to determine the birth weight in the first 24 h following partum and identified with ear tags. The data of ear tags, birth weight, birth date, birth type (single or twin), sex of kids and ear tags of the mother were recorded. Kids were allowed to suck colostrum and colostrum left in the udder was milked. Kids were kept together with their mothers for one week following partum then kids were separated and were allowed to suck three times per day during the first month, two times per day in the second month, and once a day in the third month. Kids were additionally pastured when they were not suckling. Kids were fed with dried alfalfa. The milk left in the udder was milked by hand until the weaning period. Kids were injected with selenium and vitamin E as well as antiparasitic medicine recommended by local veterinarians. Kids were weaned and weighed at approximately d 90 and they were pastured without any feed supplementation. During weaning, phenotype properties, presence of disease, lameness etc. were recorded and kids that were not suitable were recorded. All data including the date of the mating of bucks as well as parturition data was recorded in the logbooks on each farm, and then they were transferred to the computer.

Breeders were informed and notebooks were given to record the data related to the mating of bucks (number of ear tags of does and bucks, date of mating), pregnancy, parturition (birth weight, sex, birth type, and birth date etc.) and milking as well as mating procedures.

Fertility parameters were calculated by equations [10] as shown below:

Birth rate: (number of does giving birth/number of does suitable for mating) x 100

Infertility rate: (number of does not giving birth/ number of does suitable for mating) x 100

Single kidding rate: (number of does giving singleborn kids/number of does giving birth) x 100

Twinning rate: (number of does giving twin-born kids/ number of does giving birth) x 100

Kid yields per birth: (number of kids born/number of does giving birth)

Kid yields per doe: (number of kids born/number of does suitable for mating)

Viability on d 90: (number of weaned kids/number of kids born) x 100

Data were organized in spreadsheets (Excel 2013; Microsoft, Redmond, WA), and all subsequent analyses were performed using SAS [11]. The growing performance of kids was analysed using the general linear model. The statistical model was as follows:

$$\begin{split} &Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \gamma_k + z_i + (z\gamma)_m + e_{ijzklmn} \\ &Y_{ijklmn} = \text{the dependent variable} \\ &\mu = \text{population mean} \\ &\alpha_i = i. \text{ effect of doe age, } i=1, 2, 3 (2, 3, 4 \ge) \\ &\beta_j = j. \text{ effect of birth type, } j= 1, 2 \text{ (single, twining)} \\ &\gamma_k = k. \text{ effect of sex, } k=1, 2 \text{ (male, female)} \\ &z_i = l. \text{ effect of year, } l=1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \text{ (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, } \end{split}$$

 $z_1$ =1. effect of year, 1=1, 2, 3, 4, 5 (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017)

 $(z\gamma)m=m$ . effect of the year by sex interaction

 $e_{ijzklmn} = residual$ 

Interaction between factors was determined by preanalysis and significant ones were added to the model [12]. The significance of differences between averages of groups was determined by the Duncan multiple comparison test. The survival rate of kids was tested by chi-square analysis in SAS [11]. The least-square means and related standard error were used in tables.

## 3. Results

Kidding occurred between February and March. It was found that the effect of year, sex, birth type and age of does on birth weight and body weight on d 90 for kids was statistically significant (p < 0.01, Table 1).

It was detected that the effect of year and sex as well as year x sex interaction on body weight of male and female breeding goats on d 90 was statistically significant (p < 0.01, Table 2).

It was determined that survival rate of kids on d 90 was 100%, 98.7%, 94.5%, 93.9%, and 91.8% at 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively (Table 3). Moreover, the year effect affected the survival rate of kids on d 90 (p < 0.01). There was no significant difference but the survival rate of kids was higher in male (95.97%) and single kids (95.79%) than those detected in females (95.47%) and

	Birth weight (kg)		Body weight on d 90 (kg)		
Items	n	LSM ± SE	n	LSM ± SE	
Overall	28,768	$2.52\pm0.011$	27,541	13.68 ± 0.038	
Birth year					
2013	5450	2.36 ± 0.015d	5450	$15.20 \pm 0.055a$	
2014	5662	2.46 ± 0.015c	5589	12.73 ± 0.054d	
2015	6170	$2.56\pm0.014b$	5828	12.82 ± 0.050d	
2016	6100	2.61 ± 0.013ab	5730	13.10 ± 0.046c	
2017	5386	2.61 ± 0.011a	4944	14.51 ± 0.041b	
р	**		**		
Sex					
Female	13,952	$2.48\pm0.011$	13,321	$13.46 \pm 0.041$	
Male	14,816	$2.56\pm0.011$	14,220	$13.89\pm0.041$	
р	**		**		
Birth type					
Twin	4151	$2.33\pm0.014$	3958	13.60 ± 0.051	
Single	24,617	$2.71\pm0.010$	23,583	13.76 ± 0.036	
р	**		**		
Age of does					
2	592	$2.57\pm0.006ab$	543	13.30 ± 0.112b	
3	2134	$2.47\pm0.031b$	1955	13.57 ± 0.061a	
≥4	3512	2.53 ± 0.013a	3260	13.87 ± 0.048a	
p	**		**		

**Table 1**. Effects of year, sex, birth type, and age of does on birth weight, and body weight on d 90 of Hair goat kids.

a,b,c,d: Letters within the same column denote significant difference between means (p < 0.01)

\*\* p < 0.01: significant.

twin kids (95.35%). The kids born from does that were 2, 3, and 4 years old had 91.72%, 91.61%, and 92.82% survival rates, respectively.

Over the five years (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017), the kidding rate was 91.9%, while the infertility rate was 8.1%. It was determined that the twinning rates were 3.5%, 5.7%, 9.5%, 12.4%, and 6.5% in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively, and that the average twinning rate was 7.5%. The number of kid yields per birth in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 was 1.00, 1.00, 1.09, 1.12, and 1.00 respectively and the average kid yields per birth were 1.04 (Table 4).

## 4. Discussion

In this study, it was determined that the overall birth weight of goat kids studied was 2.52 kg. This value was lower than those detected by Tekin and Öğeç [13] (3.07

kg in male kids, 2.96 kg in female kids; overall 3.02 kg), Atay and Gökdal [14] (3.1 kg), Registered Notification of Domestic Animal Breed and Lines<sup>2</sup> (3.37 kg), Tatar et al. [15] (3.17 kg), Elmaz et. [16] (3.26-3.41 kg), and Tekin and Arlı [17] (3.55 kg in male kids, 3.40 kg in female kids; overall 3.48 kg), whereas it was similar to the results (2.58 kg) that were reported by Oral and Altinel [9]. Oral and Altınel [18] performed on hair goats housed in extensive conditions, it has been reported that birth weight was 2.19 kg. Furthermore, it was reported that the birth weight of kids was 3.7 kg and 2.6 kg in Saanen x Hair goat crossbreeds and pure hair goats, respectively [19]. Şimşek and Bayraktar [20] stated that F, hybrids (Hair goats and crossbreeds of Saanen x Hair goat) had 2.77 kg and 2.95 kg, respectively. Şimşek et al. [21] found that crossbreeds of Saanen x Hair goats (F<sub>1</sub>) and Saanen x Hair goats (G<sub>1</sub>) showed 2.18 kg and 2.82 kg, respectively, whereas Gül et al.

		Body weight on d 90				
Item		n LSM ± SE		p		
Overall	-	5287	$16.27 \pm 0.038$			
Birth year				**		
2013		931	$16.38 \pm 0.079^{b}$			
2014		966	$15.00 \pm 0.078^{\circ}$			
2015		1086	$15.96 \pm 0.075^{\circ}$			
2016		1127	$16.46 \pm 0.071^{b}$			
2017		1177	$17.57 \pm 0.070^{a}$			
Sex				**		
Female		4022	$15.66 \pm 0.037$			
Male		1265	$16.89 \pm 0.066$			
Sex x Year				**		
	2013	733	$16.03 \pm 0.085$			
	2014	741	$14.17\pm0.085$			
	2015	908	$15.32 \pm 0.077$			
Female	2016	808	$15.71 \pm 0.081$			
	2017	832	$17.06 \pm 0.080$			
	2013	198	$16.01 \pm 0.164$			
	2014	225	$16.32 \pm 0.154$			
	2015	178	$16.69 \pm 0.173$			
Male	2016	319	$17.40 \pm 0.129$			
	2017	345	$17.94 \pm 0.124$			

**Table 2.** Effects of year and sex on d 90 of body weight of kids

 selected as stock breeding.

[22] indicated 2.6 kg, 3.2 kg, and 3.2 kg in crossbreeds of Hatay goats, German Fawn goats x Hair goats and Saanen x Kilis goats, respectively and it was 3.1 kg for Toros Alaca goats [23]. It is suggested that those differences might be related to phenotype and genotype properties of Hair goats breeding in different locations of the country as well as breeding conditions. However, this study showed that the effect of year, sex, birth type and age of does on the birth weight of kids were significant and this was consistent with Şengonca et al. [19] who reported that type of flock and parturition, as well as the year, were effective on birth weight of kids.

In this study, it was determined that the average body weight of kids on d 90 was 13.68 kg, whereas the average body weight of kids selected for a breeding goat on d 90 was 16.27 kg. Those values detected in this study were similar (11.36–18.7 kg) to Registered Notification of Domestic Animal Breed and Lines<sup>2</sup> and Oral and Altinel [18] (13.58 kg) and lower than those determined by Atay and Gökdal [14] (19.1 kg), Tatar et al. [15] (20.1 kg), and Elmaz et al. [16] (18.5 kg in male kids, 16.6 kg in female kids). On the other hand, crossbreeding studies showed that the average weaning weight was 14.68 kg and 12.12 kg in Saanen × Hair goat and pure breed Hair goats, respectively [18].

Şimşek and Bayraktar [20] reported that the weaning body weight was 16.05 and 14.14 kg in Hair goat and Saanen  $\times$  Hair goat (F<sub>1</sub>), respectively, whereas Saanen x Hair goat (F1) and Saanen  $\times$  Hair goat (G<sub>1</sub>) had 14.1 kg and 15.6 kg, respectively [22]. Ceyhan [23] reported that Toros Alaca goats had 21.6 kg on d 90. The values of body weight of kids on d 90 were found to be similar to some reports, whereas there were discrepancies with some other studies. It is suggested that those differences might be related to genotype properties or environmental factors such as year, farm, and duration of suckling. In this study, it was found that year, sex, birth type, and age of does were effective on the body weight of kids on d 90. Similarly, year and interaction of year × sex were effective on body weight on d 90 of male and female kids selected for breeding. It was reported that the size of the flock was effective on the body weight of kids during weaning [19]. However, birth type, sex, and year [13], as well as age of does were also effective factors on body weight [13, 19]. Şimşek and Bayraktar [20] stated that sex and birth type altered the body weight gain during the suckling period (0–90 days), while age of does was not effective on this parameter.

In this study, it was determined that the survival rate on d 90 of kids in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 was 100%, 98.7%, 94.5%, 93.9%, and 91.8% respectively, whereas the overall survival rate was 95.7%. Those results obtained in this study were consistent with the value of Registered Notification of Domestic Animal Breed and Lines<sup>2</sup> in which the viability rate was 94.0%–100% until weaning and Elmaz et. [16] found that 96.44%, 92.70%, 94.06%, 94.31%, and 97.02% in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively and the differences between the years in terms of survival were statistically significant (p < 0.05). However, Tekin and Öğeç [13] and Tekin and Aralı [17] reported that the survival rate of kids was 80.7% and 89.23% which were lower than that detected in the study. It was reported that the survival rate of pure breeds such as Hair goat, Saanen, Toros, and Hatay goats was between 78.6%–92.0% [8, 19–23]. It was shown that crossbreeding of Saanen goats with Hair goats increased the survival rate of kids [8, 19, 21]. In this study, the higher overall survival rate (95.7%) suggested that the management of farms might be an effective factor to increase the economic viability of goat husbandry.

It was found that the year was effective on the viability of kids on d 90. It has been reported that flock and year

a,b,c: Letters within the same column denote significant difference between means (p < 0.01) \*p < 0.01: significant.

## CEYHAN et al. / Turk J Vet Anim Sci

Years	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Overall	p
Number of kids born alive	5450	5662	6170	6100	5386	28768	
Number of kids live at d 90	5450	5589	5828	5730	4944	27541	
The survival rate on d 90 (%)	100.0a	98.7b	94.5c	93.9c	91.8d	95.7	**

**Table 3.** The survival rate of kids on d 90.

a,b,c,d: Letters within the same column denote significant difference between means (p < 0.01) \*\*p < 0.01: significant.

Fable 4. Some reproduct	ve characteristics of Hair goats
-------------------------	----------------------------------

Reproductive characteristics	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Overall
Number of does suitable for mating	5998	5998	6000	6000	6000	29,996
Number of does giving birth	5450	5662	5638	5427	5386	27,563
Number of does not giving birth	548	336	362	573	614	2433
Kidding rate, %	90.9	94.4	94.0	90.5	89.8	91.9
Infertility rate, %	9.1	5.6	6.0	9.6	10.2	8.1
Number of does giving single-born kid	5258	5336	5105	4753	5035	25,487
Number of does giving twin-born kid	192	327	533	674	351	2077
Single kidding rate, %	96.5	94.2	90.5	87.6	93.5	92.5
Twinning rate, %	3.5	5.7	9.5	12.4	6.5	7.5
Number of kids born alive	5450	5662	6170	6100	5386	28,768
Kid yields per does	0.91	0.94	1.03	1.02	0.90	0.96
Kid yields per birth	1.00	1.00	1.09	1.12	1.00	1.04

were effective on the survival rate of kids [19]. However, birth type, sex, and genotype were stated as noneffective factors for the survival rate [8, 20, 21]. The study similarly showed that the year affected the survival rate. Therefore, it is suggested that environmental factors related to the year may affect the survival rate of kids.

The study revealed that the overall kidding rate obtained from five-year (2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017) data was 91.9%, whereas the infertility rate was 8.1% in Hair goats. The twinning rates were 3.5%, 5.7%, 9.5%, 12.4%, and 6.5% in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively. Those values detected in this study were similar twin birth rate was 5.1% in general, it was 6.2%, 5.9%, 6.4%, 3.8%, and 3.1% in 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016, respectively. The litter size was 1.05 on average to Elmaz et al. [16]. The overall twinning rate was 7.5%. Erten and Yılmaz [24] reported that kidding rates and twinning rates were 85.9% and 17.9%, respectively; whereas average kid yields per birth were 1.18 and the kidding rate was 101.3%. In this study, the overall kid yield per birth was 1.04, whereas the kid yields per birth were 1.00, 1.00, 1.09, 1.12, and 1.00 in 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017, respectively. Erten and Yılmaz [24] showed higher rates

than those detected in the study. The difference might be related to the different management and farm factors stated in the reports. Registered Notification of Domestic Animal Breed and Lines reported<sup>2</sup> that the kid yields per birth of hair goat were 1.10. However, there have been such studies that the kid yields per birth are between 0.79 [19] and 1.26 [25]. In addition to this, it was shown that the kid yields per birth might be increased to 1.41 by different synchronisation protocols [26]. Therefore, it is suggested that management and fertility programs may be effective on kid profitability.

Şengonca et al. [19] compared Saanen x Hair goats and pure Hair goats and reported that the number of does, kid yields per does, kid yields per birth, and infertility rates were 1.25 and 0.72, 1.30 and 0.79, and 4.47% and 21.0%, respectively. It was determined that flock, year, and maternal age were important factors in the aforementioned parameters. In the flocks, Saanen x Hair goat and pure Hair goat, the twinning rate was determined as 89.9% and 72.7%, respectively. And, also, the flock, year, and maternal age were reported as important factors in the birth type.

Overall, it was found that the data on fertility, viability, and growing performance obtained in Hair goats housed

in rural extensive conditions showed similarities with other references. However, it is believed that the number of samples and duration of this experiment are able to give comprehensive results for livestock science. It is concluded that year, sex, and birth type influenced the kid growth performance of Hair goats due to variations in environmental factors such as management, climatical conditions, feeding system etc. Therefore, if the management practices, nutrition, and health issues are improved, the growth performance of Hair goats kids and some reproductive parameters of the goats may be better.

#### References

- Daskiran I, Savas T, Koyuncu M, Koluman N, Keskin M et al. Goat production systems of Turkey: Nomadic to industrial. Small Ruminant Research 2018; 163: 15-20.
- Koşum N, Engindeniz S, Kandemir Ç. Sustainable goat farming in turkey: an overview. ERASMUS International Academic Research Symposium in Science, Engineering and Architecture, 2019. pp. 377-386, April 5-6, Izmir, Turkey.
- Ameh JA, Egwu GO, Tijjani AN. Mortality in Sahelian Goats in Nigeria. Preventive Veterinary Medicine 2000; 44: 107-111.
- NRC. Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: Sheep, goats, cervids, and new world camelids. National Academy Press, USA; 2007.
- Husain SS, Horst P, Islam ABMM. Effect of different factors on pre-weaning survivability of Black Bengal kids. Small Ruminant Research 1995; 18:1-5.
- Snyman MA. Factors affecting pre-weaning kid mortality in South African Angora Goats. South African Journal of Animal Science 2010; 40: 54-64.
- Zeleke T, Tilahun M, Deribe B, Lakew M, Belayneh B et al. Effect of non-genetic factors on pre-weaning growth, survivability and prolificacy of central Highland x Boer Crossbred Goats in North Eastern Ethiopia. Livestock Research for Rural Development 2017; 29:136.
- Akdag F, H Pir, Teke B. Comparison of growth traits in Saanen and Saanen x Hair Crossbred (F1) kids. Journal of Animal Production 2011; 52: 33-38.
- Oral HD, Altınel A. Some production traits of indigenous Hair goats bred under extensive conditions in Turkey. 2nd Communication: Viability and Growth Performances of Kids. Arch Tierz, Dummerstorf 2008; 51: 507-514.
- Keskin M, Gül S, Daşkıran İ. Some reproductive, lactation, and kid growth characteristics of Kilis goats under semi intensive conditions. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science 2017; 41: 248-254.
- SAS. SAS University Edition. SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA; 2017.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank General Directorate of Agricultural Research and Policies for their support of the project TAGEM/2012KIL51-01 (Niğde İli Kıl Keçi Irkının Halk Elinde Islah Projesi) and local staff of the ministry, Sheep and Goat Breeders Association of Niğde Province and their staff and Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University.

- Eyduran E, Waheed A, Tariq M, Iqbal S, Ahmad S. Prediction of live weight from morphological characteristics of commercial goat in Pakistan using factor and principal component scores in multiple linear regression. The Journal of Animal and Plant Science 2013; 23: 1532-1540.
- Tekin ME, Öğeç M. The growth and survival ability of Hair Goat Kids under the breeder's condition in Konya region. Lalahan Livestock Research Institute 2017; 57: 93-98.
- Atay O, Gokdal O. Some production traits and phenotypic relationships between udder and production traits of Hair Goats. Indian Journal Animal Research 2016; 50: 983-988.
- 15. Tatar AM, Tekel M, Özkan M, Baritci İ, Dellal G. The determination of growth function young Hair Goat. Journal Animal Veterinary Advance 2009; 8: 213-216.
- Elmaz Ö, Saatcı M, Ağaoğlu ÖK, Aykut Asım Akbaş AA et al. Reproductive performance and kid growth until weaning in Hair goat reared on-farm conditions in Turkey. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science 2020; 44: 370-376.
- Tekin ME, Arlı M. The growth and survival rate of hair goat kids raised by public in Karaman region. Journal of Turkish Veterinary Medical Society 2019; 90 (2): 152-157.
- Oral HD, Altınel A. The phenotypic correlations among some production traits of the Hair Goats bred on the private farm conditions in Aydın Province. Acta Veterinaria Eurasia 2006; 32: 41-52.
- Şengonca M, Taşkın T, Koşum N. Simultaneous comparison of various production traits of Saanen x Hair Crossbred and Pure Hair Goats. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science 2003; 27(6): 1319-1325.
- Şimşek ÜG, Bayraktar M. Investigation of growth rate and survivability characteristics of pure Hair Goats and Saanen X Pure Hair Goats Crossbreeds (F1). FÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 2006; 20: 229-238.
- 21. Şimşek ÜG, Bayraktar M, Gürses M. Investigation of growth and survivability characteristics in Saanen x Pure Hair Goats Crossbreeds F1 and B1. FÜ Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 2007; 21: 21-26.

- 22. Gül S, Keskin M, Biçer O. Comparison of different goat genotypes performances under east mediterranean region conditions: II. Yield characteristics. National Goat's Congress, Çanakkale, Turkey; 2010.
- Ceyhan A. Production characteristics of Toros Alaca Goat, V. National Animal Breeding Science Congress, Van, Turkey; 2007.
- 24. Erten Ö, Yılmaz, O. Investigation of reproductive and milk yield traits of Hair Goats raised under extensive conditions. Van Veterinary Journal 2013; 24: 105-107.
- Güngör İ, Alkoyak K, Öz S, Koncagül S. Growth, survival rate, and some reproductive characteristics of Hair goat under breeder conditions in Kahramanmaraş Province. Turkish Journal of Veterinary and Animal Science 2021; 45: 1022-1029.
- 26. Cinar M, Ceyhan A, Yilmaz O, Erdem HM. Effect of estrus synchronization protocols including PGF2α and gnrh on fertility parameters in Hair Goats during breeding season. The Journal Animal and Plant Science 2017; 27: 1083-1087.